High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to:

How to use the Assessment Review Tool

Content Area: Theatre Arts and Drama --- Partially Recommended

Name of Assessment: Washington State Grade 8 Get a Job

Reviewer(s): Content Collaborative

Date of Review: April 19, 2012

Assessment Profile

Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment:

Grade 6 and 7

Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:

DTO9-GR.6-S.1-GLE.1; DTO9-GR.6-S.1-GLE.2; DTO9-GR.6-S.2-GLE.1; DTO9-

GR.6-S.3-GLE.2; DTO9-GR.7-S.1-GLE.1; DTO9-GR.7-S.2-GLE.1; DTO9-GR.7-S.3-

GLE.2: DTO9-GR.7-S.3-GLE.3

What is the DOK of the assessment?

DOK 1 to 3

Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations:

For both grades, Standard 1-3, DOK 1 to 3

Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:

Voice projection, Monologue, character development, Text analysis,

Spacing, Movement, Blocking

List the skills/performance assessed:

projection, communication, emoting, body language, pitch, volume, rhythm

Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):

Selected Response (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

Short Answer (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

Extended Response (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

Product (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

Performance (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

Process (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

The assessment includes:

Teacher directions (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

Scoring Guide/Rubric

Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:

Materials (if needed to complete the assessment)

Estimated time for administration

Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt – what does the student see/use?

Check All That Apply		
Х		
Х		
Х		

Check All That Apply
Х
Х
Х
Х

Other:

A high quality assessment shoul	d beAligned	
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestion
1a. To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of		
items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic		
Standard/s? Select one option below.		
Full match – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and		
knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Partial match – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
No match – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge		
described in the corresponding state standard/s.		
Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to		
support your response:		
The task described aligns completely with CAS in theatre create, perform	Full=3; Partial =2; No	
and critically respond.	Match= 1	
Alignment with Standards Score	3	
	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	3 Rating Column	
	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below.	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.	Rating Column	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and	Rating Column Similar Rigor=2; More	
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment 1b. Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? Select one option below. More rigorous – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations. Similar rigor – most items or the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Less rigor – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations. Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:		

A high quality assessment should beScored using	ng Clear Guidelines a	nd Criteria
Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
Scoring Guide Present:	У	
Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored	У	
Generalized Rubric (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs)	У	
Task-Specific Rubric (only used for the particular task)	n	
Checklist (e.g., with score points for each part)	٧	
Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist	V	
·	Yes, several types=3, Yes,	
	at least one type=2,	
	None=1	
Scoring Guide Present Score		
2a. Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic		
Standards in this assessment.		
Provide an explanation of your response: Lacks critical response standard		
being assessed.	Completely aligned=3,	
	Somewhat aligned=2,	
	Not aligned=1	
Rubric Aligned with Standards Score	2	
2b. Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across		
performance levels? Provide an explanation of your response:		
All language and vocabulary are consistent throughout.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score	3	
2c . To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the		
demands within the task or item?		
Explain:	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
The rubric fails to address the three different emotional states assigned in	No=1	
the prompt.	2	
Rubric/Scoring Alignment		
2d. Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the		
scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same		
score for a given response? Why or why not?		
	V 2 C 1 : 2	
Language such as "clear, appropriate, and effective" are vague.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	No=1	
Inter-rater Reliability Score	2	
2e. Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which		
illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would		
be needed?		
Exemplars at all levels.	Yes=3, Somewhat=2,	
	res=3, somewhat=2, No=1	
Student Work Samples Score	HO-I	
Student work Samples Score	1	

A high quality assessment should beFAIR and UNBIASED		
FAIR and UNBIASED (the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
3a. To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and		
formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space,		
graphics, and illustrations)?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Prompt is written clearly but gives no supporting items, such as graphics or		1
illustrations to cater to different learning styles.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
"Clear & Uncluttered" Score	2	
3b. To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as		
straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?		
Provide an explanation of your response:		
Prompt is straight forward, but does not allow for a range of learning levels.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	1
"Straight Forward" Score		
3c. To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of		1
the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Prompt is mostly free of cultural or uninformed bias, with the exception of a	All 2 Cause 2 Name 4	
suggested performance venue of a mall.	All=3, Some=2, None=1	
Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score		
3d. Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
	No=3, Somewhat=2,	
Straight forward to the content, providing a glossary and/or index of terms	Yes=1	
"Academic Language" Score	1	
*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's	I	
3e. If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to		
ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content		
represented by the task or set of items reviewed?		
Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response,		
setting, and timing and scheduling: • Presentation Accommodations —Allow students to access information in ways		
that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of		
access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.		
 Response Accommodations — Allow students to complete activities, 		
assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems		
using some type of assistive device or organizer. Setting Accommodations — Change the location in which a test or assignment is		
given or the conditions of the assessment setting.		
 Timing and Scheduling Accommodations — Increase the allowable length of time 		
to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is		
organized.		Teacher should be able to
 Linguistic Accommodations — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access 		adapt prompt for the
academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The		community in which it is
accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is		presented for the
different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.		performance venue to make i
3f: Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this	1	<u> </u>

Not cited specifically, but could be adapted.

Yes, Several allowed=3; Yes, Some allowed=2; None allowed =1

"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score

2

A high quality assessment shouldincrease OPPORTUNITIES To	O LEARN	
The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
4a. Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a		0 7 00
real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? Provide an		
explanation of your response:		
Yes, this prompt engages students in problem solving for a real world	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
context that they will inevitably encounter.	No=1	
"Engages Students" Score	3	
4b. To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the		
assessment can provide good information about what students have learned		
in the classroom? Provide an explanation of your response:		
	V 2 C	
This prompt engages students in a situation in which they can explore many	Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1	
different standards and classroom expectations.	NO=1	
Classroom Learning Score 4c. To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student	3	
work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and		
outcomes with students and parents? Provide an explanation of your		
response:		
Given proper conferencing by the educator, detailed conversations can take	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
place around this piece and student abilities.	No=1	
Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score	3	
4d. To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate		
expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other		
content areas or 21st Century skills) to students? Provide an explanation of		
vour response:		
Due to the way that the accessment has been written it will provide		
Due to the way that the assessment has been written, it will provide	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
teachers and students with a opportunity to dialogue and an example of different degrees of classroom excellence.	No=1	
	2	
Communicates Academic Excellence Score	3	
4e . Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,		Within this assessment there
to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student		are clear connections to
work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like?		standards and ideas used with
Provide an explanation of your response:		in these lessons
Within the standards covered by this assessment results will show student	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
achievement and or gaps in learning.	No=1	
Standards Competency Score	3	
4f: Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed,		
to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the		
assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction,		
etc.)? Provide an explanation of your response:		
etc. j: 110viue an explanation of your response.		
The Washington team has done a meritorious job creating		
assessments that can fill a variety of roles; for our purposes in Colorado, this		
assessment is easily identifiable for its summative abilities but could be	Yes=3; Somewhat=2;	
adjusted, compacted, expanded, divided, etc to fit any assessment needs.	No=1	
Any teacher could easily speculate those changes.		
-		
Locate evidence Score	3	

Summary	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	3	3
Rigor Rating	2	3
Subtotal	5	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		83.3%
Scoring Guide Present		3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	2	3
Inter-rater reliability	2	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	10	18
Scoring Percentage		55.6%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	2	3
Straight Forward Presentation	2	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	2	3
Academic Language Load	1	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	2	3
Subtotal	9	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		60.0%
Engagement	3	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	3	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	18	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		100.0%
Grand Total	42	57
Overall Percentage		73.7%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	х
Not Recommended	