

Colorado State Board of Education

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION COMMISSION

DENVER, COLORADO

May 14, 2015, Part 4

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT on May 14, 2015, the above-entitled meeting was conducted at the Colorado

Department of Education, before the following Board

Members:

Marcia Neal (R), Chairman
Angelika Schroeder (D), Vice Chairman
Steven Durham (R)
Valentina (Val) Flores (D)
Jane Goff (D)
Pam Mazanec (R)
Debora Scheffel (R)



1	MADAM CHAIR: The search firm or the
2	interim?
3	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The the first one
4	MADAM CHAIR: Search firm.
5	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The first one is the
6	commissioner's search process.
7	MADAM CHAIR: The search process? Okay. Deb and
8	I just had a discussion about the search process and so I'm
9	getting out of order here but I'll I'll start the discussion
10	and then you can chime in. I'm in favor of a a search firm.
11	Not madly in favor. I'm not set on it or anything, but I think
12	a search firm would be a good idea because for one thing, we
13	you know, we need somebody to handle the process and and all
14	we'd need to do with this is just have, you know, someone line
15	up and we could probably do by mail.
16	I know there are some state what is the name,
17	Robert (ph), of do you remember? Of the agency we used to
18	hire you?
19	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hazard, Young
20	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hazard, Attea (ph)
21	MADAM CHAIR: It's Hazard and you know. So
22	there's some some local search firms and we could ask
23	somebody (ph) and we could do that pretty much by mail if no
24	one has any objection to it. The reason I'm arguing for it
25	as I said, I'm not set in stone here but we don't have anybody



- 1 to manage the process and -- and we need to have somebody who
- 2 can do that -- who can get the applications out and take them
- 3 in and organize them and do all that kind of stuff.
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.
- 5 MADAM CHAIR: And if we work really fast -- we
- 6 were just talking, Deb and I -- I guess with -- I don't
- 7 remember. We should be able to have the search firm in place
- 8 by next month and have them, you know, be here to present to
- 9 us. That's just my idea. What are the rest of you thinking?
- 10 Deb?
- MS. SCHEFFEL: Well, one thing a search firm
- 12 helps us with is some people that want to apply and want it to
- 13 be kept confidential, that a search firm facilitates that. The
- other side of a search firm is that we want to make sure they
- don't shackle our process.
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.
- 17 MS. SCHEFFEL: And also, it's expensive. So we
- 18 would want to, you know, take care in who we would want to
- 19 consider and we would want to make sure that the parameters
- 20 around their work didn't somehow keep us out of the process. I
- 21 don't want anybody, you know, pre-vetting applications. Oh, I
- 22 think we want to see all the applications. We don't want to
- 23 have semantic nuances in the description that would prevent
- 24 people that we would want to apply to somehow be prevented from
- 25 applying. So I think that, you know, it's a linguistic task on



the front end. In the end, you're hiring a person and so we 1 2 just have to make sure that the language and the timing and the 3 procedures don't somehow limit us in terms of finding the right 4 person. 5 MADAM CHAIR: (Indiscernible) when you say you 6 don't want them limiting --7 MS. SCHEFFEL: Shackling (ph). 8 MADAM CHAIR: The -- the applications. The only thing -- I mean, there's -- there's bound to be some that are 9 10 just totally inappropriate that they -- they might say, you 11 know, do we -- do we really want to see all applications is the 12 question and I'm not -- I don't know. What do you think? 13 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I would like to. 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I would like to. MADAM CHAIR: Even if they're really --15 16 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Unless it's really 17 voluminous, I guess. 18 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Well, the -- see, and I have 19 no idea what we're talking about. How many did we --20 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible) last time, 21 there weren't that many applicants. 22 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Oh, there were. But -- but 23 -- they got --MADAM CHAIR: They did narrow them down. I 24

remember that but I don't know what -- I guess they probably



25

what are we saying.

1 used the criteria --2 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Actually, I think I'm -- I'm 3 -- I may have missed something because I was ill --4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I remember looking at all of 5 them (ph). 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I think that's the reason. I missed a certain stage. 7 8 MS. SCHEFFEL: I remember looking at all of them and, you know, I think we sorted them or whatever but I 9 10 remember us having access to every application and I think 11 that's an --12 MADAM CHAIR: And we can certainly do that. 13 MS. SCHEFFEL: We may want a non-traditional 14 candidate. I mean, you know, in other words, when you set up a 15 search, you set up parameters and they're usually related to 16 your description but that can also create problems. 17 MADAM CHAIR: That doesn't mean it has to be. 18 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, because we might get a business person that doesn't -- has never been a 19 20 superintendent. 21 MADAM CHAIR: And I've -- you know, I've had a 22 couple of recommendations for -- for the position that are 23 people that are not -- of course, Robert wasn't an educator so

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So I'm -- oh, go ahead.



1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, Jane? 2 MS. GOFF: Well, those -- that's the kind of 3 thing that a firm can help us figure out. You know, they'll -they will help us with -- guide us through the characteristics 4 5 list. If we want to do that, they'd help us promote or 6 publicize, help us with our message around that. So I'm -- I'm 7 in favor of it. I also -- like we are -- quite often anymore, 8 we are -- we have a dilemma a lot between hurry up and slow 9 So this is -- this is one of those things that needs --10 needs its due attention if we're going to do it. I don't know 11 that we -- if it can be done quickly and that can happen 12 depending on the scope of what -- how far we want to go with a 13 firm and all that. But it -- it deserves its own due diligence 14 as well, so. And I would encourage that we -- that we have -that we bring on some help. 15 16 MADAM CHAIR: (Indiscernible). 17 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, I'm fine with using a 18 search firm. I'm wondering if there is an option to have sort of an expedited search so that it's not -- I mean, there --19 20 there are a series of steps and it may be that they're -- and 21 they may be presented to us but it may be that we say this step 22 we can do without because we believe we have the information, 23 et cetera. 24 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I agree that --

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: That -- that probably would



make me feel better. It might also help control the cost. 2 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'm sorry, could you repeat 3 that last -- what did you say about why you would want to 4 expedite it (ph)? 5 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So we'd have the job done 6 other than seven or eight months and it might help with the 7 cost as well. If there are things that are -- that are 8 recommended in a -- in a search by a search firm that we feel 9 we don't need or we don't want to do, we ought to be able to 10 eliminate that and we need to think about what are some things 11 that are in a traditional search that we could --12 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: So what would an expedited search look like? 13 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: For -- for example, in our 15 last search, we had a very extensive process of going out to 16 the state of Colorado superintendents, the alphabet soup, 17 legislatures. And when I say alphabet soup, I'm -- I'm saying 18 all of the CAES (ph) organizations, legislatures, et cetera and 19 we got an awful lot of feedback. We may or may not want to do 20 that process again. That's one of the things that can take a 21 long time because they not only do an online or paper survey, 22 but they also do -- what do they call it? The little meetings. 23 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Focus groups? 24 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Focus groups, thank you very 25 Sorry. And I don't know if we want to do that.



```
1
                     MADAM CHAIR: I tend to agree. Yeah, we probably
2
      don't need those.
3
                     MR. DURHAM: Steve.
                                          I wondered, are we at least
4
      ready for a -- a basic motion. Some people may want or
5
      (indiscernible) on hiring a search firm.
                     MADAM CHAIR: Does anybody have anything they
6
7
      wanted to add before he --
8
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
                     MADAM CHAIR: We'll see what the motion is and
9
      then we'll let you know.
10
11
                     MR. DURHAM: (Indiscernible) give this a whirl. I
12
      move that we put an RFP for the purpose of hiring a search firm
13
      to fill the position of commissioner of Education in the state
14
      of Colorado and that that -- part of the RFP include -- and
15
      this is where people can add to it -- that the search is a
16
      national one in scope. That it not exclude non-traditional
17
      candidates, that -- that time is of the essence, that -- that -
18
      - and that we get the four or five -- well, we get to see who
19
      responds to the RFP and then perhaps bring in one or two of
20
      those firms or three for a short time, maybe a 10-minute
21
      presentation at the next meeting and we'll make a selection at
22
      that time of a firm. But some -- there may be other criteria
23
      that I didn't think of that people might want to add.
24
                     MADAM CHAIR: I think -- because I have -- I
25
      (indiscernible) -- I think we could shorten it. When you talk
```



1 about bringing -- I was thinking we could appoint a committee, 2 two or three, who would look at these RFPs and perhaps, you 3 know, communicate with the rest of us and we might be able to 4 select it (indiscernible). If we got right on it, we might be 5 able to select them and have the -- the firm here next month. 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible). 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Huh? UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: That's what you said. 8 MR. DURHAM: Yeah, well, I thought we may have 9 10 more than one. MADAM CHAIR: Well, you said two or three. 11 12 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: But we would -- we would choose. We would choose next month. 13 14 MR. DURHAM: You would choose one -- whatever 15 process you use, you do choose next month --16 (Overlapping) 17 MADAM CHAIR: And -- and that's just a suggestion 18 but if we really -- we are talking about trying to do it as 19 quickly as - I don't know, maybe you wouldn't get back that 20 many applications in that short a time. 21 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Madam Chair? 22 MADAM CHAIR: Yes? 23 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Just as -- as a 24 clarification, I think what you would be talking about is

appointing a subcommittee to draft the qualifications in the



24

25

1 RFP so that that --2 MADAM CHAIR: We need the qualifications in the 3 RFP? UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: For the search committee. 5 MADAM CHAIR: For the search firm? 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: For the search firm. Yes, 7 excuse me, for the search firm. 8 MADAM CHAIR: Well --9 MR. DURHAM: And - and I think what the 10 chairwoman's (ph) recommendation was that the subcommittee 11 review the applications that come in. We may need a 12 subcommittee. 13 MADAM CHAIR: You could do that, too. Yeah. 14 MR. DURHAM: Yeah, we may need a subcommittee to put together the criteria as well. 15 16 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah. 17 MR. DURHAM: Although I'm going to guess there's 18 a fair amount of legal work to be done on that. 19 MADAM CHAIR: What do you think, Carey (ph), 20 since you're kind of the expert at this. 21 MS. MARKEL: I don't know that I'm an expert but I am exiting (ph). 22

MADAM CHAIR: You can say whatever you want.

expedite the process between Bizy, Tony, and getting back to

MS. MERKEL: I think that a subcommittee might

MAY 14, 2015 PART 4



- 1 the Board so that if you are trying to get a decision quickly,
- you have feedback from Board members who could -- some have
- 3 likely (ph) legislative liaisons who make recommendations to
- 4 the Board. So I would suggest you pick a bipartisan
- 5 subcommittee so that things can move along.
- 6 MADAM CHAIR: Yeah.
- 7 MS. MERKEL: And you see it (ph). Your
- 8 subcommittee members should I think be the same ones who, you
- 9 know, develop the characteristics, who -- you know, work
- 10 through this process for you if you're going the subcommittee
- 11 route and then when you start getting the applications in, then
- 12 all of you can review the applications that come in. I do not
- imagine that you're going to get more than a dozen RFP
- 14 responses. I think it would be great if you did.
- 15 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Sometimes you only get two
- or three.
- 17 MS. MERKEL: Most of the time you get two or
- 18 three and then move from there.
- 19 MADAM CHAIR: Okay, yeah, I think that -- and I
- 20 just --
- 21 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
- MADAM CHAIR: Your ramble (ph) was so long. I
- don't know that we need a second.
- UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Well, we do need a second.
- We do need a second.



1	(Overlapping)
2	MADAM CHAIR: Well, I mean, we always do the
3	second vetting
4	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
5	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We incorporate all of those
6	other things in the motion.
7	MADAM CHAIR: I forgot everything you said.
8	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Angelika just seconded.
9	MS. SCHROEDER: I just seconded it, whatever it
10	was.
11	MADAM CHAIR: Okay, let's vote on that. How
12	is there any objection?
13	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No (ph).
14	MADAM CHAIR: Okay, then the motion carries and
15	_
16	MR. DUNHAM: Did you get that here (ph)?
17	MADAM CHAIR: I think I was going to suggest
18	that we choose two or three people what to liaison with
19	Tony and Bizy and - and I I I assume you can get out
20	can you get out the RFP or do we need to do that?
21	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We we Tony and Bizy
22	and (Indiscernible) will (Indiscernible) from the subcommittee
23	
24	MADAM CHAIR: Okay.
25	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: On developing the



1	characteristics and making sure that that was what the Board's
2	will is. So I think that we need a subcommittee appointed
3	today to help, you know, move that process along
4	MADAM CHAIR: Okay, yeah, and could get started
5	on that.
6	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
7	MADAM CHAIR: I'm I'm I'm looking at
8	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: A subcommittee for what
9	purpose?
10	MADAM CHAIR: To look at the to number one,
11	help develop it and then number two
12	(Overlapping)
13	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The RFP?
14	MADAM CHAIR: Look at them when they come in.
15	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The RFP?
16	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Mm-hmm.
17	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The RFP?
18	MADAM CHAIR: And I'm I'm thinking somebody,
19	like local people.
20	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah.
21	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible) younger
22	people. None of us are volunteering.
23	MADAM CHAIR: Val and Angelika or Jane?
24	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
25	MADAM CHAIR: Jane and Steve maybe?



1	DURHAM: I'm in the neighborhood.
2	MADAM CHAIR: You're in the neighborhood?
3	DURHAM: I'm here a lot.
4	MADAM CHAIR: Okay. Is that okay, Jane?
5	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Jane?
6	MADAM CHAIR: Jane, will you be on the committee?
7	MS. GOFF: I'll be on the committee.
8	MADAM CHAIR: Thank you. You're so excited.
9	Jane and Jane and Steve and Val then will be on the
LO	committee and I'll leave it up to you to get together with
11	Quinn (ph). He'll come in and do that.
12	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Val, Steve, (Indiscernible).
13	MADAM CHAIR: Is is that complete enough?
L4	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Do we get to vote on
15	MADAM CHAIR: You will get together, you will get
16	the RFP out and then because when they start coming in,
L7	again, I mean, I think it would be good to have an original
18	same committee (ph) look at those RFPs. It would be really
19	nice if we could get it done by (Indiscernible) and have it by
20	June.
21	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: By the June Board meeting?
22	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: If it doesn't work out.
23	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Have the firm chosen (ph).
24	MADAM CHAIR: Because if we had it done by June
25	then we could and we may have to have a meeting in July We



- 1 never meet in July but we could meet in July if we had some
- 2 applications. August for sure.
- 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And then we could have
- 4 August off? If we don't meet in --
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: August?
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No.
- 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: If we meet in July then we
- 8 don't meet in August.
- 9 MADAM CHAIR: Oh, no. Just -- it's just that
- 10 usually we don't meet in July because there's nothing to do but
- there's no reason we can't meet in July.
- 12 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We'd like to not meet in
- July.
- 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay.
- MADAM CHAIR: We like not meeting in July.
- 16 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: That's right
- 17 (Indiscernible).
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible) possible
- interviews.
- 20 MADAM CHAIR: Possible search firms.
- 21 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
- 22 MADAM CHAIR: Interims (ph)? Oh, thank you, yes
- 23 (ph).
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible) talk about
- it. (Indiscernible) do that.



1 MADAM CHAIR: Well, have -- is this -- take care 2 of the search firm thing. Everybody -- okay, we got a committee for the search firm. 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The committee for the search 5 firm is who --UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Put it all in your hands. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Val, Angelika, and Jane or 8 Steve? 9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Steve -- Val, Steve, and --10 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Steve, Jane, and Val. 11 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, Steve, Jane, and Val. Okay, good. 12 13 MADAM CHAIR: Is there anything else on the 14 search committee or the candidates and what kind of candidate 15 we're looking for, any of that right -- I would (ph) -- yeah. 16 MR. DURHAM: I think in reference (ph) to -- to 17 what you said, that we should try and have an opportunity 18 individually to work in small groups for them to (Indiscernible) for potential candidates if we won't do that 19 20 (Indiscernible). 21 MADAM CHAIR: What we're looking for? 22 MR. DURHAM: It would be this in the interim 23 (Indiscernible). 24 MADAM CHAIR: Well, no, I (Indiscernible). 25 MR. DURHAM: What are you talking about?



1 MADAM CHAIR: (Indiscernible) kind of got the 2 interim thing. 3 MR. DURHAM: Oh, okay. 4 MADAM CHAIR: What -- what are we looking for to tell them what we're looking for in a candidate? 5 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible). MADAM CHAIR: Is there any one thing that --7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'm very confused. 8 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: What we're going to tell the 9 search firm (Indiscernible). 10 MADAM CHAIR: Well, we can -- we can think about 11 12 that and perhaps send it in. 13 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Jane (Indiscernible). 14 MS. GOFF: I -- I -- I think we -- if we can, 15 today would be good. Come to some kind of an understanding 16 about the -- that very thing (ph). (Indiscernible) come to a 17 Board -- Board approach to this. Timing, you know, and what --18 and who to talk to and when and in what order. Do we -- do we want to have our search -- our RFPs. We have our subcommittee 19 20 RFP process determined, which will probably eventually include 21 a little timeline setting (Indiscernible) everything. Before 22 this goes out too far and to people we may have talked to (ph). 23 Along with that, part of what I predict is going to happen 24 because I'm sure most of you have too already gotten emails. 25 Inputs, ideas, questions, recommendations. Part of our work



1 together may be somehow organizing a -- a digest (ph) operation 2 for that. 3 MADAM CHAIR: Digest of? UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Well, some way to keep --4 5 MADAM CHAIR: For the -- for -- for this firm or the candidate? 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible) all of that, 8 all of that. Any kind of input we get by -- in this -- during 9 this process from people, whether its recommendations of 10 candidates or, you know, letters of reference or something. 11 That we have some kind of organized system for keeping them 12 organized so we don't lose anything. Because if we have to be 13 responsible and we've got some good documenters (ph) of all of 14 this -- I'm just -- I'm putting it to -- it's a personal 15 request but I want to be happy with the Board's organization of 16 this process. I think it would behoove us to get something 17 figured out quickly and -- and -- part of the hiring we're 18 looking at or the choosing of the search firm is to me -- it's 19 part of the whole process. 20 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah. 21 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: A whole -- a whole set of 22 decisions we're going to have to be making. I would just like 23 us to talk, figure out a way where we can really shoot for all 24 of that, precisely in that place (ph). Rather than 15 emails 25 every day. (Indiscernible).



1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Sure. 2 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible). That's all, 3 I think. MADAM CHAIR: I think most of our brains are 4 slightly fried. Mine are (ph). 5 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible). 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible) And since 8 you -- this is your last meeting probably -- if people are 9 interested in interim, do you want them to -- what, call you? Call -- put their names in or how would you like to do that? 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We need a resolution. 12 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: How about to you? 13 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You go to work. 14 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Then they let us know and 15 you could let us know when appropriate. 16 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: How about just keeping it? 17 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: If anybody is interested in 18 the interim (Indiscernible). 19 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Other than the two (ph). 20 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You may have -- you may have 21 people --22 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We mentioned two from (ph) 23 here if they still are. 24 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: They can write Bizy, the



1	clerk of the Board.
2	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay.
3	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay.
4	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And then we could make we
5	could immediately say this is the all of (Indiscernible)
6	have recommended. If they're interested and can
7	(Indiscernible) resume, just give to me, okay (ph)? Does that
8	sound is that (Indiscernible)?
9	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes.
10	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay, and then if you
11	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: To all of us?
12	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Well, yeah. She'll send it
13	to all of you and then it's a pass-through (ph), okay? Then I
14	don't (Indiscernible). One thing I'd like to recommend to you
15	we'll try and the our agenda is a bit full, okay? We'll
16	try to keep everything to one day if we business and if we
17	can shorten it as much as we can so it gives you either half
18	the day on the first day or a full day on Tuesday to talk or at
19	least a good block of time to talk. Does that sound all right?
20	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay. Would you repeat
21	that?
22	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And try to get you some time
23	to talk about the interim and time to talk about the process at
24	the next Board meeting. That means cutting back on business as
25	much as usually, we can work through (ph).



1	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible).
2	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Oh, yeah.
3	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: That's okay.
4	(Indiscernible).
5	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I agree.
6	MADAM CHAIR: And I don't think any of us were
7	too
8	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Did we have a resolution
9	about waiting on the (Indiscernible).
10	MADAM CHAIR: All right, so that's interim and
11	the search firm. What else do we need to discuss?
12	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: And let's set up a block
13	time for an interim that if you choose to get the
14	(Indiscernible) while you're all here if we have three
15	(Indiscernible) or two-hour block but to just talk do as
16	many as can participate kind of thing (ph).
17	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: If you don't have it in
18	advance, maybe (Indiscernible) is the subcommittee (ph). How
19	about the two of you as the subcommittee can kind of talk among
20	yourselves what you would like to bring forth (ph).
21	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Three.
22	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: There's three, sorry.
23	MADAM CHAIR: And I this is just my suggestion
24	but I I really think it's a tremendous advantage to have
25	somebody in-house because bringing somebody from out to be an



1	interim who doesn't know the system, doesn't know the people o
2	anything would be a tremendous challenge. So I I I just
3	personally would like us to choose somebody who is in-house.
4	What else? Carey is there any and (Indiscernible) is there
5	anything more you need, you guys, from us?
6	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I think we're good right
7	now.
8	MADAM CHAIR: You just think you're just good.
9	We'll put it all in your hands.
10	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It's in good hands.
11	MADAM CHAIR: Is there any other order of
12	business before we adjourn? If so, tell me next time.
13	(Meeting adjourned)
L4	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Kimberly C. McCright, Certified Vendor and
3	Notary, do hereby certify that the above-mentioned matter
4	occurred as hereinbefore set out.
5	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such
6	were reported by me or under my supervision, later
7	reduced to typewritten form under my supervision and
8	control and that the foregoing pages are a full, true and
9	correct transcription of the original notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
11	and seal this 25th day of January, 2019.
12	
13	/s/ Kimberly C. McCright
14	Kimberly C. McCright
15	Certified Vendor and Notary Public
16	
17	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC
18	1322 Space Park Drive, Suite C165
19	Houston, Texas 77058
20	281.724.8600
21	
22	
23	
24	