## The Impact of House Bill 14-165 on Final Effectiveness Ratings

The purpose of this document is to describe, in technical detail, how dynamic scales can be applied to a final effectiveness rating when a district elects to weigh the Measures of Student Learning lower than 50 percent of an educator's overall evaluation. It should be noted that CDE has already created tools that do this automatically so districts/personnel should not have to conduct any of these calculations by hand.

## Determining a final effectiveness rating when MSL/MSO is weighted less than 50 Percent

House Bill 14-165 gave Districts and BOCES flexibility in determining the proportion that Measures of Student Learning / Outcomes (MSL/MSO) represents in an educator's annual evaluation for the 2014-15 school-year. The flexibility provides Districts/BOCES the ability to weight the MSL/MSO portion of an educator's evaluation between zero and 50 percent of an educator's evaluation.

Prior to the adoption of HB14-165, all Colorado State Model Evaluation System scoring was based on a 50/50 representation of professional practices (PP) and MSL/MSO. Due to the implementation of HB 14165 it was determined that a dynamic scoring system must be created to ensure that the cut points for each component of the evaluation system would be accurately represented in the final effectiveness rating regardless of the weights selected by a district/BOCES. Because the final effectiveness ratings are determined by combining a five category scale for PP with a four category scale for MSL/MSO a dynamic technique to establish cut points is necessary.

Figure D1. Process for Determining a Final Effectiveness Rating for Teachers if MSL/Os are weighted lower than 50 Percent


Understanding Professional Practices Ratings when weighted greater than 50 Percent of the overall evaluation

Because HB14-165 enables districts/BOCES flexibility to weight the professional practices portion of an educator's evaluation between $50 \%$ and $100 \%$, a professional practices score must be able to account for $100 \%$ of the possible 1080 points available in a final effectiveness score. When the professional practices are weighted at $50 \%$ of an evaluation, the professional practice raw score $(0-20)$ is multiplied by 27 to determine the proportion of the final effectiveness score represented by the professional practices score ( $0-20 * 27=0-540$ or $50 \%$ of 1080). Due to district/BOCES flexibility a multiplier is identified by dividing the maximum number of final effectiveness points available (1080) by the maximum points available in the professional practices raw score (20) multiplied by the weight assigned by the district/BOCES to professional practices (50\% to 100\%). The formula and three examples are presented in Table D1 below.

Table D1: Determining a multiplier for professional practices when weighted greater than 50\%

| Formula: $(1080) / 20^{*}$ Professional Practice Weight $=$ Multiplier |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PP Weight | Teacher $/$ SSP |
| $100 \%$ example | $(1080) / 20$ * $100 \%=\mathbf{5 4}$ (multiplier) |
| $75 \%$ example | $(1080) / 20^{*} \mathbf{7 5 \%}=\mathbf{4 0 . 5}$ (multiplier) |
| $50 \%$ example | $(1080) / 20$ *50\% = 27 (multiplier) |

The district/BOCES weighting decisions influence the multiplier. Once the multiplier is determined, the professional practices raw score $(0-20)$ is multiplied by the multiplier to determine the professional practices portion of the final effectiveness score.

Understanding Measures of Student Learning Ratings when weighted less than $50 \%$ of the overall evaluation

Districts/BOCES identify the different measures of student learning comprising an educator's body of evidence for the measures of student learning portion of their evaluation. The measures of student learning are weighted and combined resulting in a MSL/MSO raw score (0-3). The MSL/MSO raw score is then converted to a "measures of student learning/outcomes score" by applying the appropriate formula as shown in Table D2.

Table D2: Converting raw MSL/MSO score into an MSL/MSO Score

| MSL / MSO rating | Raw MSL/MSO <br> Score (0-3) | Converting raw MSL/MSO score to an MSL/MSO score on a 1080 scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More than Expected | $\begin{gathered} 2.5<=\text { score <= } \\ 3.0 \end{gathered}$ | [(Raw Score - 2.5) * 1080 * (\%Weight of MSL/MSO) / 2] <br> $+[(\% W e i g h t ~ o f ~ M S L / M S O) ~ * ~ . ~ 75 ~ * ~ 1080] ~] ~$ |
| Expected | $\begin{gathered} 1.5<=\text { score < } \\ 2.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline[(\text { Raw Score }-1.5) * 1080 *(\% \text { Weight of MSL/MSO) / 4] } \\ +[(\% \text { Weight of MSL/MSO) } \\ * .50 * 1080] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Less than <br> Expected | . 5 < score < 1.5 | ```[(Raw Score - .5) * 1080 * (%Weight of MSL/MSO) / 4] + [(%Weight of MSL/MSO) *.25 * 1080]``` |
| Much Less than Expected | 0 < score < . 5 | ( Raw Score) * 1080 * (\%Weight of MSL/MSO)*. 5 |

Determining a Final Effectiveness Score and Rating when MSL/MSO is weighted less than 50\% of an educator's evaluation

To determine the final educator effectiveness score, the professional practice score ( $0-1080$ ) is added to the MSL/MSO score (0-540). Translating the final effectiveness score into a final effectiveness rating entails locating the score earned in the dynamically calculated range of scores (or cut points) as illustrated in Table D7.

Figure 2: Teacher / SSP Final Effectiveness Sample Cut Points based on varying weightings of MSL to PP* (from Table D7)

| Final Effectiveness Rating | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ 0 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 90 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ & \text { 10\%MSL } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 80 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ & 20 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ & 30 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ & 40 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50\%PP / } \\ & 50 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| Highly Effective | 649 | 1080 | 664 | 1080 | 680 | 1080 | 697 | 1080 | 713 | 1080 | 729 | 1080 |
| Effective | 379 | 648 | 394 | 663 | 410 | 679 | 427 | 696 | 443 | 712 | 459 | 728 |
| Partially Effective | 109 | 378 | 124 | 393 | 140 | 409 | 157 | 426 | 173 | 442 | 189 | 458 |
| Ineffective | 0 | 108 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 188 |

*HB14-165 allows for MSL/O to be weighted at any value between $0 \%$ and $50 \%$. These are just examples for reference purposes.

The method for dynamically calculating the final effectiveness rating cut points is described below.

Setting the Cut Points for Professional Practice Ratings, MSL/MSO Ratings, and Final Effectiveness Ratings

The cut points for professional practice ratings (basic, partially proficient, proficient, accomplished and exemplary) are determined by multiplying a "multiplier" (27-54), shown in Table D1, to the raw professional practices score cut points $(0-20)$ as shown below in Table D3, rounded to the nearest hundredth to determine the ranges for each professional practice rating.

Table D3: Establishing Maximum Cut Points for Professional Practices Scores, Teachers/SSPs

| Professional Practices Ratings Maximum Professional Practices Raw Score by Rating |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multiplier } \\ & \text { determined by } \\ & \text { applying this } \\ & \text { formula: } \\ & (1080) / 20^{*} \% \text { PP } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Maximum PP score by PP rating (0-1080) Rounded to the nearest whole number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 75\% | 100\% | 50\% | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 100\% |
| Exemplary | 20 | 27 | 40.5 | 54 | 540 | 810 | 1080 |
| Proficient | 12 | 27 | 40.5 | 54 | 324 | 486 | 648 |
| Partially Proficient | 7 | 27 | 40.5 | 54 | 189 | 284 | 378 |
| Basic | 2 | 27 | 40.5 | 54 | 54 | 81 | 108 |

The cut points for MSL/MSO ratings are determined by applying the formulas in Table D2, column 3, to determine the ranges for each rating level for MSL/MSO (much less than expected, less than
expected, expected, and more than expected). Example ranges for each MSL/MSO rating are shown below in Table D4.

Table D4: Determining MSL/MSO Rating Cut Points

| MSL / MSO rating | Cut points are determined by using Table D2 to determine the minimum and maximum score for each category depending on district/BOCES weighting decisions | Example MSL = <br> 0\% <br> $\mathrm{PP}=$ <br> 100\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Example } \\ & \text { MSL = } \\ & \mathbf{2 5 \%} \\ & \text { PP = 75\% } \end{aligned}$ | Example <br> MSL = <br> 50\% <br> PP = 50\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More than Expected | Raw MSL/MSO score 2.5 to 3.0 as shown in Table D2, Column 3 | 0* | $\begin{aligned} & 202.50 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 270.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 405.00 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 540.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Expected | Raw MSL/MSO score 1.5 to (Minimum MSL/MSO Points for More than Expected) -1 | 0* | $\begin{aligned} & 135.00 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 201.50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 270.00 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 404.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Less than Expected | Raw MSL/MSO score 0.5 to (Minimum MSL/MSO Points for Expected) -1 | 0* | $\begin{aligned} & 67.50 \text { to } \\ & 134.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135.00 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 269.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Much Less than Expected | Raw MSL/MSO score 0.0 to (Minimum MSL/MSO Points for Less than Expected) -1 | 0* | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \text { to } \\ & 66.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \text { to } \\ & 134.00 \end{aligned}$ |

*The number of points available can never be less than 0 .

The cut points for the Final Effectiveness Rating are determined by adding the maximum professional practice points available in each rating category to the maximum MSL/MSO points available in each rating category to establish the high cut point in the range as shown below in Table D5.

Table D5: Determining the maximum cut point for the Final Effectiveness Rating

| Maximum <br> Professional Practice <br> Rating | + | $=$Maximum <br> MSL/MSO Rating | Maximum Cut Point for <br> each Final Effectiveness <br> Rating |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum possible <br> points for Exemplary | + | Maximum possible points for <br> More Than Expected | $=$Maximum Cut Point for <br> Highly Effective <br> (always 1080) |  |
| Maximum possible <br> points for Proficient | + | Maximum possible points for <br> Expected | $=$Maximum Cut Point for <br> Effective |  |
| Maximum possible <br> points for Partially <br> Proficient | + | Maximum possible points for Less <br> Than Expected | $=$Maximum Cut Point for <br> Partially Effective |  |
| Maximum possible <br> points for Basic | + | Maximum possible points for <br> Much Less Than Expected | $=$ | Maximum Cut Point for <br> Ineffective |

Once the maximum values are determined in Table D5, last column, they are used to determine the low cut point for each range as shown below in Table D6.

Table D6: Determining the minimum cut point for the Final Effectiveness Rating

| Minimum cut point for Highly Effective | $=$ | Maximum Cut Point for Effective <br> (From Table D5, last column) +1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum cut point for Effective | $=$Maximum Partially Effective (From <br> Table D5, last column) +1 |  |
| Minimum cut point for Partially Effective | $=$Maximum Ineffective (From Table D5, <br> last column) +1 |  |
| Minimum cut point for Ineffective | $=$ | Always 0 |

Table D7: Teacher / SSP Final Effectiveness Sample Cut Points based on varying weightings of MSL to PP*

| Final Effectivenes s Rating | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ 0 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 90 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ & \text { 10\%MSL } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80\%PP / } \\ & \text { 20\%MSL } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \% \mathrm{PP} / \\ & 30 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \text { PP / } \\ & 40 \% M S L \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50\%PP / } \\ & 50 \% \mathrm{MSL} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| Highly Effective | 649 | 1080 | 664 | 1080 | 680 | 1080 | 697 | 1080 | 713 | 1080 | 729 | 1080 |
| Effective | 379 | 648 | 394 | 663 | 410 | 679 | 427 | 696 | 443 | 712 | 459 | 728 |
| Partially Effective | 109 | 378 | 124 | 393 | 140 | 409 | 157 | 426 | 173 | 442 | 189 | 458 |
| Ineffective | 0 | 108 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 188 |

*HB14-165 allows for MSL/O to be weighted at any value between $0 \%$ and $50 \%$. These are just examples for reference purposes

After all the cut points are established dynamically based on district/BOCES weighting decisions, a Final Effectiveness Rating can be determined by comparing the Final Effectiveness Score earned by the educator to the ranges established in this section, depending on the district/BOCES weighting decisions, to determine a final effectiveness rating illustrated above in Table D7.

Note: CDE has created tools to support districts/BOCES with this process. Including calculators, excel tools... If districts are using the Colorado Performance Management System (COPMS) or BloomBoard, -these changes have already been made for you.

| Final Effectiveness Rating Addendum Key Terms | Teacher, Specialized Service Professional (SSP), <br> and principal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Educator | The term "professional practices" refers <br> specifically to each of the discrete practices <br> within the State Model Rubric, and generally to <br> the Professional Practice Quality Standards for <br> educator evaluation. |
| Professional Practices Raw Score | The points obtained on the rubric, a value of <br> between 0 -20. |
| Professional Practices Score | The score obtained by multiplying the PP raw <br> score by a "multiplier." A value between 0 - <br> 1080. |
| Professional Practices Rating | The final rating on the rubric, either; Basic, <br> Partially Proficient, Proficient, Accomplished, or <br> Exemplary |


| Measures of Student Learning/Outcomes <br> (MSL/MSO) | Student learning or outcomes used in_ an <br> educators body of evidence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Measures of Student Learning/Outcomes raw <br> score | The composite score resulting from the <br> combining of multiple measures/outcomes in <br> an educator's body of evidence. A value <br> between 0 -3. |
| Measures of Student Learning/Outcomes score | The score obtained by converting the <br> MSL/MSO raw score to a value between 0 - <br> 540 described in Table D2. |
| Measures of Student Learning/Outcomes rating | The final rating for the measures of student <br> learning/outcomes portion of the evaluation, <br> either; Much less than expected, Less than <br> expected, expected, more than expected. |
| Final Effectiveness Score | A score obtained by combining the PP score <br> with the MSL/MSO score, a value between 0 - <br> 1080 |
| Final Effectiveness Rating | Ineffective, Partially Effective, Effective, and <br> Highly Effective |

