

## High Quality Assessment Content Validity Review Tool

To understand the review process and the use of the review tool, go to: [How to use the Assessment Review Tool](#)

<b>Content Area: Dance</b>
<b>Name of Assessment: SCASS - Analyzing Revelations</b>
<b>Reviewer: Content Collaborative</b>
<b>Date of Review: 5/3/12</b>

Assessment Profile
--------------------

**Grade Level(s) suggested by this assessment: Grade 12**

[Indicate the Colorado Academic Standards \(CAS\) and Grade Level Expectations evaluated by the Assessment:](#)

DA09-GR.HSFP-S.3-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.4-GLE.1; DA09-GR.HSFP-S.4-GLE.2

**What is the DOK of the assessment? DOK 4**

**Indicate the DOK range of the CAS Grade Level Expectations: 1-4**

**Describe the content knowledge/concepts assessed:** Dance as communication, production elements, elements of dance in choreography

**List the skills/performance assessed:** Identifying an idea expressed in dance, appropriate vocabulary, recognizing abstractions, viewing dance and describing elements and principles present

**Item Types - check all that apply (note: there is often overlap among certain item types):**

**Selected Response** (multiple choice, true-false, matching, etc.)

**Short Answer** (short constructed response, fill in a graphic organizer or diagram, explain your thinking or solution, make and complete a table, etc.)

**Extended Response** (essay, multi-step response with explanation and rationale required for tasks)

**Product** (research paper, editorial, log, journal, play, poem, model, multimedia, art products, script, musical score, portfolio pieces, etc.)

**Performance** (demonstration, presentation, science lab, dance or music performance, athletic performance, debate, etc.)

**Process** (creation, development, design, exploration, imagining, visualization, experimentation, invention, revision)

**The assessment includes:**

**Teacher directions** (may include prerequisites/description of instruction before giving the assessment e.g., this assessment should be given after students have learned ...)

**Scoring Guide/Rubric**

**Sample evidence to show what student performance might look like:**

Check All That Apply
x
X

Check All That Apply
x
x

**Materials** (if needed to complete the assessment)

**Estimated time for administration**

**Student Directions & Assessment Task/Prompt** – what does the student see/use?

**Other:**

x
x
x

complete list of needed materials - outdated (asks for VCR)

A high quality assessment should be...Aligned		
Alignment with Standards	Rating Column	Strengths & Suggestions
<p><b>1a.</b>To what extent do you see a strong content match between the set of items reviewed or the task and the corresponding Colorado Academic Standard/s? <b>Select one option below.</b></p> <p><b>Full match</b> – task or most items address or exceed the relevant skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.</p> <p><b>Partial match</b> – task or most items partially address the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.</p> <p><b>No match</b> – task or most items are not related to the skills and knowledge described in the corresponding state standard/s.</p>		
<p><b>Please provide evidence from both the standards and assessment to support your response:</b></p> <p>Aligns to the Reflect component of standard 4 but not Connect or Respond. There is a small portion that aligns to the History component of Standard 3.</p>	<p>Full=3; Partial =2; No Match= 1</p>	
<p><b>Alignment with Standards Score</b></p>		<p><b>2</b></p>
Depth of Knowledge as Measured by this Assessment	Rating Column	
<p><b>1b.</b> Are the set of items or task reviewed as cognitively challenging as the grade level expectations? <b>Select one option below.</b></p> <p><b>More rigorous</b> – most items or the task reviewed are at a higher DOK level than the range indicated for the grade level expectations.</p> <p><b>Similar rigor</b> – most items on the task reviewed are similar to the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.</p> <p><b>Less rigor</b> – most items or the task reviewed are lower than the DOK range indicated for the grade level expectations.</p>		
<p><b>Please provide evidence from both the grade level expectations and assessment to support your response:</b></p> <p>The task is not as rigorous as the standards. The task doesn't connect the viewing of the performance to an actual performance task - only a written task. To meet the rigor of the standards, the assessment would need to do both</p>	<p>Similar Rigor=2; More Rigor=1; Less Rigor= 1</p>	
<p><b>Depth of Knowledge (Rigor) Score</b></p>		<p><b>1</b></p>

**A high quality assessment should be...Scored using Clear Guidelines and Criteria**

Scoring Guidelines for this Assessment	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<b>Scoring Guide Present:</b> <b>Answer key, scoring template, computerized/machine scored</b> <b>Generalized Rubric</b> (e.g., for persuasive writing, for all science labs) <b>Task-Specific Rubric</b> (only used for the particular task) <b>Checklist</b> (e.g., with score points for each part) <b>Teacher Observation Sheet/ Observation Checklist</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> x <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, several types=3, Yes, at least one type=2, None=1	
<b>Scoring Guide Present Score</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>2a.</b> Give evidence that the rubric/scoring criteria aligns to Colorado Academic Standards in this assessment.  <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Somewhat aligned as it asks for reflection and touches on history.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Completely aligned=3, Somewhat aligned=2, Not aligned=1	
<b>Rubric Aligned with Standards Score</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>2b.</b> Are the score categories clearly defined and coherent across performance levels? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>  Rubrics are clearly defined, scoring categories very clear.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Rubric/Scoring Coherent Score</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>2c.</b> To what degree does the rubric/scoring criteria address all of the demands within the task or item?  <b>Explain:</b> The rubric is strong in terms of setting up guidelines for understanding and knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Rubric/Scoring Alignment</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>2d.</b> Based on your review of the rubric/scoring criteria, do you think the scoring rubric would most likely lead different raters to arrive at the same score for a given response? <b>Why or why not?</b>  The rubric is organized well. It has score categories that align to the different component of the task and they are very descriptive. This should allow raters to arrive at similar scores.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Inter-rater Reliability Score</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>2e.</b> Is there student work (e.g., anchor papers, video, portfolio) which illustrates student mastery? If so, describe. If not, what student work would be needed?  Not present - need written responses.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes=3, Somewhat=2, No=1	
<b>Student Work Samples Score</b>	<b>1</b>	

**A high quality assessment should be...FAIR and UNBIASED**

FAIR and UNBIASED <i>(the areas below should be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities)</i>	Rating Column	Strengths/Suggestions
<p><b>3a.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the tasks designed and formatted to be visually clear and uncluttered (e.g., use of white space, graphics, and illustrations)?  <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> Formatting is fairly text heavy and not very aesthetically pleasing. Although it is straight forward and includes a</p>	<p align="center">All=3, Some=2, None=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>"Clear &amp; Uncluttered" Score</b></p>		<p align="center"><b>2</b></p>
<p><b>3b.</b> To what extent are most of the items or the task presented in as straightforward a way as possible for a range of learners?  <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b> The task is very straightforward and the script is helpful.</p>	<p align="center">All=3, Some=2, None=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>"Straight Forward" Score</b></p>		<p align="center"><b>3</b></p>
<p><b>3c.</b> To what degree is the vocabulary and context(s) presented by most of the items or task free from cultural or other unintended bias? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>                      The assessment is free of cultural bias.</p>	<p align="center">All=3, Some=2, None=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>Free of 'Cultural or Unintended Bias' Score</b></p>		<p align="center"><b>3</b></p>
<p><b>3d.</b> Does the assessment require students to possess a high level of academic language* comprehension to demonstrate understanding? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b>                      This is solely a written exam, relying heavily on understanding of English and dance vocabulary.</p>	<p align="center">No=3, Somewhat=2, Yes=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>"Academic Language" Score</b></p>		<p align="center"><b>2</b></p>
<p><i>*Please reference "Defining Features of Academic Language in WIDA's</i></p>		
<p><b>3e.</b> If applicable, what type of accommodations should be considered to ensure that students with special needs can fully access the content represented by the task or set of items reviewed? It would be easy and allowable to incorporate all accommodations, but they are not included.</p>		
<p><i>Accommodations are commonly categorized in five ways: presentation, response, setting, and timing and scheduling:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <b>Presentation Accommodations</b> —Allow students to access information in ways that do not require them to visually read standard print. These alternate modes of access are auditory, multi-sensory, tactile, and visual.</li> <li>○ <b>Response Accommodations</b> —Allow students to complete activities, assignments, and assessments in different ways or to solve or organize problems using some type of assistive device or organizer.</li> <li>○ <b>Setting Accommodations</b> —Change the location in which a test or assignment is given or the conditions of the assessment setting.</li> <li>○ <b>Timing and Scheduling Accommodations</b> —Increase the allowable length of time to complete an assessment or assignment and perhaps change the way the time is organized.</li> <li>○ <b>Linguistic Accommodations</b> — Allow English language learners (ELLs) to access academic construct measured by reducing the linguistic load of an assessment. The accommodation is based on an ELL's limited English language proficiency, which is different than an accommodation based on a student's disability or a cognitive need.</li> </ul>		
<p><b>3f:</b> Identify and write down the accommodations permitted for this assessment:                       None listed</p>	<p align="center">Yes, Several allowed=3;                      Yes, Some allowed=2;                      None allowed =1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>"Adequate Accommodations Allowed" Score</b></p>		<p align="center"><b>N/A</b></p>

## A high quality assessment should ...increase OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN

The areas below should also be discussed relative to the needs of ELLs, gifted and talented students, and students with disabilities	Check all that apply:	Strengths/Suggestions
<p><b>4a.</b> Does this assessment engage a student in thinking that connects to a real world, new context, situation, problem or challenge? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b></p> <p>The assessment is based on observation and documentation, although it does teach a student to be an audience member who enjoys dance.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>"Engages Students" Score</b></p>		<p><b>2</b></p>
<p><b>4b.</b> To what extent do you think the knowledge and skills tested by the assessment can provide good information about what students have learned in the classroom? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b></p> <p>Students do have to refer to a body of vocabulary and prior knowledge to accomplish task.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>Classroom Learning Score</b></p>		<p><b>3</b></p>
<p><b>4c.</b> To what degree do the results from this assessment (scores and student work analysis) foster meaningful dialogue about learning expectations and outcomes with students and parents? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b></p> <p>The assessment do not measure enough of a variety of skills to create substantial dialogue.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>Learning Expectations/Outcomes Score</b></p>		<p><b>2</b></p>
<p><b>4d.</b> To what extent do you believe the assessment can clearly communicate expectations for academic excellence (e.g., creativity, transference to other content areas or 21st century skills) to students? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b></p> <p>The rubric and the expectations are very thorough, it's just disappointing that it is only a response task.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>Communicates Academic Excellence Score</b></p>		<p><b>3</b></p>
<p><b>4e.</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can use the results (scores and student work analysis) to understand what competency on standard/s look like? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b></p> <p>The rubric is clearly defined, well-written and connected to the reflect standard.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>Standards Competency Score</b></p>		<p><b>3</b></p>
<p><b>4f:</b> Based on the content evaluated by the task or the set of items reviewed, to what extent do you think teachers can identify what purpose the assessment serves (e.g., diagnostic, report card grades, adjusting instruction, etc.)? <b>Provide an explanation of your response:</b></p> <p>Could be used for a variety of purposes: entrance, cumulative, etc.</p>	<p>Yes=3; Somewhat=2; No=1</p>	
<p align="center"><b>Locate evidence Score</b></p>		<p><b>3</b></p>

	<u>Earned</u>	<u>Possible</u>
Standards Rating	2	3
Rigor Rating	1	3
Subtotal	3	6
Standards Alignment Percentage		50.0%
Scoring Guide Present	2	3
Rubric Aligned w/standards	2	3
Rubric/Scoring Coherent	3	3
Rubric/Scoring Alignment	3	3
Inter-rater reliability	3	3
Student work present	1	3
Subtotal	14	18
Scoring Percentage		77.8%
Clear & Uncluttered Presentation	2	3
Straight Forward Presentation	3	3
Free of Cultural or Unintended Bias	3	3
Academic Language Load	2	3
Adequate Accommodations Allowed	N/A	3
Subtotal	10	15
Fair & Unbiased Percentage		66.7%
Engagement	2	3
Reflects Classroom Learning	3	3
Reflects Learning Expectations/Outcomes	2	3
Communicates Academic Excellence	3	3
Competency on Standards Score	3	3
Locate evidence Score	3	3
Subtotal	16	18
Opportunities to Learn Percentage		88.9%
Grand Total	43	57
Overall Percentage		75.4%

This assessment is: Place an 'X' in the appropriate box

Fully Recommended	
Partially Recommended	X
Not Recommended	