

Exceptional Student Services Unit 1560 Broadway, Suite 1100 Denver, CO 80202-5149

SAN JUAN BOCES Adrea Bogle San Juan BOCES 101 North 6th Street / P.O. Box 968 Dolores, CO 81323-0968

April 15, 2019

As a condition for accepting Federal (IDEA) resources dedicated to meeting the individual needs of students with disabilities, the CDE must annually review the Administrative Unit (AU)'s performance to determine if the AU:

- 1) Meets the requirements and purposes of Part B of IDEA,
- 2) Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA,
- 3) Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B of IDEA, or
- 4) Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of Part B or IDEA.

34 C.F.R. § 300.603(b)(1)

The AU's performance is measured based on information provided by the AU, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information made available to the AU.

In making the determination in spring 2019, the CDE considered data submitted by San Juan BOCES throughout SY2017-18 in the following manner:

- A Compliance Matrix that includes scoring on SPP Compliance Indicators and other compliance elements
- 2. A **Results Matrix** that includes scoring on results elements
- 3. A Compliance Score and Compliance Determination
- 4. A Results Score and Results Determination
- An AU Percentage based on the Compliance Score and the Results Score. The Compliance Score is weighted at 50% and the Results Score is weighted at 50% to calculate the RDA Percentage.
- 6. A consideration of Special Conditions
- 7. The AU's overall **Determination**

SPP compliance indicators and other compliance elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 4A: Whether the AU has significant discrepancy from the state in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year.

Indicator 4B: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation by race/ethnicity in the rate of out-of-school suspensions/expulsions of students with disabilities that sum up to greater than 10 days in a school year, and the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 9: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 10: Whether the AU has disproportionate representation of students with disabilities by race/ethnicity in a specific disability category due to inappropriate policies, procedures, or practices.

Indicator 11: Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 calendar days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation.

Indicator 12: Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

Indicator 13: Percent of youth with Individual Education Plans (IEPs) aged 15 and above with an IEP that includes each of the required components for secondary transition.

Timely and Accurate Data Submission: The timeliness and accuracy of data submitted by the AUs under section 616 and 618 of the IDEA.

SPP results indicators and other results elements examined in the current determination:

Indicator 1: Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.

Indicator 2: Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.

Indicator 3: Statewide Assessments participation, regular assessment mean scale score, and alternate assessment proficiency rate.

In addition, the following results elements are also included:

- 1. Median Growth Percentile in ELA and Math
- 2. Rise Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2017-18)
- 3. Keep Up in ELA and Math (No data in SY2017-18)



4. For information only: Regular assessment mean scale score in ELA and Math with "IEP exiters" included. (Please see "<u>How the CDE ESSU Made Determinations 2019</u>," for detailed information about these informational items.)

Indicator 7: Percent of preschool children who showed substantial growth and those who reached age expectations by the time they exited the program in positive socio-emotional skills, acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, and use of appropriate behaviors.

Indicator 14: Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and

- 1. Whom AUs attempted to contact.
- 2. Participated in the post-school outcome interview.
- 3. Interview results indicate that the youth is enrolled in higher ed or some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.

Based on an evaluation using the criteria identified above, the CDE has made the following determinations for San Juan BOCES:

Compliance Determination: **Needs Assistance** Results Determination: **Needs Assistance**

All together, San Juan BOCES <u>Needs Assistance</u> for the implementation of Part B of the IDEA for SY2017-18. Please access http://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determperf for various resources related to the determinations.

CDE is committed to supporting San Juan BOCES in its efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with you over the next year.

If you have any questions regarding this determination or the process, please contact Candace Hawkins at 303.866.6213 or by e-mail at Hawkins_C@cde.state.co.us.

Sincerely,


Paul Foster, Ed.D


Executive Director; State Director of Special Education

Exceptional Student Services Unit

Posted to https://www.cde.state.co.us/cdesped/determinations on 4/22/2019







AU Compliance Matrix 2019



Administrative Unit: 64143 - San Juan BOCES

Administrative Unit: 64143 - San Juan BOCES									
Indicator	Performance	Points Eligible	Points Earned						
4A : Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspension and expulsion from the state rate.	0.8%	2	2						
= The rate of children with disabilities who received suspensions/expulsions for > 10 days in a school year was below 2.56% = Rate is above threshold for current year = Rate is above threshold for the current and previous two school years									
4B: Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions from the state rate by race and ethnicity.	Discrepancy in Native American	2	1						
2 = No racial category was found with significant discrepancy for Indicator 4B 1 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with significant discrepancy for the current and the previous two school years, and 2) policies, procedures, and/or practices were found to contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.									
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.	NO disproportionate representation	2	2						
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.	NO disproportionate representation	2	2						
2 = No racial category was found with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification for Indicators 9 and 10. 1 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current year 0 = At least one racial category was found with disproportionate representation for the current and previous year									
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	100%	2	2						
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday.	100%	2	2						
Indicator 13: Secondary transition	0%	2	0						
2 = Indicators were at least 95% compliant 1 = Indicators were at least 75% and less than 95% compliant 0 = Indicators were less than 75% compliant in the current year <u>OR</u> less than 95% compliant for the current and previous year									
Timely and Accurate Data Submission	Yes	2	2						
Special Education December Count, Special Education End of Year Collection, Special Education Discipline Collection, and Indicator 13 file review 2 = All above submissions were both timely and accurate 1 = One or two of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate 0 = Three or four of the above submissions were late and/or inaccurate									
Total Points Available:	16								
Compliance Points Earned:	Compliance Points Earned: 13								
Compliance Score:	81.25 out of 100								
Compliance Determination:	Needs Assistance								
90 to 100 - Moots Paguirements 90 to 80 - Noods Assistance	0 to 79 - Needs Intervention								

90 to 100 = Meets Requirements 80 to 89 = Needs Assistance 0 to 79 = Needs Intervention

AU Results Matrix 2019 COLORADO Administrative Unit: 64143 - San Juan BOCES **ELA** Math MATH 415 84% 415 84% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Parent Opt-out Parent Opt-out 63 12.8% 59 11.9% 3.2% 3.2% 16 16 OSEP Participation Rate OSEP Participation Rate 84.0% 84.7% CO IEP Participation Rate CO IEP Participation Rate 96.3% 96.3% AU's Points Points Earned Ν % Percentile Rubric Eligible (Part of Indicator 3b) **ELA Participation** 431 96.3% 3 3 95%≤AU = 3 AU < 95% = 096.3% 3 Math Participation 431 3 Mean Scale AU's **Points Points** Ν Score Percentile Rubric Eligible Earned (Part of Indicator 3c) $AU \ge 712 = 9$ **ELA Mean Scale Score Current IEP** 311 692.7 712> AU ≥701.9 = 6 (reg) 9 0 7 * IEP Exiter 44 716.5 701.9> AU ≥693.6 =3 * Combined 355 695.7 AU < 693.6 = 0 $AU \ge 711.8 = 9$ **Current IEP** 693.8 311 Math Mean Scale Sore 711.8> AU ≥701.1 = 6 (reg) 0 13 * IEP Exiter 43 718.2 701.1> AU ≥694.2 = 3 * IEP Exiter and Combined provided AU < 694.2 = 0* Combined 354 696.7 for information only **Academic Achievement** AU's **Points Points** Rubric Eligible Alternate (Part of Indicator 3c) % Percentile Earned Ν AU ≥ 48.8% = 6 48.8%> AU ≥31.6% = 4 ELA Prof Rate (Alt) 50 38.0% 69 6 4 31.6%> AU ≥17.9% = 2 AU < 17.9% = 0 $AU \ge 26.7\% = 6$ $26.7\% > AU \ge 14.1\% = 4$ Math Prof Rate (Alt) 50 18.0% 76 6 4 $14.1\% > AU \ge 6.9\% = 2$ AU < 6.9% = 0Note: Preschool Achievement 1.5 AU's and Growth (Indicator 7)** Percentile Eligible Ν % Succeeded Growth 62.5% 17 73.9% 82% 91.5% 2.25 0.00 A. Positive socialemotional skills Achievement 58.1% 30 59.6% 67.5% 82.8% 2.25 0.00 Growth 63.2% 7 72.1% 80.4% 91.5% 2.25 0.00 B. Acquisition & Use of 43 Knowledge and Skills Achievement 51.2% 7 55.9%.....69.3%.....81.8% 2.25 0.00 C. Use of appropriate Growth 48.4% 9 Not Available for Current 0.00 0.00 behaviors to meet their 48.8% 19 0.00 Achievement **Determination Year** 0.00 needs Note: Achievement Points Earned: 14.0 out of 45

^{**}Growth = Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by time of exit from the program; Achievement = functioning within age expectations by time of exit

	Median Growth Percentile	N	Median Growth %ile	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned			
Academic Growth 50%	ELA	222	37.5	12	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 39.1 = 10$ $39.1 > AU \ge 33 = 5$ AU < 33 = 0	15	5			
	Math	231	41.0	31	$AU \ge 47 = 15$ $47 > AU \ge 40.7 = 10$ $40.7 > AU \ge 34.5 = 5$ AU < 34.5 = 0	15	10			
ic G	Rise Up	N	% Rise Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned			
dem	ELA				90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	45	30			
Aca	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	45	30			
	Keep Up	N	% Keep Up	AU's Percentile	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned			
	ELA	no data	no data		90th≤AU = 4 50th≤AU<90th = 3	15	10			
	Math	no data	no data		15th≤AU<50th = 2 AU<15th = 1	15	10			
	Academ	nic Grov	vth Points	Earned:	95	out of	150			
	Graduation Rate		WO 1 - 1	Highest Rate		Points	Points			
%	Indicator 1 4 Year Grad Rate	N 43	%Graduated 62.8%	Percentile	Rubric AU ≥ 92.3% = 21	Eligible	Earned			
	5 Year Grad Rate 6 Year Grad Rate	70 52	71.4% 75.0%	67	92.3% > AU ≥ 79.2% = 14 79.2% > AU ≥ 66.9% = 7	21	14			
	7 Year Grad Rate	55	83.6%		AU < 66.9% = 0					
ss 35%	Note: IEP Dropout Exiter Rate		%Dropout	AU's		Points	Points			
Workforce Readiness	Indicator 2	N	Exiters	Percentile	Rubric	Eligible	Earned			
	Rate N = students age ≥ 14 who exited schools % = students who exited due to dropping out	45	33.3%	15	AU < 6.5% = 42 $6.5\% \le AU < 19\% = 28$ $19\% \le AU < 34.2\% = 14$ $AU \ge 34.2\% = 0$	42	14			
Nork	Note:									
and \	Post-School Outcomes Indicator 14	N		%	Rubric	Points Eligible	Points Earned			
dary	Contacts Attempted N = Students in Sample % = Contact attempted	52	100.0%		AU = 100% = 6 AU < 100% = 0	6	6			
Postsecon	Students Participated N = # in adjusted sample % = of students who participated out of adjusted sample	51	23.5%		60% ≤ AU = 6 60% > AU = 0	6	0			
	Outcome (Enrolled in higher ed, or in some other postsecondary ed or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment)	33	78.8%	Percentile 39	$AU \ge 91.5\% = 30$ $91.5\% > AU \ge 75.3\% = 20$ $75.3\% > AU \ge 59.8\% = 10$ AU < 59.8% = 0	30	20			
	Note: SY2016-17 and SY2017-18 are combin			Горраф			105			
	PS and	VVORKTOI	ce Points	Earned:	54	out of	T05			
				chievement:	14.0	out of	45			
	Academic Growth: PS and Workforce Readiness:				95.0 54.0	out of out of	150 105			
			out of							
	Results Determination:				Needs Assistance					
	170 to 300 = Meets Require	ements	110 to 169 =	= Needs Assistar						
lf th	f the AU's final points eligible are less than 300, the original scores have been adjusted to meet the full scale of 45, 150, or 105 proportionally.									