

Funding Opportunity

Proposals due: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 by 4 p.m.

Application Webinar: Thursday, June 25, 2015 from 1-2:30 p.m. or Tuesday, July 7 from 10-11:30 a.m.

Required Intent to Apply (Attachment D) due: Friday, July 10, 2015 by 4 p.m.

Tiered Intervention Grant 2015

Pursuant to: Title I, Section 1003 (g) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965

For program questions contact:

Sarah Cohen (cohen_s@cde.state.co.us or 303-866-6618)
Brad Bylsma (bylsma_b@cde.state.co.us or 303-866-6937)

For fiscal/budget questions contact:

Evan Davis (davis e@cde.state.co.us or 303-866-6129)

For RFP specific questions contact:

Kim Burnham (burnham k@cde.state.co.us or 303-866-6916)



2015 Tiered Intervention Grant – Overview **Request For Proposal**

Proposals Due: Wednesday, July 15, 2015

Introduction

The intent of this grant is to provide funding for districts (on behalf of eligible schools) to:

- Partner with the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) in the implementation of one of the school intervention models provided in the draft guidance for the use of Federal Title I 1003(g) School Improvement Grant funds (To view the most recent program guidance, please visit: http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/guidance-on-school-improvement-grants); and
- Increase the academic achievement of all students attending chronically low performing schools as measured by the state's assessment system.

Purpose

The Office of Federal Program Administration at the Colorado Department of Education has Title I 1003 (g) funds to support schools identified as chronically low performing schools as indicated by state assessments.

Available Funds

Approximately \$5 million is available for distribution to LEAs. An LEA may request no less than \$50,000 or more than \$2 million per year over the five year grant period for each participating school. Subsequent years funding (except in the case of closure) is contingent upon CDE approval and continued 1003(g) allocations from the USDE. Actual allocations will be based on the intervention model chosen and SEA guidelines.

Eligible schools are listed in Attachment A. The eligible schools were identified as either Title I Schools that are low performing and/or have a low graduation rate OR Title I Eligible High Schools with low graduation rates. The following rules were used to identify TIG eligible schools:

TIG Eligible Title I Schools were identified if,

- Schools were on the 2014-2015 Title I Schools List; and
- Were assigned a 2014 Turnaround or Priority Improvement (or AEC: Turnaround or AEC: Priority Improvement, if eligible) plan type assignment (their official plan type assignment); and
- Had SPF data for the past 3 years; and
- For schools identified as low performing:
 - o Had 2014 Academic Achievement ratings of does not meet in reading and mathematics on the 3-year SPF. (This is run at the E, M or H level individually. For a school to be identified, all EMH levels need to receive does not meet ratings in reading and math); and
 - o Had a combined percentile index (calculated by adding together the 3-year SPF percentile rank values for reading and math) in the lowest 5% of Title I schools; and/or
- For schools identified by low graduation rates:
 - Were schools with graduation rates less than 60% for all of the following: 2013 4year, 2012 5-year, and 2011 6-year rates (schools must meet the minimum count each individual year to be included).

TIG Eligible Title I Eligible High Schools were identified if,

- Schools were not currently served with Title I funds, but were considered Title I eligible high schools for 2014-2015 based on:
 - o 2014-15 Free and Reduced Lunch percentage equal to or greater than 35%; or
 - o 2014-15 Free and Reduced Lunch percentage at or above the 2014-15 district average for all high schools; and

Eligible Applicants

- Were schools with graduation rates less than 60% for all of the following: 2013 4-year, 2012 5-year, and 2011 6-year rates (schools must meet the minimum count each individual year to be included); and
- Were assigned a 2014 Turnaround or Priority Improvement (or AEC: Turnaround or AEC: Priority Improvement, if eligible) plan type assignment (their official plan type assignment).

Title I Focus Schools

- Based on recent USDE Guidance, Title I Focus Schools are now eligible to apply for TIG.
 - o Priority schools may not be focus schools. Focus schools that apply for and are awarded a TIG will become priority schools and will be removed from the Colorado list of Focus Schools.
 - o Schools meeting the above TIG eligibility (i.e., school is in the lowest five percent of schools or is a high school with low graduation rates) will be prioritized for TIG grants.

Please Note: Currently funded-TIG schools were excluded from the analyses. Alternative Education Campuses (AECs) that meet one or more of the following criteria were exempted and not included in the SIG eligible schools:

- School purpose is dropout re-engagement or credit recovery
- School is temporary and designed to transition students back to their home school or
- School is not a diploma-granting institution.

The LEA may choose one of two options:

Option 1	Option 2
Year 1: Planning Year	Year 1: Full Implementation
Year 2: Full implementation	Year 2: Full implementation
Year 3: Full implementation	Year 3: Full implementation
Year 4: Full Implementation	Year 4: Sustainability Year
Year 5: Sustainability Year	Year 5: Sustainability Year

Please Note: Even if the LEA chooses a planning year, a model must be selected as part of the application process.

Grant Options

Eligible

Applicants

(Continued)

The LEA must indicate which of the following models they will be implementing and the rationale for selecting the model for each participating school.

- Turnaround;
- Restart:
- Closure;
- Transformation;
- Secondary Success (State determined model)
- Evidence based whole school reform; or
- Early Learning.

For the complete model requirements, please visit:

http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/guidance-on-school-improvement-grants.

Allowable Use of Funds

Awarded funds may be used for pre-Implementation costs and implementation of the chosen model (identified costs that are absolutely necessary to implement the model fully and effectively) including (See Attachments B & C for model requirements). Note: Administrative costs may be no more than 5% of the funded amount.

Funds must be expended by:

Year 1: September 30, 2016 (June 1, 2015-September 30, 2016)

Duration of Grant

Years 2, 3, 4 and 5 contingent upon CDE approval and continued 1003(g) funding from the USDE:

- **Year 2**: September 30, 2017 (July 1, 2016-September 30, 2017)
- **Year 3**: September 30, 2018 (July 1, 2017-September 30, 2018)
- **Year 4**: September 30, 2019 (July 1, 2018-September 30, 2019)
- Year 5: September 30, 2020 (July 1, 2019-September 30, 2020)

Two identical application training webinars will be held on **Thursday**, **June 25**, **2015** from 1:00 – 2:30 p.m. and **Tuesday**, July **7**, **2015** from 10:00-11:30 a.m. To register for one of these webinars, please visit the Eventbrite links below:

June 25, 2015 - https://tigapptraining062515.eventbrite.com

July 7, 2015 - http://tigapptraining070715.eventbrite.com

If you have questions or issues registering, please email competitiveGrants@cde.state.co.us.

Technical Assistance

Note: If interested in applying for this grant opportunity, please complete the Letter of Intent (Attachment D) and submit by Friday, July 10, 2015 to competitiveGrants@cde.state.co.us.

Individual Consultation is available to applicants in the planning, writing and revising of proposals. Please note: responses will be made in the order of requests received. There may be a high volume of requests closer to the application due date; please allow adequate time for response.

Consultation services will be provided by CDE Performance Manager beginning June 10, 2015. To request feedback on proposals or to facilitate the planning process for the proposal from a Performance Manger, applicants may contact: Sarah Cohen at cohen s@cde.state.co.us.

<u>Date</u>	Key Dates Descriptions
June 9, 2015	RFP Release
June 9 – August 18, 2015	CDE Performance Managers provide support at local stakeholder meetings as well as guidance for turnaround planning.
June 25 & July 7, 2015	TIG RFP Webinars
July 10, 2015	Letter of Intent to Apply Due
August 18, 2015	Proposals Due
September 21, 22 & 23, 2015	Meetings to discuss feedback/changes to application
September 30, 2015	Final Approval

Timeline and Review Process

Applications will be reviewed based on the rubric to ensure they contain all required components. The review of the Tiered Intervention Grants will be a standards based process. LEAs will not be funded unless they meet each of the criteria in each section of the application. In this way, the review process will ensure that funded Tiered Intervention Grants address all the critical components necessary for a comprehensive plan. LEAs may be asked to submit revisions in any sections to more fully meet the standards. Note: Applicants may also be asked to meet with CDE staff to discuss application feedback and any required changes before final approval is given.

Timeline and Review Process (Continued)

If district/school staff are not able to verify the information provided in the application, or fail to demonstrate an understanding of the program the recommendation to fund will be withdrawn. Applicants will receive final notification of application status by August 31, 2015.

In the overarching strategy for supporting dramatic improvement in the state's lowest-achieving schools, CDE will work collaboratively with LEAs to develop meaningful detailed performance targets and specific timelines. These targets and timelines will be used when making Year 2 funding determinations. A Unified Improvement Plan (UIP) for each individual school site will be monitored at school and district on-site reviews and updated as necessary, with final revisions submitted annually. The UIP and grant budget expenditures must be aligned to the UIP Quality Criteria and chosen reform model.

Awardees will be expected to collect the following leading indicators, annually report them to CDE in a timely manner [Note: only the leading indicators below in **bold** are collected by CDE in a collection tied to this grant; all other data points are submitted to CDE via other collections] and include them in the data analysis portion of the UIP (where possible):

- a. Title I Section 1003(g) required indicators:
 - The number of educational minutes within the school year and whether this
 number has increased from prior years (if yes, grantees are asked to explain the
 reason for the increase, for example longer school days, before/after school
 programs, etc.);
 - Student participation rate on State assessments in reading, writing, math, and science, by student subgroup;
 - Dropout rate;
 - Student attendance rate;
 - Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB);
 - Early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes;
 - Discipline incidents;
 - Truants;
 - Distribution of teachers by performance level on an LEA's teacher evaluation system; and
 - Teacher attendance rate (calculated using the number of teacher absence days and contract days).
- b. Quantitative indicators that supplement those required under 1003(g):
 - Proficiency results on interim assessments of student performance in reading, writing, math, and science;

The percentage of students taught by teachers who, in prior years, achieved above average or exceptional growth with their students;

- Other measures of time allocated to learning and intervention;
- Annual collection data and improvement in catch up, keep up, and moving up categories in reading, writing, and math;
- Annual collection data and improvement in all proficiency and growth data in reading, writing, math, and science; and
- Others likely to be highly-correlated with successful improvement efforts.

Supports

Other qualitative indicators that arise from cross-sector research about successful reform models. For example:

Data & Reporting

Focused and prioritized improvement strategies leading to measurable improvement.

- Data demonstrating the school leader is engaging staff in regular and transparent data dialogues surrounding student performance.
- Evidence of positive community involvement in the reform effort.
- The leader's successful efforts to change the climate and culture to influence dramatic change, based on perception data.
- Evidence of district leadership and support for dramatic improvement at the identified school. Additional resources on successful reform models can be found at: http://www.cde.state.co.us/uip/TurnaroundSupport.asp.

Data & Reporting (Continued)

By agreeing to participate in the Tiered Intervention Grant, grantees acknowledge that in addition to the monitoring conducted by the CDE, the United States Department of Education (USDE) periodically monitors grantees.

In addition, the Colorado Department of Education uses the attached tool for implementation monitoring (see page 44 for Attachment F).

Tiered Intervention Grants are intended to yield rapid increases in student achievement; therefore, funded sites that have a flat or declining performance framework profile over the life of the grant are unlikely to be funded for a third year.

Renewal Decision - Year 1 and Year 2 (Approx. August 2016)

For continuation of funding into Year 2, CDE will consider achievement data, with a strong emphasis on implementation data including:

- An indication that the district and school desire to continue Tiered Intervention Grant funding in the school and have a willingness and readiness to revisit the strategies necessary to significantly improve the school's performance.
- An indication of the willingness of the district and school to create an implementation plan that is consistent with Title I, section 1003(g) requirements.
- an indication that funds are being used as approved and that program is being implemented as planned.
- An indication of successful completion of pre-implementation benchmarks (i.e., including hiring a new school leader, identifying partner organizations and securing formal flexibilities).

Annual Renewal **Process**

Renewal Decisions – Year 3, 4 and 5 (Approx. August 2017 to August 2019)

For continuation of funding into Year 3, 4, and 5, emphasis will be placed on school achievement gains, as well as implementation data. Schools will be asked to submit qualitative data showing successful implementation data. Additionally schools will be asked to submit leading indicator data that demonstrates positive trends, including:

- Average daily attendance rates increasing and approaching or exceeding 95%;
- Chronic absenteeism rates decreasing and/or below 10%; and
- Discipline data showing a reduction in Out of School Suspension, In School Suspensions and Referrals.

Following the 2015-2016 school year, school performance framework data will be used for final renewal decisions. Note: SPF ratings will be used for renewal decisions, if they are finalized and ready by the time of renewal application processing.

Submission Process

If interested in applying for this grant opportunity, please complete the Letter of Intent (Attachment D) and submit by Friday, July 10, 2015 to CompetitiveGrants@cde.state.co.us.

The complete electronic copy of the application must be received by Tuesday, August 18, 2015 at 4:00

Please e-mail all required pieces of the narrative with the Excel budget workbook and related attachments. Faxes will not be accepted. Incomplete or late proposals will not be considered. Application materials and budget are available for download on the CDE Web site at: http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/tieredinterventiongrantresources.

Submit Proposals to:

Competitive Grants & Awards Colorado Department of Education CompetitiveGrants@cde.state.co.us

Required Elements

The format outlined below must be followed in order to assure consistent application of the review criteria (see evaluation rubric for specific detail).

Proposal Introduction (not scored)

Cover Page Schools to be Served LEA/School Information and Signature Page Assurance and Certification Form Waivers **Executive Summary**

Narrative

Section I: LEA Readiness

Section II: LEA Commitment and Capacity

Section III: LEA Program Plan based on model Chosen

Section IV: Budget Narrative **Electronic Budget**

Application Format:

- Applications should only include the required elements.
- The total narrative of the application cannot exceed **16 pages.** This does not include electronic budget workbook, relevant attachments and documentation.
- All pages must be standard letter size, 8-1/2" x 11" using no smaller than 12 point type.
- Use a document footer with the name of the applying entity and page numbers.
- Use 1-inch margins.

2015 TIERED INTERVENTION GRANT							
PART I: COVER PAGE (Complete and attach as the first page of proposal)							
Name of Lead Lo Agency (LEA)/Or							
Mailing Address:							
District Code:				DUI	NS #:		
District Turnaro	und Project Mana	ger:					
Mailing Address:							
Telephone:					E-mail:		
Program Contact Person (if different):							
Mailing Address:							
Telephone: E-mail:							
Fiscal Manager:							
Telephone:					E-mail:		
Region: Indicate	the region(s) this	proposal	vill dired	tly in	npact		
☐ Metro ☐ Pikes Peak ☐ North Central ☐ Northwest ☐ West Central ☐ Southwest ☐ Southeast ☐ Northeast							
overall total. Ple	Total LEA Request: Indicate the total amount of funding you are requesting for each year as well as the overall total. Please note: An individual budget will be required for each school site totaling to the amount listed below.						
Year 1 (May 30, 2015 – September 30, 2016)	Year 2 (July 1, 2016 – September 30, 2017)	Yea (July 1, 3 Septeml 201	2017 – per 30,		Year 4 lly 1, 2018 – otember 30, 2019)	Year 5 (July 1, 2019 – September 30, 2020)	Total
\$	\$	\$		\$		\$	\$

Please note: If the grant is approved, funding will not awarded until all signatures are in place. Please attempt to obtain all signatures before submitting the application.

The Year 1 grant period may be a pre-implementation year. In this case, the electronic budget would only need to reflect costs for Year 1. The full budget will be required with plan due January, 2016. However, estimated costs for additional years must be reflect in the Total LEA Request above.

PART IA: SCHOOLS TO BE SERVED

Complete the following information by identifying each priority and focus school, as applicable, the LEA commits to serve and identify the model that the LEA will use in each priority and focus school, as applicable.

The models the LEA may include are: (1) turnaround; (2) restart; (3) closure; (4) transformation; (5) state-determined model, if approved; (6) evidence-based whole school reform model; and (7) early learning model.

SCHOOL	NCES ID #	PRIORITY	FOCUS (if applicable) ¹	INTERVENTION
NAME				
Priority School ES #1	xxxxx	X		turnaround
Priority School HS #1	xxxxx	X		state-determined model
Priority School MS #1	xxxxx	X		transformation
Priority School ES #2	xxxxx	Х		turnaround

¹An LEA in which one or more priority schools are located must serve all of these schools before it may serve one or more focus schools.

PART IB: LEA/School Information Page (Complete and attach as the third page of proposal. If there are more than 3 participating schools the district may duplicate this page and attach it after page 3.)						
District Name:		District Code:				
School Contact Information						
School #1 Name:		School Code:				
Contact Name and Title:						
Telephone:	E-mail:					
Is school currently receiving a School Improvement Grant fun	ded through 1003(a) fun	nds? Ye	es 🗌 No			
School #2 Name:		School Code:				
Contact Name and Title:						
Telephone:	E-mail:					
Is school currently receiving a School Improvement Grant fun	ded through 1003(a) fun	nds? Ye	es 🗌 No			
School #3 Name:		School Code:				
Contact Name and Title:						
Telephone:	E-mail:					
Is school currently receiving a School Improvement Grant fun	ded through 1003(a) fun	nds? Ye	es 🗌 No			

PART IC: Certification and Assurance Form (Complete and attach as the fourth and fifth pages of proposal)

The School Board President and Board-Appointed Authorized Representative must sign below to indicate their approval of the contents of the application, and the receipt of program funds.

On	(date) , 2015	the Board of	(district)
hereby applies	for and, if awarded, acc	epts the state fu	nds requested in this application. In consideration of
the receipt of tl	hese grant funds, the Bo	ard agrees that	the General Assurances form for all state funds and the
terms therein a	re specifically incorpora	ted by reference	e in this application. The Board also certifies that all
program and pe	ertinent administrative i	equirements wi	I be met. These include the Office of Management and
Budget Account	ting Circulars, and the D	epartment of Ed	ucation's General Education Provisions Act (GEPA)
requirement. In	n addition, the Board ce	rtifies that the d	istrict is in compliance with the requirements of the
federal Children	n's Internet Protection A	ct (CIPA), and th	at no policy of the local educational agency prevents or
otherwise denie	es participation in const	itutionally prote	cted prayer in public schools.

In addition, school districts that accept 1003(g) School Improvement funding for the Tiered Intervention Grant agree to the following:

Federal Assurances:

- Use its Tiered Intervention Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final requirements;
- Establish annual goals for student achievement on the state's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements in order to monitor each school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the SEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive School Improvement funds;
- Report to the Colorado Department of Education (CDE) the school-level data required under section III of the final requirements, including baseline data for the year for the year prior to SIG implementation (program guidance can be found at: http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/guidance-on-school-improvement- grants.)
- Each priority and focus school the LEA commits to serve will receive all of the State and local funds it would receive in the absence of the school improvement funds and that those resources are aligned with the interventions;

State Assurances:

- If the applicant implements a restart model in a priority school, Include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements;
- Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved School Improvement Grant application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality;
- Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken as outlined in the approved School Improvement Grant application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools on how they can sustain progress in the absence of School Improvement Grant funding;
- Provide the Colorado Department of Education such information as may be required to determine if the grantee is making satisfactory progress toward achieving the goals of the grant (e.g., CSAP/TCAP by State Assigned Student IDs, school level non-performance data). The district will report to CDE, at least quarterly, the school level interim measures of student learning required under section III of the final requirements (program guidance can be found at: http://www.cde.state.co.us/fedprograms/guidance-onschool-improvement-grants);

- Align current and future funding sources in support of improvement goals, including commitment to identify and reallocate existing local funds for the purpose of sustaining the improvement work after federal funds expire;
- Participate in ongoing professional learning opportunities focused on school and district improvement;
- Commit to working with CDE to monitor progress on the UIP and make adjustments to the plan accordingly;
- Provide data on attainment of performance targets to CDE to inform decision around the continuation of funding;
- Participate fully in on-site visits conducted by CDE to every funded school during the grant cycle;
- Work collaboratively with CDE, as appropriate, in the selection of a strong school leader or partner, such as a Charter Management Organization (CMO), Education Management Organization (EMO) or other provider;
- Work cooperatively with CDE and provider(s), if applicable, in waiving district policies, procedures or practices that are deemed to be impediments to improvement, such as scheduling of the school day and year; staffing decisions; budgeting; and/or to obtain innovation school status for identified schools;
- Commit to engaging in significant mid-course corrections in the school if the data do not indicate attainment of or significant progress toward achievement benchmarks within the first year of implementation, such as replacing key staff, leadership or external providers;
- Notify the community of the intent to submit an application and that any waiver request will be made available for public review prior to submission of the application;
- Participate in the development and submission of any reports necessary to meet statutory requirements (e.g., EdFacts, CSPR) within the time frames specified;
- Maintain appropriate fiscal and program records. Fiscal audits of funds under this program are to be conducted by the recipient agencies annually as a part of their regular audit;
- Submit budget revision(s), if applicable, to CDE on a quarterly basis for review and approval;
- Submit Annual Financial Reports as part of their annual review with CDE. CDE will utilize the information as a measure of performance and leading indicator of performance in subsequent year(s);
- Contracts with education providers must include a performance guarantee to increase student achievement based on services provided.

As a partner in the Tiered Intervention Grant, CDE agrees to provide the LEA with support and tools to foster successful implementation of the School Improvement Grant program. Specifically, CDE will:

- Provide the LEA with guidance about the specific types of changes and interventions each of the models require;
- Provide the LEA with descriptions and examples of special district governance structures that will ensure necessary freedom and support for interventions in identified schools;
- Provide the LEA with a description of the changes in policy or practice that may be required to ensure necessary flexibility for dramatic improvement in identified schools;
- Periodically review school and district UIPs and provide feedback;
- Meet regularly with School/District to review performance data and implementation of improvement efforts, as defined in the UIP;
- Provide guidance regarding allocation of 1003(g) and other funds in support of dramatic improvement of achievement in the school(s);
- Provide support for quarterly budget revisions;
- Provide ongoing technical assistance; and

Define a set of leading indicators and overall performance targets that the identified school(s) and external providers, if applicable, will be required to demonstrate during the course of the reform effort; additionally interim measures and implementation benchmarks that the LEA may use to hold school(s) and provider(s) accountable.

IF ANY FINDINGS OF MISUSE OF FUNDS ARE DISCOVERED, PROJECT FUNDS MUST BE RETURNED TO THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. The Colorado Department of Education may terminate a grant award with thirty (30) days notice if it is deemed by CDE that the applicant is not fulfilling the requirements of the funded program as specified in the approved project application, or if the program is generating less than satisfactory results.

Name of Board President	Signature of Board President			
Name of District Superintendent	Signature of District Superintendent			
Name of Program Contact	Signature of Program Contact			

PART ID: WAIVERS (Complete and attach as the sixth page of proposal)
(District) requests a waiver of the requirements it has selected below. Please note: If the district does not intend to implement the waiver with respect to each participating school, then it must indicate for which schools it will implement the waiver.
☐ Implementing a schoolwide program in a Title I participating school that does not meet the 40 percent poverty eligibility threshold.
Rural Flexibility
The Colorado Department of Education required that any LEA eligible for services under subpart 1 or 2 of part B of Title VI of the ESEA Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP) that proposes to modify one element of the Turnaround or Transformation model, the LEA must describe how it will still be able to meet the intent and purpose of that element in order to successfully implement the selected school intervention model. LEAs intending to modify an element must complete the Rural Flexibility section of the action plan template for the Turnaround or Transformation models. The description must include the following information: • Identification of the specific element of either the Turnaround or Transformation model that the LEA proposes to modify; and
 LEA's rationale for the need to modify the element identified;
Note: If an LEA that is eligible for services under subpart 1 or 2 of part B of Title VI of the ESEA Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP) selects the Early Learning Model, it cannot modify the requirement to replace the principal who led the school prior to the implementation of the model. A list of LEAs that are eligible for services under the Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP) can be found at the following U.S. Department of Education site: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/reapsrsa/eligible14/index.html
☐ The LEA proposes to modify one element of the Turnaround or Transformation Model as described in the action plan section.

Tiered Intervention Grant 2015 Grant Review Rubric

Part I: Proposal Introduction			No Points
Part II: Narrative			
Section A:	LEA Readiness		/34
Section B:	LEA Commitment and Capacity		/39
Section C:	Needs Assessment and Program Plan		/44
Section D:	Budget Narrative		/23
	Electronic Budget	_	No Points
		Total	/140

GENERAL COMMENTS: Reviewers, please indicate support for scoring by including overall strengths and weaknesses. These comments are used on feedback forms to applicants.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Required Changes:

- a.
- b.

Recommendation:	Funded		Funded w/ Char	nges	Not Fund	ed
		Additio	nal Information Ne	eeded (Intervie	ew)	

✓ Cover Page, Schools to be Served Page, LEA/School Information, Assurance Form and Waiver

Complete the Cover Page, Schools to be Served Page, LEA/School Information and Signature Page, Certification and Assurance Form and Waiver Form and attach as the first six pages of the proposal.

✓ Executive Summary

Provide a brief description (no more than 1 paragraph) of the district and schools and the overall needs of the purposes of this grant. Use a separate sheet of paper and insert after the first six pages.

Narrative 140 Points Total

The following criteria will be used by reviewers to evaluate the application as a whole. In order for the application to be recommended for funding, the application must receive 112 points (80%) of the total possible 140 points and all required parts must be addressed. An application that receives a score of 0 on any required parts within the narrative will not be funded.

LEA Readiness, Commitment and Capacity

The format outlined below **must be followed** in order to assure consistent application of the review criteria.

Narrative

Section I: LEA Readiness

Section II: LEA Commitment and Capacity

Section III: Needs Assessment and Project Plan

Section IV: Budget Narrative

Electronic Budget (Submitted to the CDE Tracker System)

Please organize your narrative by using the headings and subheadings provided in the following rubrics to organize your responses. Note that the total narrative for of the application cannot exceed 16 pages.

Scoring Rubric

Section A: LEA Readiness	Inadequate (information not provided)	Minimal (requires additional clarification)	Adequate (clear and mostly complete)	Excellent (concise and thoroughly developed)
1) Clearly articulate what need this grant would fulfill within your school(s) and district. How does this grant opportunity fit into the district's/school's overall improvement plan?	0	1	3	5
 Describe patterns, core issues of academic concern, and possible root causes for academic concerns in identified turnaround schools. 	0	1	2	3
3) What methods did the district use to consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school intervention models in its schools (e.g., stakeholder meetings (PTA, teacher unions, school board), print/web-based communication, and/or surveys)? Describe how the LEA analyzes the needs identified by families and the community, and selected interventions for each school aligned to the needs each school has identified. Attach relevant documents and communication that articulate stakeholder engagement.	0	1	3	5
4) Describe how input from your families, community and school board has been considered for improvement planning, including model selection, as well as ongoing engagement in the implementation of the model.	0	1	2	3
5) Detail how the community was given notice of intent to submit an application and how any waiver requests will be made available for public review after submission of the application (e.g., newspaper/news releases, posted on the school and/or district website).	0	1	2	3
6) Describe district capacity and staffing structure to support its lowest performing schools. Describe support (frequency and purpose of site visits, dedicated staff) and technical assistance provided by LEA to low-performing schools. Explain how this is different from support all other schools receive.	0	1	3	5
7) Describe the organizational structures, teams, or other mechanisms (e.g. networks, coaches) to be used to support and monitor the implementation of school-level plans.	0	1	3	5
8) Describe how you have made the community (SAC parents, business, foundations, etc.), aware of the performance of the school(s) for which you are applying.	0	1	3	5
Reviewer Comments:				
		ТОТА	L POINTS	/34

Section B: LEA Commitment and Capacity	Inadequate (information not provided)	Minimal (requires additional clarification)	Adequate (clear and mostly complete)	Excellent (concise and thoroughly developed)
1) Describe the <i>specific</i> actions the district has taken (or will take) to ensure flexibility, modify its practices, policies or oversight structures, outside of normal district constraints, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively (e.g., flexible scheduling, principal autonomy over staff hiring/firing and placement, budget autonomy, obtaining innovation school/zone status, teacher/union agreements).	0	3	12	15
Please attach documentation including district and/or school schedules, MOUs between schools and district and external partners, district organizational charts, Election to Work agreements, and budget policies.				
 2) Describe how specific modifications to district policies and practices will be made to allow for implementation of the interventions outlined in the model requirements. a. Submit relevant documentation, such as a MOUs, Election to Work agreements, or thin contracts that supports evidence of flexibility for TIG schools (optional, but recommended). b. For schools electing a pre-implementation year, submit a timeline for securing formal flexibilities. 	0	1	2	3
3) Describe the actions the LEA has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality, and regularly review and hold accountable such providers for their performance (e.g., interviews, screening tools created). Please attach documentation of RFP criteria, external partner evaluation tools, etc.	0	1	3	5
4) Describe the specific actions the district will take to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends (e.g., professional development, trainer of trainer models, district commitment of continuation resources).	0	1	2	3
5) Describe how the district will monitor the implementation of the selected intervention model at each school and how the district will know whether planned interventions and strategies are working. Indicate which data will be used to determine whether improvement efforts are having the desired effects on student outcomes. Describe the process the district will establish to frequently monitor the effectiveness of the school improvement plans.	0	1	3	5

6) Describe how the district will formally coordinate the activities of partner organizations.	0	1	2	3					
7) Describe how the project strategies will be modified if the progress monitoring data does not show that targets have been met.	0	1	3	5					
Reviewer Comments:									

TOTAL POINTS	/39

Section C: Needs Assessment and Program Plan	Inadequate (information not provided)	Minimal (requires additional clarification)	Adequate (clear and mostly complete)	Excellent (concise and thoroughly developed)								
Address each of the following questions for each of the participating schools:												
1) Analyze the current conditions in the proposed school(s) by providing student performance and other relevant student achievement data. Address what is preventing the school from increased academic performance and to what does the district attribute the failure of student academic growth over time.	0	3	5	7								
2) Identify the TIG model to be used as an intervention for the school. Describe the factors and data (including diagnostic review data) that make the model a good fit for the needs of the school. Demonstrate and describe how, to the extent practicable, the LEA will implement one or more evidence-based strategies to address the identified needs.	0	3	5	7								
3) Submit the Model Requirement Implementation Plan and Target Setting Sheet (Attachment E) for each proposed site. Use the template making sure to clearly address the needs assessment. Additional narrative detail may be added if there is not enough clarity within the Plan itself. Please note: To ensure success, it is imperative that specific needs are clearly delineated before an intervention model is chosen, before the plan is prepared and (if applicable) before a provider is chosen.	0	15	25	30								
Reviewer Comments:												

TOTAL POINTS

/44

Costion D. Dudget Novertine	Inadequate (information	Minimal (requires	Adequate (clear and	Excellent (concise and						
Section D: Budget Narrative	not provided)	additional clarification)	mostly complete)	thoroughly developed)						
For each school, provide a 5-year electronic budget		·								
(http://www.cde.state.co.us/turnaround/cde_turnaroundplan_home.htm) in compliance with CDE's standard fiscal										
rules including a budget narrative that contains the follow	_	-								
1) Complete a narrative describing how costs contribute to plan goals and the specific model. All expenditures contained in the budget are described in the budget narrative and justified in connection to project goals, activities and specific model. The costs of the proposed project (as presented in the budget and budget narrative) are reasonable and the budget sufficient in relation to the objectives, design, and scope of project activities.	0	3	5	7						
2) Describe the amount of school improvement funds to be used for both pre-implementation (those activities which are absolutely necessary to implement the model fully and effectively) and implementation of the selected model and activities in each school the LEA commits to serve is clearly delineated.	0	1	3	5						
3) Amount of school improvement dollars used by the LEA to support implementation of the selected school intervention model and activities are clearly detailed.	0	1	3	5						
4) Demonstrates how district will align current and future funding in support of improvement goals and sustainability (e.g., specific funds identified, how will existing funds be reallocated to sustain grant after federal funding ends).	0	1	2	3						
5) Details any portion of the plan that will be paid for by grant funds.	0	1	2	3						
Note: A final budget and budget narrative will be required after actual allocations are determined. Upon approval of a final budget and budget narrative, funds will be released to the grantees. An LEA's budget must cover the period of availability, including any extension granted through a waiver, and be of sufficient size and scope to implement the selected school intervention model in each school the LEA commits to serve. Reviewer Comments:										
		TOTA	AL POINTS	/23						

2015 Tiered Intervention Grant Eligible Schools

Note:

- All approvable TIG applications from Priority Schools(TIG Eligible) in Colorado must be funded before any applications from Focus Schools are funded.
- An LEA must apply for all Priority Schools (TIG Eligible) in the district before it may apply for an eligible Focus Schools.
- LEAs with only focus schools may apply for those schools. However, CDE must fund all approvable Priority School applications (TIG Eligible) before it funds any approvable Focus School applications.

District #	District Name	School #	School Name	EM H	EM H All	Reason for Identification
0020	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS	1878	CORONADO HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
0020	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS	6376	NORTH STAR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
0020	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS	2582	ROCKY MOUNTAIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
0020	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS	8361	STUKEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0020	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS	5814	THE INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL AT THORNTON MIDDLE	М	М	Current Focus School
0020	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS	8842	THORNTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
0030	ADAMS COUNTY 14	1426	CENTRAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0030	ADAMS COUNTY 14	6534	HANSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0030	ADAMS COUNTY 14	0186	ALSUP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
0030	ADAMS COUNTY 14	2308	DUPONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
0030	ADAMS COUNTY 14	4536	KEMP ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	0914	BOSTON K-8 SCHOOL	Е	EM	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	0914	BOSTON K-8 SCHOOL	М	EM	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	2998	FLETCHER COMMUNITY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	6728	PARIS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	7558	SABLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement

District #	District Name	School #	School Name		EM H All	Reason for Identification	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	9060	VAUGHN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	9140	VIRGINIA COURT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	9514	WHEELING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	4970	LANSING ELEMENTARY COMMUNITY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	5361	LYN KNOLL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	6310	NORTH MIDDLE SCHOOL HEALTH SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY	М	М	Current Focus School	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	7932	SIXTH AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School	
0180	ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J	8078	SOUTH MIDDLE SCHOOL	М	М	Current Focus School	
1620	AGUILAR REORGANIZED 6	0058	AGUILAR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
1620	AGUILAR REORGANIZED 6	0066	AGUILAR JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	М	МН	Current Focus School	
1620	AGUILAR REORGANIZED 6	0066	AGUILAR JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	Н	МН	Current Focus School	
0100	ALAMOSA RE-11J	0368	ALAMOSA OMBUDSMAN SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE	Н	МН	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
0100	ALAMOSA RE-11J	0368	ALAMOSA OMBUDSMAN SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE	М	МН	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
0480	BOULDER VALLEY RE 2	4496	JUSTICE HIGH CHARTER SCHOOL	Н	МН	Current Focus School ^b	
8001	CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE	1877	COLORADO PROVOST ACADEMY	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Served	
8001	CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE	4699	NEW AMERICA SCHOOL - THORNTON	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement / Low grad rate	
8001	CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE	6219	NEW AMERICA SCHOOL - LOWRY	Н	Н	Current Focus School ^b	
8001	CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE	0654	THE PINNACLE CHARTER SCHOOL ELEMENTARY	Е	Е	Current Focus School	
8001	CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE	9785	YOUTH & FAMILY ACADEMY CHARTER	Н	МН	Current Focus School	
1010	COLORADO SPRINGS 11	8457	JACK SWIGERT AEROSPACE ACADEMY	М	М	Current Focus School	
1010	COLORADO SPRINGS 11	9445	WEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School	
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	1748	COLORADO HIGH SCHOOL CHARTER	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Served	
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	1846	COLUMBINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	2789	ESCUELA TLATELOLCO SCHOOL ^a	E	EM H	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement	

District #	District Name	School #	School Name		EM H All	Reason for Identification
			a		EM	
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	2789	ESCUELA TLATELOLCO SCHOOL ^a	Н	H EM	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	2789	ESCUELA TLATELOLCO SCHOOL ^a	М	Н	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	3426	GILPIN MONTESSORI PUBLIC SCHOOL	E	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	3778	HARRINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	4656	KEPNER MIDDLE SCHOOL	М	М	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	5448	MANUAL HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8145	SUMMIT ACADEMY	Н	МН	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement / Low grad rate
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8909	TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HORACE MANN	Е	EM	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8909	TREVISTA ECE-8 AT HORACE MANN	M EM		TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	9050	VALVERDE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	0010	ABRAHAM LINCOLN HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	0067	ACADEMY OF URBAN LEARNING	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	1866	ACE COMMUNITY CHALLENGE SCHOOL	Н	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	0220	AMESSE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	0650	BEACH COURT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	1400	CENTENNIAL A SCHOOL FOR EXPEDITIONARY LEARNING (formerly CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCHOOL)	E	E	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	1295	COLLEGIATE PREPARATORY ACADEMY	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	5844	CONTEMPORARY LEARNING ACADEMY	Н	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	2188	DENVER CENTER FOR 21ST LEARNING AT WYMAN	М	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	2188	DENVER CENTER FOR 21ST LEARNING AT WYMAN	Н	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	3000	FLORENCE CRITTENTON HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	3512	GOLDRICK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Ε	Е	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8054	HENRY WORLD SCHOOL GRADES 6-8	М	М	Current Focus School

District #	District Name	School #	School Name		EM H All	Reason for Identification
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	4494	JUSTICE HIGH SCHOOL DENVER	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	5608	MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE LEADERSHIP ACADEMY	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	6239	NOEL COMMUNITY ARTS SCHOOL	М	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	6239	NOEL COMMUNITY ARTS SCHOOL	Н	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	7163	P.R.E.P. (POSITIVE REFOCUS EDUCATION PROGRAM)	М	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	7163	P.R.E.P. (POSITIVE REFOCUS EDUCATION PROGRAM)	Н	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	0040	RIDGE VIEW ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	7698	SCHMITT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	E	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8053	SOAR AT GREEN VALLEY RANCH	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8132	SOUTHWEST EARLY COLLEGE	Н	Н	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	8995	VISTA ACADEMY	Н	МН	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	9739	WYATT ACADEMY	Е	EM	Current Focus School
0880	DENVER COUNTY 1	9739	WYATT ACADEMY	М	EM	Current Focus School
0900	DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1	5405	EDCSD: COLORADO CYBER SCHOOL	Н	EM H	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Eligible ^c
0900	DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1	3995	HOPE ONLINE LEARNING ACADEMY HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Eligible ^{bc}
0910	EAGLE COUNTY RE 50	4838	JUNE CREEK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
2520	EAST OTERO R-1	4841	LA JUNTA INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0120	ENGLEWOOD 1	1556	CHERRELYN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
1110	FALCON 49 (Moved from CSI as of 2013)	3475	GOAL ACADEMY	Н	Н	Current Focus School
3120	GREELEY 6	1384	CENTENNIAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
3120	GREELEY 6	4438	JOHN EVANS MIDDLE SCHOOL	М	М	Current Focus School
3120	GREELEY 6	5620	MAPLEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
3120	GREELEY 6	6774	MARTINEZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School

District #	District Name	School #	School Name		EM H All	Reason for Identification
1390	HUERFANO RE-1	3306	GARDNER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	М	EM	Current Focus School
1540	IGNACIO 11 JT	4252	IGNACIO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	4422	JEFFERSON HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	6237	NEW AMERICA SCHOOL	Н	Н	Current Focus School
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	6474	O'CONNELL MIDDLE SCHOOL	М	М	Current Focus School
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	6848	PENNINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	9154	VIVIAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	9515	WHEAT RIDGE 5-8	E	EM	Current Focus School
1420	JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1	9515	WHEAT RIDGE 5-8	М	EM	Current Focus School
2862	JULESBURG RE-1	4369	INSIGHT SCHOOL OF COLORADO AT JULESBURG	Н	МН	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Eligible ^c
1510	LAKE COUNTY R-1	4901	LAKE COUNTY INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL (formerly LAKE COUNTY MIDDLE SCHOOL)	E	E	Current Focus School
1510	LAKE COUNTY R-1	9486	WESTPARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
0010	MAPLETON 1	0263	GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY	Н	EM H	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0010	MAPLETON 1	0263	GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY	М	EM H	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
0010	MAPLETON 1	0509	CLAYTON PARTNERSHIP SCHOOL	М	EM	Current Focus School ^b
0010	MAPLETON 1	0509	CLAYTON PARTNERSHIP SCHOOL	Е	EM	Current Focus School
0010	MAPLETON 1	0263	GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY	E	EM H	Current Focus School
0010	MAPLETON 1	0501	MONTEREY COMMUNITY SCHOOL	Е	EM	Current Focus School
0010	MAPLETON 1	0501	MONTEREY COMMUNITY SCHOOL	М	EM	Current Focus School ^b
0010	MAPLETON 1	0504	WELBY MONTESSORI SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
2740	MONTE VISTA C-8	6036	BILL METZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School
2035	MONTEZUMA-CORTEZ RE-1	5436	MANAUGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
2035	MONTEZUMA-CORTEZ RE-1	4546	KEMPER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	Е	Current Focus School

District #	District Name	School #	School Name		EM H All	Reason for Identification
2035	MONTEZUMA-CORTEZ RE-1	5836	MESA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	0822	BESSEMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	М	EM	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	4376	RISLEY INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF INNOVATION	М	М	TIG Eligible: Lowest 5% Achievement
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	0822	BESSEMER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	EM	Current Focus School
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	1304	CARLILE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School ^b
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	3206	HEROES MIDDLE SCHOOL (formerly FREED MIDDLE SCHOOL)	М	М	Current Focus School
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	4302	IRVING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	5916	MINNEQUA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	E	E	Current Focus School
2690	PUEBLO CITY 60	7481	RONCALLI STEM ACADEMY (formerly RONCALLI MIDDLE SCHOOL)	М	М	Current Focus School
0040	SCHOOL DISTRICT 27J	1021	BRIGHTON HERITAGE ACADEMY	Н	МН	Current Focus School ^b
0040	SCHOOL DISTRICT 27J	6294	NORTH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
0123	SHERIDAN 2	7842	SHERIDAN HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Served
0470	ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J	6498	OLDE COLUMBINE HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	TIG Eligible: Low grad rate - Title I Eligible ^c
0470	ST VRAIN VALLEY RE 1J	6404	NORTHRIDGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Е	Е	Current Focus School
1560	THOMPSON R2-J	9260	HAROLD FERGUSON HIGH SCHOOL	Н	Н	Current Focus School ^b
3210	WRAY RD-2	9729	BUCHANAN MIDDLE SCHOOL	Е	EM	Current Focus School
3210	WRAY RD-2	9729	BUCHANAN MIDDLE SCHOOL	М	EM	Current Focus School ^b

^aSwitching to private school.

School is both TIG eligible and a current Focus school. If ESEA waiver is approved, school will become a Priority school and will be removed from the Focus list.

School is TIG eligible.

Current Focus School.

^bIf ESEA waiver is approved, school will be removed from Focus list as they do not meet Title I criteria (Title I for two consecutive years).

^cIf ESEA waiver is approved, school will no longer be eligible as all "Title I Eligible" high schools will be removed.

Allowable Use of Funds – Pre-Implementation

- a. Engage a planning consultant to assist in the planning year
- b. Ongoing planning and consultation with stakeholders (teachers, parents, community members)
- c. Costs associates with recruitment and selection of the new principal
- d. Ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies.
- e. Engaging with a lead partner to assist with implementation of the intervention model
- f. Costs associated with recruitment of staff who support the vision and approach of the turnaround
- g. Physical plant changes repair, clean and freshen up the building and grounds to convey in concrete terms that changes is on the way
 - For additional guidance, regarding physical plant changes, please reference updated SIG Guidance for awards made with FY 2014 funds (March, 2015) at http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

Examples of Allowable Use of Funds

Please note: A comprehensive list of allowable activities can be found in "Guidance on School Improvement Grants" issues by the U.S. Department of Education in March 2015. http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

Turnaround Model

- On-going, high quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program;
- Training in data analysis to inform and differentiate instruction;
- Financial incentives to recruit, place and retain staff with skills necessary to meet the needs of students in the turnaround school;
- Appropriate social-emotional and community oriented services and supports for students;
- Stipends that provide additional time for data meetings, Review of curriculum to make sure it is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State Academic standards, establishing schedules that will provide increased learning time;
- Costs associated with developing local competencies;
- Costs associated with implementing a new school model;

Restart Model

Please Note: Any of the allowable activities in the turnaround or transformation model are allowable in the restart model.

Services from an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process or a charter school operator (CMO).

School Closure

Please Note: The funds allocated for a school closure are not subject to renewal since it is limited to the time necessary to close the school (usually one year or less)

- Costs that are associated with general responsibilities IF the costs are directly attributable to the school closure and exceed the costs the LEA would have incurred in the absence of the closure.
- Necessary and reasonable costs associated with closing a Tier I or Tier II school, such as costs related to parent and community outreach, including, but not limited to, press releases, newsletters, newspaper announcements, hotlines, direct mail notices, or meeting regarding the school closures; services to help parents and students transition to a new school; or orientation activities, including open houses, that are specifically designed for students attending a new school after their prior school closes.

Transformation Model

- Costs associated with the development of a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system for teacher and principals that take into account student growth data, and are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement.
- Rewards for school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation.
- Ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies.
- Financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation model.
- Additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of students in a transformation school.
- Costs associated with implementing a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model.
- Additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content.
- Technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program.
- Enrollment in advanced coursework, early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers.
- Summer transition programs or freshman academies.
- Costs associated with credit recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills.
- Stipends for additional time to create early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or to graduate.
- Extending or restructuring the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff.
- Positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment.
- Costs associated with full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- On-going, intensive support for school site(s) from LEA or external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).

Secondary School Success Model (State Determined Model)

- Select a school leader to lead the transformation, ensuring a track record of successful turnaround leadership.
- Use evaluations based on student achievement/growth and identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who improve student achievement and identify and remove those who do not.
- Provide relevant, ongoing, job-embedded PD.
- Implement strategies designed to recruit, place, and retain high-quality staff interested in supporting secondary school transformation.
- Implement a comprehensive, researched-based instructional program that are vertically aligned from one grade level to the next, as well as aligned with the CO Academic Standards.

- Create structures to support students, particularly in transition from 8th-9th grade, in intervention and credit recovery. Ensure students who are receiving interventions or creditrecovery opportunities have access to grade-level content.
- Create data systems to identify students who are on- and off-track to graduate and use data to monitor the effectiveness of the instructional program and provide timely and rigorous interventions.
- Offer credit recovery opportunities that address the challenges that prevented students from previous success. Ensure that teachers certified in the appropriate subject oversee the courses. Offer these courses during non-traditional hours (e.g. before- or after-school, evenings, and weekends)
- Establish strategies to create small learning communities to increase student engagement and teacher involvement. Schools may create thematic communities around academics or careers.
- Create structures to support students in dual-enrollment through concurrent enrollment, AP, and college in the schools.
- Provide opportunities for students to participate in internships or externships with local businesses and organizations.
- Provide expanded time for teachers to engage in collaboration and professional development. Build time and incentives for collaboration between high school teachers and post-secondary institutions.
- Establish a positive and safe school culture with a focus on high expectations and college and career readiness for all students.
- Develop a specific, rigorous attendance plan to increase average daily attendance and decrease chronic absenteeism.
- Create discipline policies that encourage alternatives to suspensions.
- Maintain close communication with students and families.

Early Learning Model

- Costs associated with full day kindergarten
- Costs associated with expanding a high quality pre-school program
- Joint planning time
- Cost associated with replacing the principal
- Cost associated with a rigorous, transparent and equitable teacher evaluation system
- Rewards for school leaders, teachers and other staff have increased student achievement

Evidence-Based Whole School Reform Model

Partner with an external agency from What Works Clearing house to implement the identified Evidence-based Whole School Reform model.

2015 Tiered Intervention Grant LETTER OF INTENT

TO:	Competitive Grants &	Awards
	Colorado Department	of Education
SUBJECT:	Letter of Intent	
Please be advise	ed that: (LEA)	
intends to subm schools. Please l	it an application for the <i>Tier</i>	ed Intervention Grant on behalf of the following uplan to apply and which model you will be most ows may be added)
	School	Model
	3611001	Model
Superintendent	Name:	
Superintendent	's Signature:	
Date:		
Contact Person	for the Proposal:	
Telephone:		_
Email:		

Letters of Intent due by 4 p.m. on Friday, July 15, 2015 to: CompetitiveGrants@cde.state.co.us

CO Tiered Intervention Grant Program | 31

Model Requirement Implementation Plan and Target Setting Templates

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)

Turnaround Model*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the	Pre-		Resources (Amount and	Implementation	
requirements of the selected Intervention	implementation	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Source: federal,	Benchmarks	Key Personnel
Model:	Timeline		state, and/or local)		
LEA replaces the principal and grants the new	principal sufficient op	erational flexibility (incl		dars/time, and budgeti	ng) to implement
fully a comprehensive approach in order to se	ubstantially improve stu	udent achievement out	comes and increase hig	h school graduation ra	tes.
LEA uses locally adopted competencies to me	easure the effectiveness	s of staff who can work	within the turnaround	environment to meet t	he needs of students.
 Screens all existing staff and rehire r 					
Selects new staff	,				
_					
LEA implements such strategies as financial in	ncentives, increased op	portunities for promoti	on and career growth.	and more flexible work	conditions that are
designed to recruit, place and retain staff wit					
<u> </u>	1				
_					
LEA provides staff ongoing, high-quality job-e	mbedded professional	development that is ali	gned with the school's	comprehensive instruc	tional program and
designed with school staff to ensure that the	·	•	_	•	
school reform strategies.	y are equipped to rue			capacity to caccess.	,p.cc
LEA adopts a new governance structure, which	<u>I</u> ch may include hut is n	nt limited to requiring	the school to report a r	L new "turnaround office	<u>ι</u> "in the LFΔ or SFΔ
hires a "turnaround leader" who reports dire	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_			
obtain added flexibility in exchange for greate	•	ent of emer readernie	officer, or effect into a r	naid year contract with	THE LETTON SETTE
and the second s					
LEA uses data to identify and implement as is	actructional program th	at is research based an	d vortically aligned from	n ana grada ta tha say	t as well as aligned
LEA uses data to identify and implement an in	istructional program tr	iat is research-based an	u vertically aligned from	n one grade to the nex	t, as well as aligned

LEA promotes the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate inst	
LEA promotes the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate inst	
LEA promotes the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate inst	
	ruction in
order to meet the academic needs of individual students.	
LEA establishes schedules and implements strategies that provide increased learning time.	
LEA provides appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.	
The Colorado Department of Education requires that any LEA eligible for services under subpart 1 or 2 of part B of Title VI of the ESEA Rural Education REAP), which proposes to modify one element of the Turnaround Model, will describe how it will still be able to meet the inpurpose of that element in order to successfully implement the selected school intervention model. The description must include the: Identification of the specific element of the Turnaround model that the LEA proposes to modify:	
☐ LEA's rationale for the need to modify the element identified:	

A list of LEAs that are eligible for services under the Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP) can be found at the following U.S. Department of Education site: http://www2.ed.gov/nclb/freedom/local/reap.html

*For additional information on the Turnaround Model see pages 4-8 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)

Restart Model*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the requirements of the selected Intervention Model:	Pre- implementation Timeline	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Key Personnel					
LEA converts a school or closes and reopens a school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A restart model must enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school										

^{*}For additional information on the Restart Model see pages 9-11 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE **ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965**

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG) School Closure*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the requirements of the selected Intervention Model:	Pre- implementation Timeline	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Key Personnel						
School closure occurs when an LEA closes a school and enrolls the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving. These other schools should be within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.											
LEA officials will engage in an open dialogue with families and the school community early in the closure process to ensure that they understand the data and reasons supporting the decision to close, have a voice in exploring quality options, and help plan a smooth transition for students and their families at the receiving schools.											

^{*}For additional information on the Closure Model see pages 12 – 14 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE **ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965**

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)

Transformation*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the requirements of the selected Intervention Model:	Pre- implementation Timeline	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Key Personnel
LEA replaces the principal who led the school	prior to commenceme	nt of the transformatio	n model.		
Take into account data on student graph performance and ongoing collection. Are designed and developed with teacher and the state of the state	rowth as a significant fa s of professional praction	nctor, as well as other factor, as well as other factor.	actors, such as multiple		
LEA identifies and rewards school leaders, tea graduation rates and identifies and removes to not done so.					
LEA provides staff ongoing, high-quality job-edesigned with school staff to ensure that they school reform strategies.		•	_	•	
LEA implements such strategies as financial ir designed to recruit, place and retain staff wit	- ·	="	_		conditions that are

The Colorado Department of Education requires that any LEA eligible for services under subpart 1 or 2 of part B of Title VI of the ESEA Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP), which proposes to modify one element of the Transformation Model, will describe how it will still be able to meet the intent and purpose of that element in order to successfully implement the selected school intervention model. The description must include the:

☐ Identification of the specific element of the Transfo	ormation model that the LEA p	proposes to modify:	
			_
☐ LEA's rationale for the need to modify the element	identified:		

A list of LEAs that are eligible for services under the Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP) can be found at the following U.S. Department of Education site: http://www2.ed.gov/nclb/freedom/local/reap.html

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

^{*}For additional information on the Transformation Model see pages 14-20 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)

Evidence-Based Whole-School Reform Model*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the requirements of the selected Intervention Model:	Pre- implementation Timeline	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Key Personnel
---	------------------------------------	--------------------	--	------------------------------	---------------

The Colorado Department of Education requires that LEAs the propose to use 1003(g) funds to implement an evidence-based whole-school reform model in its selected school(s) ensure that the model chosen meeting the following criteria:

The model must be designed to:

- (1) Improve student academic achievement or attainment;
- (2) Be implemented for all students in a school; and
- (3) Address, at a minimum and in a comprehensive and coordinated manner, each of the following:
 - School leadership;
 - Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area (including professional learning for educators);
 - Student non-academic support; and
 - Family and community engagement.

An LEA seeking to use SIG funds to implement an evidence-based whole-school reform model in a school must choose from among the models reviewed and identified by the Department as meeting applicable requirements. For a list of the USDE approved Evidence-Based, Whole-School Reform Models go to the following link: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html

Note: Prior to approving the application of an LEA seeking to implement a selected evidence-based whole-school reform model, an SEA must ensure the appropriateness of the model by considering the extent to which the evidence supporting the model includes a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school to be served (see I-2) of the USDE Guidance.

Evidence-Based Reform-Model Selection process:

An LEA must implement an evidence-based whole-school reform model in partnership with a model developer. Section I.A.3 of the final requirements defines "whole-school reform model developer" as an entity or individual that:
(1) Maintains proprietary rights for the model; or
(2) If no entity or individual maintains proprietary rights for the model, has a demonstrated record of success in implementing a whole-school reform model (as defined in the final requirements) and is selected through a rigorous review process that includes a determination that the entity or individual is likely to produce strong results for the school.
Evidence-based Whole-school Model Developer selection monitoring and evaluation process

*For additional information on the Evidence-Based Whole-School Reform Model see pages 64-65 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)

Early Learning Model*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the requirements of the selected Intervention Model:	Pre- implementation Timeline	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Key Personnel						
Offer Full Day Kindergarten											
Establish or Expand a High Quality preschool program (as defined in these requirements) 7244 - 7245 Federal Register / Vol. 80, No. 26 / Monday, February 9, 2015 / Rules and Regulations (see definition below this table)											
Provide educators, including preschool teaches student interactions	ers, with time for joint	planning across grades	to facilitate effective te	eaching and learning an	d positive teacher						
Replace the principal who led the school prio	to commencement of	the early learning mod	el								
Implement rigorous, transparent, and equital principal involvement, that meet the requirer			L rs and principals design I	L ed and developed with	L n teacher and						
Use the teacher and principal evaluation and teachers, and other staff who, in implementing opportunities have been provided for them to	ng this model, have incr	reased student achieve	ment and identify and r								
Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of students in the school, taking into consideration the results from the teacher and principal evaluation and support system described in section I.A.2(d)(1)(A)(ii) of these requirements, if applicable;											

Use data to identify and implement an instru	ctional program that—									
(A) Is research-based, developmentally appropriate, and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State early learning and										
development standards and State academic standards; and										
(B) In the early grades, promotes the full rang	ge of academic content	across domains of deve	elopment, including ma	th and science, languag	ge and literacy, socio-					
emotional skills, self-regulation, and executiv	e functions;									
Promote the continuous use of student data	(such as from formative	e, interim, and summat	ive assessments) to info	orm and differentiate in	struction in order to					
meet the educational and developmental nee	eds of individual studen	ts;								
Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embe	dded professional deve	lopment such as coach	ing and mentoring (e.g.	., regarding subject-spe	cific pedagogy,					
instruction that reflects a deeper understand	ing of the community s	erved by the school, or	differentiated instructi	on) that is aligned with	the school's					
comprehensive instructional program and de	signed with school staf	f to ensure they are equ	uipped to facilitate effe	ctive teaching and lear	ning and have the					
capacity to implement successfully school reform strategies.										

NOTE: If an LEA that is eligible for services under subpart 1 or 2 of part B of Title VI of the ESEA Rural Education Assistance Program (REAP) selects the Early Learning Model, it <u>cannot</u> modify the requirement that the principal who led the school prior to the implementation of the model must be replaced.

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

^{*}For additional information on the Early Learning Model see pages 66-69 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

Intervention Model Action Plan: Adopt Tiered Intervention Grant (TIG)

Secondary Success Model (State-Determined Model)*

Summary of needs analysis this strategy will address (from existing UIP):

Description of Action Steps to address the requirements of the selected Intervention Model:	Pre- implementation Timeline	Year 2- 5 Timeline	Resources (Amount and Source: federal, state, and/or local)	Implementation Benchmarks	Key Personnel					
LEA identifies strong school leadership by: Reviewing the performance of the or Replacing the principal with a				Il has a proven track re	cord of success;					
LEA provides operational flexibility in the area of staffing in order to ensure that teachers are effective and able to improve instruction by Requiring a review of all staff and retaining only those who are determined to be effective and have the ability to be successful in supporting the turnaround effort; and Preventing ineffective teachers from transferring to a TIG funded school Implementing strategies designed to recruit, place, and retain high-quality staff interested in supporting secondary school transformation										
 LEA provides operational flexibility in the followard of the	of instructional time wi ovide increased learnin on the school's instructi	g time onal program through	assistance in the identi	fication of an articulate	d instructional model					
LEA establishes systems that: Identify, select, and retain high-quality staff interested in supporting secondary school transformation with a proven track record of effectiveness Use evaluations based on student achievement/growth and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who improve student achievement and identify and remove those who do not Provide ongoing, relevant, job-embedded professional development related but not limited to the following The comprehensive instructional program; and Use of data to inform instruction										

P					
LEA establishes a system that:					
 Develop and implement a specific, ri 	igorous attendance plai	n to increase average d	aily attendance and dec	crease chronic absented	eism.
Implement a data system that identi	•	_	,		
		_	graduato		
Creates interventions to rapidly additional additi	Tess and support studer	ILS WIID are OII track to	graduate	I	I
LEA creates structures to support students in	the transition from 8 th	to 9 th grade, including l	out not limited to the fo	ollowing:	
 Student advisory 					
 Study skills 					
Intensive academic supports and	d interventions				
LEA creates opportunities and structures to s	unnort students in cred	it recovery and nost-se	condary readiness incl	ndina.	
Credit recovery options during t			condary reduiness, inci	dulig.	
 Structures and opportunities for 		_			
 Establish opportunities for stude 	ents to engage in non-t	raditional, career focus	ed learning including ex	xternships, internships,	and experiential
learning					
LEA fosters a positive academic school culture	e by:				
 Creating and implementing disc 	ipline policies that enco	ourage alternatives to s	uspension and address	students' social, emoti	onal, and health
needs.	.pe ponoreo unat en e	arabe arearrantes to s	aspension and address	oradonio ocolal, emeti	onal, and noalen
 Developing and implementing a 	•	= =			
 Developing and implementing a 	strategy to engage sta	keholders in the improv	ement process and lev	erage community parti	nerships for
improvement activities					

http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/index.html

^{*}For additional information on the State-Determined Model see pages 69-70 of the GUIDANCE ON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS UNDER SECTION 1003(g) OF THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

School Leading Indicators/Student Data Template

(Sch Nan	iool ne)			SCH	100)L	LE/	ADI	NG	IN	DIC	TAC	OF	RS /	' S 7	ΓU[DEN	JT	DA	TA		
au 5 15	Grad	Measure and	EOY	Baseli	ОСТО	OBER	NOVI	EMBE R	DECE	MBER	JANU	JARY	FEBR	UARY	MAI	RCH	AP	RIL		OF AR	RESU LTS	GROW TH
SUBJE CT	e/ Leve I	Metric of Assessm ent	Targ et	ne Resul ts	Targ et	Resu Its (% P+)	Targ et	Resu Its (% P+)	Targ et	Resu Its (% P+)	Targ et	Resu Its (% P+)	VS TARG ET	FROM BASEL INE								
	Over all	Average Daily Attenda nce																	0%			
IRE	Over all	% Chronic Absente eism																	0%			
STUDENT CULTURE	Over all	Out of School Suspensi ons																	0%			
INT	Over all	In School Suspensi ons																	0%			
JDE	Over all	# Referrals																	0%			
STL	-	-																	0%			
	-	-																	0% 0%			
	-	-																	0%			
	-	-																	0%			
REA DING	Over all	-																				
	-	-																	0%			

			_			_						Attac	hment F
WRITING	-	-									0%		
	-	-									0%		
	-	-									0%		
	-	-									0%		
	Over all	-									0		
	-	-									0		
	-	-									0		
	-	-									0		
	-	-									0		
	-	-									0		
MATH	Over all	-											
	-	-									0%		
	-	-									0%		
	-	-									0%		
	-	-									0%		

0%