School: GOAL ACADEMY - 3475

District: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE - 8001 (1 Year¹)

AEC: Priority Improvement (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

Will enter Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is the matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

		,		2.56.766. 61.74	TER SCHOOL INSTITUTE	0001 (1 1001)
)	Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²		
	Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)		
	Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	32.7%	(11.4 out of 35 points)		
he	Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	32.9%	(4.9 out of 15 points)		
he	Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	31.3%	(11.0 out of 35 points)		
<u>ed</u> 0% 0%	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
7% 3%	TOTAL		31.1%	(31.1 out of 100 points)		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Stu		Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.8%	96.0%	97.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	168	262	430	-	170	273	443
Mathematics	-	98.8%	96.0%	97.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	169	264	433	-	171	275	446
Writing	-	98.8%	96.3%	97.3%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	168	263	431	-	170	273	443
Science	-	0.0%	97.1%	97.1%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	0	265	265	-	0	273	273
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	195	-	-	-	195	-





^{*} on July 1, 2013

Performance Indicators							Leve	l: Middle School
School: GOAL ACADEMY						Distr	ict: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITU	JTE - 8001 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	,
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	148	41.22	6	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	6	0	-
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	148	18.92	2	
Science	0	0		-				
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	102	36	67	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	103	23	99	No
Writing	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	102	33	90	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	00.070	Does Not Meet	83	34	68	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	59	32	71	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	68	41	80	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	82	22	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	21	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	•	U						
Liigiisii Learriers	0	0	1	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up		_		- Does Not Meet	N<20 90	30	- 99	- No
	0	0	25%					
Students needing to catch up	0	0	25%	Does Not Meet				
Students needing to catch up Writing	0 1 3	0 4 12	25%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	90	30	99	No
Students needing to catch up Writing Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0 1 3	0 4 12 4	25%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	90	30	99 89	No No

Does Not Meet

Does Not Meet

2

77

Students needing to catch up

Total

1

10

4

36

27.8%

37

97

No

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High School
School: GOAL ACADEMY						District	:: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITU	TE - 8001 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	240	43.75	6	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	242	2.48	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	241	21.16	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	243	18.11	6	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	196	45	69	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	205	33	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	198	43	96	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	39	28	60	No
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	140	42	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	105	42	77	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	123	44	88	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	147	32	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	116	34	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	36	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	188	35	99	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	141	39	95	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	109	40	97	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	156	44	99	No
Total	15	40	37.5%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Farned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Does Not Meet		136/337/ 222 /151	19.3/24/ 27.9 /21.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet			15.5/2 1/2/15/0	5570
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		176/194/ 129 /87	13.6/19.1/ 23.3 /13.8%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		218/180/ 133 /98	15.6/21.7/ 27.8 /18.4%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		26/18/ 16 /N<16	19.2/11.1/ 50 /-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		38/35/ 21 /N<16	10.5/20/ 23.8 /-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		1471	4.8%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		195	16.5	20.0
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				_5.0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			31.3/0	DOCO HOU WICCO				

3

Scoring Guide Level: MH

	ormance Indicators on the School Performance Frame	work Report							
rformance Indicato	rScoring Guide				Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Poi
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan								
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-:				Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile.	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).		Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba				Does Not Meet		1		
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percent	entile and its median student growtl	n percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	1	
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grov	vth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:			TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			[Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student g	rowth percentile and its median stud	dent growth percentile was:					1	
	• at or above 60.				Exceeds		4	1	
	below 60 but at or above 45.				Meets		3	4	
	below 45 but at or above 30.				Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate s	tudent growth percentile and its me	dian student growth percent	ile was:				subgroups in 3	15
	• at or above 70.				Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.				Meets		3		
	below 55 but at or above 40.				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 40.				Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/di	isaggregated graduation	rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.				Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.				Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.				Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.				Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:			<u> </u>				16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.				Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-1	0 baseline).			Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-	10 baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.				Does Not Meet		1	1	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average C	Colorado ACT composite score w	as:						
	• at or above 22.				Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)) baseline).			Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)) baseline).			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			[Does Not Meet		1		
t-Points for each pe	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty	/pe assign	ment				I
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on the	nis Indicator.				earned	of the to	otal Framework points eligi	ble.
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or ab					Performance
Achievement: •		Meets	Total Framework		bove 47% - beld	ow 60%			Improvement
	at or above 62 5% - helow 87 5%								
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%			• at or ah	bove 33% - beld	ow 47%			Priority Improveme
Growth; Gaps	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% below 37.5%	Approaching Does Not Meet	Points	• at or ab	bove 33% - belo 33%	ow 47%			Priority Improvement

4

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

SPF 2012 - 8001 - 3475

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 8001 - 3475

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

<u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	18.6	21.6	21.9
Anticipated Year	2009	20.5	29.2	27.9	
of Graduation	2010	27.8	24		
	2011	19.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	7.1	9.4	13.8
Anticipated Year	2009	15.6	20.6	23.3	
of Graduation	2010	21.2	19.1		
	2011	13.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	13.9	13.8	18.4
Anticipated Year	2009	11.1	31.5	27.8	
of Graduation	2010	23.8	21.7		
	2011	15.6			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	50	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	11.1		
	2011	19.2			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	23.8	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	20		
	2011	10.5			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	18.6	21.6	21.9
Anticipated Year	2009	20.5	29.2	27.9	
of Graduation	2010	27.8	24		
	2011	19.3			
	Aggregated	21.6	24.7	26	21.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	7.1	9.4	13.8
Anticipated Year	2009	15.6	20.6	23.3	
of Graduation	2010	21.2	19.1		
	2011	13.6			
	Aggregated	16.3	18.3	19.2	13.8

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	13.9	13.8	18.4
Anticipated Year	2009	11.1	31.5	27.8	
of Graduation	2010	23.8	21.7		
	2011	15.6			
	Aggregated	17.4	23.2	23.6	18.4

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	50	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	11.1		
	2011	19.2			
	Aggregated	27	29	38.1	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	23.8	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	20		
	2011	10.5			
	Aggregated	13	25	25	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 8001 - 3475