

Colorado Measures of Academic Success Colorado Alternate Assessment Program

Interpretive Guide to Assessment Reports A Guide for Parents and Educators

Science, Mathematics and English Language Arts, including Colorado Spanish Language Arts

| 1.0 General Information for Parents and Educators | 1 |
|---|------|
| 1.1 Purpose of This Guide | 1 |
| 1.2 Background | 1 |
| 1.2.1 Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS) and Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) Assessments | 1 |
| 1.2.2 Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) Assessments – Additional Information | 2 |
| 1.3 Reporting Results | 2 |
| 1.3.1 Sharing Results with Parents | 2 |
| 1.3.2 Confidentiality of Reporting Results | 2 |
| 1.4 Report Interpretation Considerations | 2 |
| 1.4.1 Participation Rates | 2 |
| 1.4.2 Science Assessment Changes | 3 |
| 2.0 A Parent and Educator Guide to Understanding the Colorado Measures of Academic Success | |
| (CMAS) and Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) Assessment Student Performance Reports | |
| 2.1 Program Overview | |
| 2.2 Performance Levels and Types of Scores on the Student Reports | |
| 2.2.1 Scale Scores | 4 |
| 2.2.2 Performance Levels | 5 |
| 2.2.3 Percentile Ranking | |
| 2.2.4 Additional Performance Indicators | 6 |
| 2.3 Description of Individual Student Performance Reports for CMAS Mathematics and ELA, including CSI | |
| 2.3.1 General Information | |
| 2.3.2 Overall Assessment Scores | 7 |
| 2.3.3 Performance by Sub-Reporting Category | 9 |
| 2.4 Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA | |
| 2.5 Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS Mathematics | |
| 2.6 Description of Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS Science | . 15 |
| 2.6.1 General Information | . 15 |
| 2.6.2 Overall Assessment Scores | . 15 |
| 2.6.3 Subscale Performance | . 17 |
| 2.6.4 Performance by Prepared Graduate Statements (PGs) and Grade Level Expectations (GLEs) | . 17 |
| 2.7 Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS Science | . 19 |
| 2.8 Description of Individual Student Performance Report – CoAlt Science | . 21 |
| 2.8.1 General Information | . 21 |
| 2.8.2 Student Performance Information | . 21 |
| 2.8.3 Content Standard Performance | . 22 |
| 2.9 Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CoAlt Science | . 24 |
| | |
| 3.0 Understanding the Colorado School and District Reports | |
| 3.1 Purpose and Use of Colorado Assessment Results | |
| 3.2 School and District Reports | |
| 3.2.1 Types of Scores on the Colorado School and District Reports | |
| 3.2.2 Scale Scores | |
| 3.2.3 Performance Levels | |
| 3.2.4 Percentile Ranking | |
| 3.2.5 Additional Performance Indicators | |
| 3.3 Appropriate Score Comparisons and Uses | . 30 |

| 4.0 Content Standards Reports | 32 |
|--|------|
| 4.1 Description of Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA | 32 |
| 4.1.1 General Information | 32 |
| 4.1.2 Overall Assessment Scores | 32 |
| 4.1.3 Performance by Reporting Category | 33 |
| 4.1.4 Performance by Subclaim Category | 33 |
| 4.1.5 Content Standards Information | |
| 4.2 Sample Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA | 35 |
| 4.3 Sample Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS Mathematics | |
| 4.4 Description of Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS Science | |
| 4.4.1 General Information | |
| 4.4.2 Content Standards Summary Table | |
| 4.4.3 Prepared Graduates (PGs) and Grade Level Expectations (GLEs) Performance | |
| 4.5 Sample Content Standards Roster – CMAS Science | |
| | |
| Description of Content Standards Roster Report – CoAlt Science | |
| 4.6.1 General Information | |
| | |
| 4.7 Sample Content Standards Roster Report – CoAlt Science | 40 |
| | |
| 5.0 District Summary of Schools Report | |
| 5.1 Description of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Mathematics, ELA/CSLA, and Science | |
| 5.1.1 General Information | |
| 5.1.2 Overall Assessment Scores | |
| 5.1.3 Performance by Reporting Category | |
| 5.1.4 Performance by Subclaim or Reporting Category | |
| 5.1.5 Content Standards Information | |
| 5.2 Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA | |
| 5.3 Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Mathematics 5.4 Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Science | |
| | 54 |
| | F.C. |
| 6.0 Performance Level Summary Report | |
| 6.1.1 General Information | |
| 6.1.2 Performance Level Distribution Data | |
| 6.2 Sample Performance Level Summary Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA and Mathematics | |
| 6.3 Sample Performance Level Summary Report – CMAS Science | |
| | |
| 7.0 Evidence Statement Analysis Report | 60 |
| 7.1 Description of Evidence Statement Analysis Report – CMAS Mathematics and ELA/CSLA | |
| 7.1.1 General Information | |
| 7.1.2 Evidence Statement Analysis Information | |
| 7.1.2 Evidence Statement Analysis information | |
| 7.2 Sample Evidence Statement Analysis – CMAS ELA/CSLA | |
| 7.3 Sample Evidence Statement Analysis – CMAS LLAY CSLA | |
| ······································ | |
| 8.0 Item Analysis Report | 67 |
| 8.1 Description of Item Analysis Report – CMAS Science | |
| | |

| 8.1.1 General Information | 67 |
|---|-----|
| 8.1.2 Item Analysis Information | 67 |
| , 8.1.3 Item Map Information | |
| 8.2 Sample Item Analysis Report – CMAS Science | |
| | |
| 9.0 Participation Summary Reports | 71 |
| 9.1 Description of Participation Summary Report – All Assessments | |
| 9.1.1 General Information | |
| 9.1.2 Participation Information | |
| 9.1.3 Participation Information | |
| 9.2 Sample Participation Summary Report | |
| | |
| Appendix A Scale Score Ranges | |
| CMAS Mathematics Overall Scale Score Ranges | |
| CMAS English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Scale Score Ranges | |
| Colorado Spanish Language Arts Overall Scale Score Ranges | |
| CMAS Science Overall Scale Score Ranges | |
| CMAS Science Content Standards Performance Indicator Ranges | |
| CoAlt Science Overall Scale Score Ranges | |
| | |
| Annondix P. Dorformanco Loval Descriptors | 70 |
| Appendix B Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 5 CMAS Science Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 8 CMAS Science Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 11 CMAS Science Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 5 CoAlt Science Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 8 CoAlt Science Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 11 CoAlt Science Performance Level Descriptors | |
| About ELA and CSLA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 3 ELA and CSLA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 4 ELA and CSLA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 5 ELA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 6 ELA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 7 ELA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 8 ELA Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 3 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 4 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 5 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 6 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 7 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors | |
| Grade 8 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors | 146 |
| | |
| Appendix C CMAS Science Prepared Graduate Statements and Grade Level Expectations | |
| Grade 5 Science Standards, Prepared Graduate Statements, and Grade Level Expectations | 154 |
| Grade 8 Science Standards and Prepared Graduate Statements | |
| Grade 11 Science Standards and Prepared Graduate Statements | 156 |
| | |
| Appendix D CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA Assessed Standards | 157 |

| CMAS Grade 3 ELA and CSLA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards | 158 |
|---|-----|
| CMAS Grade 4 ELA and CSLA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards | 159 |
| CMAS Grade 5 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards | 160 |
| CMAS Grade 6 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards | |
| CMAS Grade 7 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards | 162 |
| CMAS Grade 8 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards | 163 |
| CMAS Grade 3 Mathematics Standards | |
| CMAS Grade 4 Mathematics Standards | 166 |
| CMAS Grade 5 Mathematics Standards | 167 |
| CMAS Grade 6 Mathematics Standards | 168 |
| CMAS Grade 7 Mathematics Standards | 170 |
| CMAS Grade 8 Mathematics Standards | 172 |
| | |

1.0 General Information for Parents and Educators

1.1 Purpose of This Guide

This guide provides information on the individual student performance reports, school reports, and district reports provided for the Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS) and Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) assessment results. Section 2.0 outlines and explains elements of the individual student report and may be shared with parents and educators to help them understand their students' test results. Sections 3.0 through 9.0 outline and explain elements of the school and district reports.

Please note the sample reports included in this guide are for illustration purposes only. They are provided to show the basic layout of the reports and the information they provide. Sample reports do not include actual data from any administration.

1.2 Background

1.2.1 Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS) and Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) Assessments

The CMAS assessments are Colorado's standards-based assessments designed to measure the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS) in the content areas of mathematics, English language arts (ELA), and science. Eligible multilingual learners in grades 3 and 4 may take the Colorado Spanish Language Arts (CSLA) form as an accommodation in place of an ELA form. A small number of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who meet specific criteria may demonstrate their content knowledge on the CoAlt assessments which measure the Extended Evidence Outcomes (EEOs) of the CAS. This guide addresses CoAlt science assessments specifically. The purpose of the CMAS and CoAlt assessments are to indicate the degree to which students mastered the expectations of the CAS in each content area at the end of the tested grade level. Results are intended to provide one measure of a student's academic progress relative to the CAS. Take results into consideration alongside other achievement information available locally.

CMAS and CoAlt science assessments were first administered across Colorado in 2013-2014 and CMAS mathematics and ELA assessments were first administered in 2014-2015.

| Content Area | Grades |
|--------------|--------------------|
| ELA* | Grades 3-8 |
| Mathematics | Grades 3-8 |
| Science | Grade 5, 8, and 11 |

The following table includes the content areas and grade levels assessed across Colorado.

*As a requirement of Colorado School Law C.R.S. §22-7-1006.3 (4) (a) and (b), Spanish-speaking students in grades 3 and 4 who meet established eligibility criteria may take the CSLA form in place of the ELA form of the CMAS assessment.

CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and Science

Available in online and paper formats, CMAS assessments are developed by Colorado educators, the Colorado Department of Education, and the testing contractor.

<u>CSLA</u>

Available in paper format, CSLA forms are designed for students with a primary or home language of Spanish who are enrolled in bilingual programs in grades 3 and 4. The CSLA forms serve as accommodated versions of the CMAS ELA assessments. They are parallel and comparable to CMAS ELA in test design, item type, scoring, and reporting. Therefore, separate CSLA reports are not included throughout this guide (refer to ELA reporting information and examples).

1.2.2 Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) Assessments – Additional Information

CoAlt is the standards-based assessment designed specifically for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who, even with accommodations, are unable to participate in CMAS. CoAlt assesses the performance expectations of the EEOs of the CAS and students must meet participation requirements to take the assessments. CoAlt assessments are administered in a one-on-one setting between teachers and students. Teachers use CoAlt scoring rubrics to evaluate student responses before submitting performance results. For each CMAS assessment there is a corresponding CoAlt assessment; however, this guide only includes the CoAlt science assessments. The CoAlt mathematics and ELA assessments were developed by the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) consortium and reports for those assessments are not included in this guide.

1.3 Reporting Results

1.3.1 Sharing Results with Parents

As a requirement of Colorado School Law C.R.S. §22-7-1006.3 (8) (a), personnel within the district and school must share with and explain to the parent or legal guardian of each student the student's state assessment results. When discussing aggregated results with parents, districts and schools are strongly encouraged to closely review their local participation rates as participation rates are critical to interpretation.

Parents use Colorado's <u>Family Portal</u> to access their students' results as soon as they are available to districts and schools. **Note:** CDE cannot provide sensitive student information to individuals. Check your school's student information system for your student's SASID or contact your school or district for support. SASIDs also appear on previous years' CMAS reports.

1.3.2 Confidentiality of Reporting Results

The results of individual student performance on all Colorado assessments are confidential. Only release individual student performance in accordance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (20 U.S.C. Section 1232g). When possible, aggregated student performance data representing 16 or more students is made available to the public. Additional data suppression rules are also applied to aggregated reports to protect student privacy. Aggregated reports do not contain the names of individual students or teachers.

1.4 Report Interpretation Considerations

1.4.1 Participation Rates

Participation in the state assessments varies across schools, grade levels, and student groups. Review and thoughtfully take into consideration participation information when interpreting state assessment results, particularly at the district and school levels. As participation rates decrease and vary across student, school and district groups, challenges with interpreting results increase. Depending on the specific school or district, some student groups may be overrepresented in the results and others may be underrepresented. Participation information may indicate that conclusions should be drawn with caution or completely avoided in some cases. Data does not support all cross-state comparisons and historical uses when participation rates are low. Additionally, consider participation rates and differences for each administration for any comparisons made across years.

1.4.2 Science Assessment Changes

The CMAS and CoAlt science assessments aligned to the 2020 Science CAS were given for the first time in spring 2022. Only compare scores on science assessments administered after 2022 due to the extensive changes to the standards.

2.0 A Parent and Educator Guide to Understanding the Colorado Measures of Academic Success (CMAS) and Colorado Alternate (CoAlt) Assessment Student Performance Reports

Note: Parent-focused communications are available on the <u>CDE Assessment Communication Resources</u> site.

2.1 Program Overview

CMAS assessments, along with CoAlt for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, are Colorado's standards-based assessments designed to measure the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS). The CAS contain the concepts and skills students are typically expected to learn in order to be successful in the current grade and to make academic progress from year to year. The purpose of CMAS and CoAlt is to indicate the degree to which students have mastered the CAS in the assessed content areas at the end of the tested grade level. CMAS and CoAlt results are intended to provide one measure of a student's academic progress relative to the CAS. An individual student performance report is created for each student who takes a CMAS and CoAlt assessment so parents can understand their student's demonstration of learning of the CAS in the assessed grade level and content area.

As a requirement of Colorado School Law C.R.S. §22-7-1006.3 (4) (a) and (b), Spanish-speaking students in grades 3 and 4 who meet established eligibility criteria may take the Colorado Spanish language arts (CSLA) form in place of the ELA form. CSLA forms are parallel and comparable to the CMAS ELA forms in test design, item type, scoring, and reporting. Therefore, separate CSLA reports and descriptions are not included in this guide (refer to ELA reporting information and examples).

2.2 Performance Levels and Types of Scores on the Student Reports

To understand each part of the individual student performance reports, it is important to become familiar with the types of assessment scores included on the reports. Student performance on the Colorado assessments is described at varying levels on the individual student reports using scale scores, performance levels, and subclaim performance indicators. State, district, and school average results are included in relevant sections of the report to help parents understand how their student's performance compares to that of other students. In some instances, a dash (–) appears in place of average results for a school and/or district. This indicates there were too few student scores (less than 16) to maintain student privacy, and therefore, results are not reported.

2.2.1 Scale Scores

A scale score is a numerical value that summarizes student performance. When the points a student earns on an assessment are placed on a common scale, the student's score becomes a scale score. Scale scores adjust for slight differences in difficulty on versions of the assessment that can vary slightly from student to student within a year (referred to as forms of the assessment) or between school years (referred to as administrations). Scale scores allow for comparisons of assessment scores, within a particular grade and subject area, across administrations. As an example, a student who receives a score of 700 on one form of the 7th grade mathematics assessment is expected to score a 700 on any form of the assessment. A student who scored 750 on the 4th grade ELA assessment this year demonstrated the same level of mastery of concepts and skills as an 4th grade student who scored 750 on the ELA test in 2017. Scale scores cannot be used to compare student performance across grades (e.g., grade 4 to grade

7) or subject areas (e.g., ELA to mathematics).

Mathematics, ELA, including CSLA, and Science scale scores for the overall test range from 650 to 850. ELA, including CSLA, reports also provide separate scale scores for reading. Reading scale scores range from 110 to 190.

CMAS Science reports provide separate scale scores for content standards and Science and Engineering Practices (referred to as reporting categories). The content standards scale score ranges from 400 to 550.

CoAlt Science scale scores are reported for the overall test and range from 150 to 350.

2.2.2 Performance Levels

Scale scores are used to determine a student's performance level for the overall assessment. Performance levels describe the concepts and skills students are expected to demonstrate within a certain range of scores at the overall assessment level (i.e., ELA, mathematics, or science). Descriptors for each tested grade level and content area are included in **Appendix B** of this document.

CMAS Performance Levels

There are five cross-grade and content area performance levels for CMAS mathematics and ELA, including CSLA, assessments. There are four cross-grade and content area performance levels for CMAS science.

| CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA | CMAS Science |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Level 5: Exceeded Expectations* | Level 4: Exceeded Expectations* |
| Level 4: Met Expectations* | Level 3: Met Expectations* |
| Level 3: Approached Expectations | Level 2: Approached Expectations |
| Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | Level 1: Partially Met Expectations |
| Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | |

*Students in the top two performance levels met or exceeded the expectations of the CAS and are considered on track for the next grade level in the content areas of language arts, mathematics, or science. Students in the remaining performance levels may need academic support to successfully engage in further studies in the content area.

CoAlt science includes four performance levels.



*The top two performance levels indicate that with appropriate supports, the student is prepared for further study in the content area.

2.2.3 Percentile Ranking

A percentile ranking is included on all CMAS individual student performance reports. The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 75th percentile performed better than 75 percent of students in the state.

2.2.4 Additional Performance Indicators

In addition to scale scores and performance levels, individual student performance reports include other indicators to help parents and educators understand their student's performance. These performance indicators are described below for each assessment.

Note: Percent earned refers to the number of points earned out of the total number of points possible within a reporting category. Only use the percent earned indicator to compare performance of the individual student to the average district and average state performance on the specific set of items being considered. Take participation rates into consideration when comparing individual student subclaim performance to state or district average performance. Some groups of items may be more difficult than other sets of items, so unlike the scale score, <u>the percent earned indicator cannot be compared across groups of items or across school years</u>.

CMAS Mathematics and ELA (including CSLA)

CMAS mathematics and ELA, including CSLA, student reports provide subclaim performance graphics comparing the performance of the student, their district, and the state. ELA and CSLA student reports include a reading scale score. A single cut score at 150 indicates a level of performance comparable to the Met Expectations cut on the overall ELA assessment. This cut is consistent across years and can be used in trend comparisons.

Subclaim performance on the assessments is reported as the percent of points earned for overall writing and for each of the writing, reading, and mathematics subclaims. Percent earned refers to the number of points earned out of the total number of points possible within a reporting category.

For the overall writing claim and each subclaim, a marker indicates the average performance on that claim or subclaim of students at the Met Expectations cut score point on the overall test. This indicator provides criterion referenced context for the subclaims by showing how students who met the content based overall expectations performed.

CMAS Science

CMAS science reports include a performance indicator for the content standards (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Science) and Science and Engineering Practices (SEP), which indicates whether a student's scale score is Lower than Average, Average, or Higher than Average. These indicators are based on the state mean and one standard deviation below and above that mean. The average scale score of students at the Met Expectations cut score point is indicated in the same graph.

CMAS science reports include percent earned indicators for Grade Level Expectations (GLEs) in elementary school and Prepared Graduate Statements (PGs)* in middle school and high school.

*PGs and GLEs are described more fully in Appendix C.

CoAlt Science

CoAlt science reports include the percent of points earned for the content standards (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Science) and Science and Engineering Practices (SEP).

2.3 Description of Individual Student Performance Reports for CMAS Mathematics and ELA, including CSLA

Sample CMAS grade 3 ELA and mathematics Student Performance Reports are displayed in Sections 2.4 and 2.5. Each page of the sample report is included individually. The sample report provides the same type of information included on all mathematics and ELA, including CLSA, reports. To learn more about each part of the Student Performance Report, match the white letters in gray circles from the sample report to the information included with the corresponding letters on the following pages.

2.3.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Student Performance Report.

A. Identification Information

The student's name, state assigned student identification number (SASID), birthdate, school, and district. Students are identified by first name, middle initial, and last name. If the student has a preferred first name that is different than their legal name, it is listed in parentheses.

B. Test Date

The season and year the student took the assessment.

C. Subject Area

The subject area of the student's assessment (i.e., mathematics or ELA, including CSLA).

D. Grade Level

The grade level of the student's assessment.

E. Explanation of Overall Performance

A brief explanation of the overall assessment results is given to help understand the information provided in the box below the explanation.

2.3.2 Overall Assessment Scores

Refer to page 1 of the Student Performance Report.

F. Overall Scale Score, Performance Level, and Percentile Rank

The student's overall scale score (the number between 650 and 850) and performance level (Exceeded Expectations, Met Expectations, Approached Expectations, Partially Met Expectations, Did Not Yet Meet Expectations) are provided. For each content area, students receive an overall scale score and based on that score, are placed in one of five performance levels, with Level 5 indicating the student exceeded expectations and Level 1 indicating the student did not yet meet expectations (see **Appendix A** for more information on scale scores and **Appendix B** for more information on performance levels). The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 41st percentile performed better than 41 percent of students in the state.

G. Graphical Representation of Overall Performance: Overall Scale Score and Performance Level This graphic provides an illustration of the five performance levels and identifies where the student's overall scale score is positioned along the performance scale. The student's score is indicated by the large diamond positioned along the range of overall scale scores that define each performance level. The arrows represent the probable range, which is based on the standard error of measurement at that scale score and indicates the range of scores the student would likely receive if the assessment were taken multiple times. The probable range of scores differs across forms and across levels of performance within forms. The ranges of overall scale scores are indicated underneath the graphic. For all grade levels in mathematics and ELA, including CSLA, students cross into Partially Met Expectations (performance level 2) when they achieve a scale score of 700, Approached Expectations (performance level 3) when they achieve a scale score of 725, and Met Expectations (performance level 4) when they achieve a scale score of 725, and Met Expectations (performance level 5) varies. Refer to Appendix A for the full list of scale score ranges for each performance level.

Average scale scores at the school, district, and state levels are identified to the left of the graph and are indicated by smaller diamonds on the graph. The location of the diamonds can be compared to see how the student performed in comparison to the average student in their school, district, or the state. If the student's score diamond is to the right of the school, district, or state average diamond, then the student performed better than that group's average. If the student's diamond is to the left of the school, district, or state diamond, then on average, that group performed better than the student. Interpretations of, and comparisons between, scores of the student, school, district, and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low (see H. Percent of Students Tested).

The dotted lines on the graph show the lowest scores needed to achieve Partially Met Expectations, Approached Expectations, Met Expectations, and Exceeded Expectations performance levels. The scale scores representing each of those scores are indicated on the bottom of the graph.

H. Percent of Students Tested

The percent of students tested at the school, district, and state levels provide participation information that should be considered when interpreting aggregated results. Interpretations of, and comparisons of scores between, the student, school, district, and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low.

I. Percent of Students at Each Performance Level

The bars beneath the overall performance graphic show the percentage of students within Colorado who performed at each of the five performance levels and give a sense of how the student's performance compares to other students' performance in Colorado. Interpretations of, and comparisons between, scores of the student and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low (see H. Percent of Students Tested).

J. Performance Level Descriptor (PLD)

PLDs provide details about the specific grade-level content area concepts and skills typically demonstrated by students within a performance level. The PLD that corresponds to the student's performance level is included on the report. The full list of performance level descriptors for each grade level and content area is included in **Appendix B** of this document. For students scoring in Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations, the PLD for Level 2 is provided.

K. QR Code

Scan the QR code [at the top of the page] to view a video about student performance displayed on the report. Links to sample questions, the Colorado Academic Standards, and other parent resources (including the full version of the PLD text) are also available through the QR code. Alternatively, access the materials by visiting the <u>Colorado Assessments Portal Parents and</u> <u>Guardians</u> page.

Scan the QR code [at the bottom of the page] to access the <u>Colorado Family Portal</u>. The Family Portal is a platform that gives parent(s)/guardian(s) access to their students' performance results, including downloadable PDF Student Performance Report(s) and informational video(s) about their student's performance.

2.3.3 Performance by Sub-Reporting Category

Refer to page 2 of the Student Performance Report.

L. Graph Key

Explanatory text for the bars in the Percent of Points Earned graph: student's performance, district average, state average, and average of students who just crossed into the Met Expectations overall performance level.

M. Graphical Representation of Reading Scale Score

ELA and CSLA student reports include the student's scale score for reading (refer to Section 2.2.1). The student's reading scale score is indicated by the top blue diamond. Arrows around the student's diamond represent the probable range, which is based on the standard error of measurement and indicates the range of scores the student would likely receive if the assessment were taken multiple times. Reading scale scores range from 110 to 190. A single cut score at 150 indicates a level of performance comparable to the Met Expectations cut on the overall ELA/CSLA assessment.

The average scale scores at the school, district, and state levels are identified to the left of the graph and are indicated by smaller diamonds on the graph. The location of the diamonds can be compared to see how the student performed in comparison to the average student in their school, district, or the state. If the student's score diamond is to the right of the school, district, or state average diamond, then the student performed better than that group's average. If the student's diamond is to the left of the school, district, or state diamond, then on average, that group performed better than the student. Interpretations of, and comparisons between, scores of the student, school, district, and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low (see H. Percent of Students Tested).

N. Writing Claim and ELA/Math Subclaim Category and Performance Indicators

Students demonstrate specific skill sets (subclaims) on the assessments that are identified within each reporting category for ELA and CSLA (e.g., Literary Text within Reading and Written Expression within Writing) and mathematics (e.g., Expressing Mathematical Reasoning). Each subclaim category includes the header identifying the subclaim and a graph showing the percent of points earned for each subclaim and the overall Writing claim.

O. Subclaim Performance Indicator Graphics

The graph shows the percent of points earned for each reading, writing, or mathematics subclaim. The top bar in each of the figures represents the percent of points earned by the student for each of the subclaim categories and the overall writing claim. Bars representing district and state averages appear below for comparison. The dark vertical line indicates the average percent of points earned by students at the Met Expectations cut score point on the overall test. Interpretations of, and comparisons between, scores of the student, district, and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low (see H. Percent of Students Tested).

The percent of points earned cannot be compared across years because individual items change from year to year. They also cannot be compared across subclaims because the number of items and the difficulty of items may not be the same.

Page 1



CMAS is the only assessment given to all Colorado students that measures what students should know and be able to do at the end of each grade. This report describes your student's understanding of Colorado's grade 3 English Language Arts expectations. Scan the QR code to see a video that will talk you through your student's report.

Your student's performance is shown as:

As you review this report:

- A number on a scale between 650 and 850
- A performance level that is described below
- A percentile that shows how your student performed compared to other Colorado students
- Review arrows around the large diamond to see where your student may have scored if the assessment was taken multiple times.
- Make school, district, and state comparisons with caution if participation is low.
- Talk with your student's teacher about your student's progress in English Language Arts.



Performance Level Description - Met Expectations

FIRSTNAME Met Expectations and is on track for the next grade level. Students in this level typically demonstrate the following:

Reading Students understand easier 3rd grade texts in reading and may have a generally accurate understanding of more challenging texts.

Writing

• Students may effectively develop their ideas with evidence and organize their words almost always using correct spelling, punctuation, and capitalization, with few errors in grammar so that others can mostly understand their writing.

Knowledge and Use of Language and Conventions

Students typically demonstrate command of the conventions of Standard English consistent with edited writing. Student writing includes
errors in grammar and usage that may occasionally make understanding their writing difficult.

You can support your child at home by reading together and asking questions about what you read. Encourage your child to paraphrase what the story was about, tell what the story taught, and discuss how it relates to the child's experiences.



Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA

Page 2

| nglish Language Arts | | | | | Conf | identia |
|---|-----------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| bclaim Performance | | | | | | |
| → The top diamond in the figure below shows your student's performa | ince in Re | ading. | | | | |
| The top bar in each of the other graphs shows the percent of points | your stud | lent ea | rned for writing and s | pecific are | as of reading | and writin |
| District Averages are provided for comparison. State Averages are provided for comparison. | | | | | | |
| Average of students at the Met Expectations performance level star | ting point | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Reading - Refer to page 1 for participation rates. | | 1 | 10 | | | 19 |
| The figure below shows your student's scale score compared to school, district, and | Second Contract | jes. | 130 | 150 | 170 | 15 |
| Reading Scale Score | Student | | | . ← | \rightarrow | |
| | School | | | • | | |
| | District | | | | | |
| | State | 145 | | . | · · · · · | ., J |
| | Points | | | f Points E | | |
| | Possible | 0 | % 25% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Literary Text | 17 | 71% | | 1 | | |
| Students read and analyze fiction, drama, and poetry. | | | : | - | : | |
| | | | | | | |
| Informational Text | 14 | 57% | | | | |
| Students read and analyze nonfiction, history, science, and the arts. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Vocabulary Students use context to determine what words and phrases mean. | 10 | 90% | | | | |
| Students use context to determine what words and phrases mean. | | | | ÷ | | |
| | | | : | : | | |
| | Points | | Percent | of Points E | arned* | |
| N | Possible | 0 | % 25% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Writing - Refer to page 1 for participation rates. | | | | | | |
| Overall Writing Overall is calculated by multiplying Written Expression points | 24 | 33% | | ÷ | ÷ | |
| by three and adding Language and Conventions points. | | | | ÷ | i | |
| Written Expression | 6 | 33% | | | | |
| Students compose well-developed writing using details from what they | 1000 | 000000 | | | ÷ | |
| have read. | | | | | <u>.</u> | |
| Language and Conventions Students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important | 6 | 33% | | ÷ | | |
| students demonstrate knowledge of conventions and other important elements of language. | | | | : | ÷ | |
| Percent of points earned cannot be compared across years because individual test or ear to year. They also cannot be compared across specific areas of reading and writi | | | om | | | |
| umber and difficulty of questions may not be the same. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

2.5 Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS Mathematics

Page 1



CMAS is the only assessment given to all Colorado students that measures what students should know and be able to do at the end of each grade. This report describes your student's understanding of Colorado's grade 3 Mathematics expectations. Scan the QR code to see a video that will talk you through your student's report.

Your student's performance is shown as:

As you review this report:

- A number on a scale between 650 and 850
- A performance level that is described below
- A percentile that shows how your student performed compared to other Colorado students
- Review arrows around the large diamond to see where your student may have scored if the assessment was taken multiple times.
- · Make school, district, and state comparisons with caution if participation is low.
- · Talk with your student's teacher about your student's progress in Mathematics.



Performance Level Description* - Met Expectations

FIRSTNAME034 Met Expectations and is on track for the next grade level. Students in this level typically demonstrate the following:

Major, Additional & Supporting Content:

- Find the missing numbers in problems where 1 factor is 5 or more.
- Show fractions with denominators 2, 4, and 8 on a number line, and use a picture to explain the relationship between fractions with the same denominator but different numerator, such as 2/4 and 3/4.
- Add and subtract to explain elapsed time. Measure and estimate liquid volume and mass. Show information on a
 picture graph, bar graph, or line plot with the correct units.
- Explain that the area inside a 2D shape is in square units. Solve problems to find unknown side lengths, and then find the perimeter of the shape. Explain the different types of four-sided shapes, such as squares, trapezoids, and rectangles, and what makes them different.

Expressing Mathematical Reasoning:

• Explain the correct way to solve a problem, without mistakes in calculation. Explain why the answer to a problem is correct or incorrect. Modeling and Application:

- Estimate amounts in a real-world situation. Use the relationships between numbers to explain an answer. Make a model
 of a math problem, such as an expression.
- To further support your student, you can work with your student on the following skills:
- Using mental math strategies to explain the relationship between multiplication and division in fact families
- · Plotting and explaining values on a number line
- · Providing an incorrect explanation of a math problem and asking your student to correct you and explain the student's thinking

Performance level descriptors (PLDs) are organized in a manner that assumes students demonstrating higher levels of command have mastered the concepts and skills within lower levels. To view a video report and the full version of the performance level descriptor, visit https://coassessments.com/parentsandguardians or access the OR code

| *Adapted from ilClass | room in Action's Performance Level Summaries View student reports on th | ne Family Portal! |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Information about the Colorado Academic Standards m http://www.cde.state.co.us/comath/sta | |
| | Page 1 of 2 | 04252024-Z9999999-9999-9999 - 000000 |

Page 2

FIRSTNAME M. LASTNAME Mathematics Confidential Subclaim Performance Confidential The top bar in each of the other graphs shows the percent of points your student earned for each of the four mathematics assessment subclaims.

District Averages are provided for comparison.

State Averages are provided for comparison.

Average of students at the Met Expectations performance level starting point.

| | Points | | Percent of Points Earned* | | | | |
|---|----------|-----|---------------------------|-----|-----|------|--|
| | Possible | 0% | 25% | 50% | 75% | 100% | |
| Mathematics - Refer to page 1 for participation rates. | | | i | | | | |
| Major Content N Students solve probleme involving multiplication and division, area, measurement, and basic fraction understanding. | 22 | 73% | | | | | |
| Additional & Supporting Content | 9 | 67% | | : | | | |
| Students solve problems involving perimeter, place value, geometric shapes, and representations of data. | | | | - (| 0 | | |
| Expressing Mathematical Reasoning Students create and justify logical mathematical solutions and analyze and correct the reasoning of others. | 11 | 64% | | - | | | |
| Modeling & Application Students solve real-world problems, represent and solve problems with symbols, reason quantitatively, and strategically use appropriate tools. | | 67% | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

*Percent of points earned cannot be compared across years because individual test questions change from year to year. They also cannot be compared across specific areas of math because the number and difficulty of questions may not be the same.

> For information about the CMAS assessment program, visit http://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment/cmas.

> > Page 2 of 2

2.6 Description of Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS Science

A sample grade 5 science student performance report is displayed in Section 2.7. Each page of the sample report is included individually. The sample report includes the same type of information included on every science report. To learn more about each part of the student performance report, match the white letters in gray circles from the sample report to the information included with the corresponding letters on the following pages.

2.6.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Student Performance Report.

A. Identification Information

The student's name, state assigned student identification number (SASID), birthdate, school, and district. Students are identified by first name, middle initial, and last name. If the student has a preferred first name that is different than their legal name it is listed in parentheses.

B. Test Date

The season and year the student took the assessment.

C. Subject Area

The subject area of the student's assessment (science).

D. Grade Level

The grade level of the student's assessment.

E. Explanation of Overall Performance

A brief explanation of the overall assessment results is given to help understand the information provided in the box below the explanation.

2.6.2 Overall Assessment Scores

Refer to page 1 of the Student Performance Report.

F. Student's Overall Scale Score, Performance Level and Percentile Rank

The student's overall scale score (the number between 650 and 850) and performance level (Exceeded Expectations, Met Expectations, Approached Expectations, Partially Met Expectations) and percentile ranking are provided. Students receive an overall scale score and based on that score, are placed in one of four performance levels with Level 4 indicating the student exceeded expectations and Level 1 indicating the student partially met expectations (see **Appendix A** for more information on scale scores and **Appendix B** for more information on performance levels). The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 37th percentile performed better than 37 percent of students in the state.

G. Graphical Representation of Overall Performance: Overall Scale Score and Performance Level

This graphic provides an illustration of the four performance levels and identifies where the student's overall scale score is positioned along the performance scale. The student's score is indicated by the large diamond positioned along the range of overall scale scores that define each performance level. The arrows represent the probable range, which is based on the standard error of measurement at that scale score and indicates the range of scores the student would likely receive if the assessment were taken multiple times. The probable range of scores differs across forms and across levels of performance within forms. The ranges of overall scale scores are indicated

underneath the graphic. For all grade levels in science students cross into Approached Expectations (performance level 2) when they achieve a scale score of 725, Met Expectations (performance level 3) when they achieve a scale score of 750. The scale score needed to reach Exceeded Expectations (performance level 4) varies. Refer to **Appendix A** for the full list of scale score ranges for each performance level.

Average scale scores at the school, district, and state levels are indicated by smaller black diamonds on the graph. The location of the diamonds can be compared to see how the student performed in comparison to the average student in their school, district, or the state. If the student's score diamond is to the right of the school, district, or state average diamond, then the student performed better than that group's average. If the student's diamond is to the left of the school, district, or state diamond, then on average, that group performed better than the student. Interpretations of, and comparisons between, scores of the student, school, district, and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low (see H. Percent of Students Tested).

The dotted lines on the graph show the lowest scores needed to achieve Partially Met Expectations, Approached Expectations, Met Expectations, and Exceeded Expectations performance levels. The scale scores representing each of those scores are indicated on the bottom of the graph.

H. Percent of Students Tested

The percent of students tested at the school, district, and state levels provide participation information that should be considered when interpreting aggregated results. Interpretations of, and comparisons of scores between, the student, school, district, and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low.

I. Percent of Students at Each Performance Level

The bars beneath the overall performance graphic show the percentage of students within Colorado who performed at each of the four performance levels and gives a sense of how the student's performance compares to other students' performance in Colorado. Interpretations of, and comparisons between, scores of the student and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low (see H. Percent of Students Tested).

J. Performance Level Descriptor (PLD)

PLDs provide details about the specific grade-level content area concepts and skills typically demonstrated by students within a performance level. The PLD that corresponds to the student's performance level is included on the report. The full list of performance level descriptors for each grade level and content area is included in **Appendix B** of this document.

K. QR Code

Scan the QR code [at the top of the page] to view a video about student performance displayed on the report. Links to sample questions, the Colorado Academic Standards, and other parent resources (including the full version of the PLD text) are also available through the QR code. Alternatively, access the materials by visiting the <u>Colorado Assessments Portal Parents and Guardians</u> page.

Scan the QR code [at the bottom of the page] to access the <u>Colorado Family Portal</u>. The Family Portal is a platform that gives parent(s)/guardian(s) access to their students' performance results, including downloadable PDF Student Performance Report(s) and informational video(s) about their student's performance.

2.6.3 Subscale Performance

Refer to page 2 of the Student Performance Report.

L. Explanation of Subscale Performance

In this part of the report, the student's performance is presented by individual reporting categories. Information to help understand the graphical representation in this section is included.

M. Subscale Scores

Subscale scores indicate how the student performed in each reporting category. Subscale scores range from 400 to 550 and can be compared across school years. Average subscale scores are also provided for the state and the student's school and district.

N. Reporting Category Descriptions

Reporting categories include the standards for science (physical science, life science, and earth and space science) and Science and Engineering Practices. Descriptions of the reporting categories from the CAS are included in this section of the report.

O. Graphical Representation of Subscale Performance by Student, School, District, and State The graphical representation of subscale performance shows how the student performed in each reporting category. The student's performance is represented by a blue diamond on the graph.

The graphical representation also shows how the student performed in comparison to other students in the state and the student's school or district. The smaller black diamonds represent performance of students in the state, district, and school. If the student's score diamond is to the right of the state, district or school average diamond, the student's subscale score was higher than the state, district, or school average scale score. If the student's diamond is to the left, then the student's subscale score was lower than the state, district, or school average.

The shaded areas of the graph represent the performance of about 70% of students in the state. If the student's score diamond is to the right of the shaded area, the student's performance is considered relatively strong in that area in comparison to other students in the state. If the student's score diamond is to the left of the shaded area, the student's performance is considered relatively weak in that area in comparison to other students in the state. These categories are based on the state performance for the current year and can change from year to year.

The average scale score of students at the Met Expectations cut score point is represented by a dark vertical line.

2.6.4 Performance by Prepared Graduate Statements (PGs) and Grade Level Expectations (GLEs)

Refer to page 2 of the Student Performance Report.

P. Explanation of PGs and GLEs

PGs and GLEs are important parts of the CAS. PGs represent the concepts and skills students need to master to be college and career ready by the time of graduation. GLEs are grade-specific expectations that indicate that students are making progress toward the PGs. This section of the report describes performance with percent earned indicators for GLEs at the elementary level and for PGs at the middle school and high school levels.

Q. Graph Key

The graph key includes the explanatory text for the bars in the percent earned graph: student's

performance, district average, and state average.

R. Standard, PG, and GLE

Descriptions of the PGs and/or GLEs that were included on the assessment are listed under each standard. Some GLEs or PGs are combined to ensure enough points for reporting. **Note:** Grade 8 and grade 11 science reports do not include GLE-level information.

S. Points Possible

This number shows the total points possible for each PG and GLE on the assessment. **Note:** Information is not reported at the GLE level on the grade 8 and grade 11 science reports.

T. Graphical Representation of Percent Earned

The graph shows the percentage of points earned out of the total number of points available for each PG and GLE. When looking at the shaded bars in the graph, the student's performance can be compared to the average district and state performance. The dark vertical line indicates the average percent of points earned by students at the Met Expectations cut score point on the overall test.

Note: There are relatively few points associated with each PG or GLE. A student's bar can look much longer or much shorter based on a single correct or incorrect item response. Remember that <u>percent</u> <u>earned score information cannot be compared across PGs</u>, GLEs, or <u>years</u>.

2.7 Sample Individual Student Performance Report – CMAS Science

Page 1



Page 2

| | | | | FIR | STNAME | M. LAS | TNAME | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| Science | | | | | | С | onfide | ntial |
| Subscale Performance The shaded areas below represent about 70% of student scores across the state. Diamonds outside of the shaded area indicate a potential weakness or strength co | mpared | M ate. | | | e of students nance level st | | | IS |
| | Subscale Score | | L | ower than | Avera | ge | Higher the | |
| Reporting Category Description Physical Science | Score | | 400 | Average 441 | 1000 | - | Average 519 | 550 |
| Common properties, forms, and changes in matter and energy | 494 460 463 464 | Student Schoo District State | t | | • | | | |
| Physical/Life Science | | | | 440 | | | 522 | |
| Characteristics of living things, processes of life, and how living things interact with each other and their environment | 493 457 461 461 | Student Schoo District State | t | 0 | • | \$ | | |
| Earth and Space Science | | | | 44 | 6 | | 519 | |
| Processes and interactions of Earth's systems, and the structure and dynamics of Earth and other objects in space | 499 458 461 463 | Student Schoo District State | t | | 1 | | | |
| Science and Engineering Practices | | | | 44 | 18 | | 517 | |
| Making sense of the natural world through investigation and problem solving | 513 459 463 464 | Student Schoo District State | t | | * | | ^ | |
| Performance by Prepared Graduate Statements (PGs) and G • PGs and GLEs identify what students need to master to be ready for the next gr • The figure below shows the percent of points your student earned for each grad | ade leve | P | ectatio | ons (GLEs) | | | nce Q |) |
| Standard, PG and GLE | | | Points ossible | 0% | Percent of P 25% | oints Earl | ned* 75% | 100% |
| Physical Science PG 1: Structure, properties, and interactions of matter | | | | | | | | _ |
| PG 1: Structure, properties, and interactions of matter GLE 1: Matter exists as particles too small to be seen; Properties can be used to | identify m | atoriale | 6 | 67% | | | | |
| GLE 2: Chemical reactions and the Law of Conservation of Mass | locitury in | ala lais | 6 | 17% | | | 1 | - |
| | | | 100 | | | <u> </u> | | _ |
| GLE 3: Gravity Physical/Life Science | | | 6 | 50% | _ | -! | | _ |
| PG 1: Structure, properties, and interactions of matter GLE 4: Energy from food was once energy from the sun PG 6: How living systems interact with the environment GLE 2: Plants get most of their material for growth from air and water | | | 6 | 50% | | | | |
| PG 6: How living systems interact with the environment GLE 1: Matter cycles between air and soil; Organisms live and die | | | 6 | 33% | | | | _ |
| Earth and Space Science | | | - | | | | 1 | _ |
| PG 9: The universe and Earth's place in it | | | | | 1 | | | |
| GLE 1: Earth's major systems interact in multiple ways | | | 8 | 38% | | | | |
| GLE 2: Interactions between Earth's orbit and the moon's orbit | | | 0 | 3070 | | | | |
| PG 10: How and why Earth is constantly changing | | | | | | | | |
| GLE 3: Earth's major systems interact in multiple ways | | | 7 | 710/ | | | | |
| GLE 4: Earth's major water is in the ocean and much of Earth's freshwater is in underground | glaciers or | | 7 | 71% | | _ | | _ |
| GLE 5: Societal activities have major effects on land, ocean, atmosphere and ever | en outer sp | ace | 6 | 50% | - | | | |
| *Percent of points earned cannot be compared across years because individual test because the number and difficulty of questions may not be the same. | questions Page 2 of 2 | | m year to |) year. They als | o cannot be | compared | across PGs | |

2.8 Description of Individual Student Performance Report – CoAlt Science

A Student Performance Report is created for each student who takes a CoAlt assessment. This section of the guide explains the elements of the Student Performance Report. A sample CoAlt Student Performance Report is displayed in Section 2.9.

2.8.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Student Performance Report.

A. Identification Information

The student's name, state assigned student identifier (SASID), birthdate, school, and district. Students are identified by first name, middle initial, and last name. If the student has a preferred first name that is different than their legal name it is listed in parentheses.

B. Test Date

The season and year the student took the assessment.

C. Subject Area

The subject area of the student's assessment (science).

D. Grade Level

The grade level of the student's assessment.

E. Explanation of Overall Performance

A brief explanation of the overall assessment results to help understand the reported information.

2.8.2 Student Performance Information

Refer to page 1 of the Student Performance Report.

F. Student's Overall Scale Score and Performance Level

The student's overall scale score (the number between 150 and 350) and performance level (Emerging, Approaching Target, At Target, or Advanced) are provided. The scale score and performance level included in this part of the report represent the student's overall performance on the assessment.

G. Graphical Representation of the Overall Scale Score and Performance Level by Student and State The student's scale score is indicated by a large diamond on the graph. The arrows to the left and right of the diamond indicate the range of scores the student would likely receive if the assessment were taken multiple times.

The average scale score at the state level is identified by a smaller black diamond on the graph. The location of the diamonds can be compared to see how the student performed in comparison to the average student at the state level. If the student's score diamond is to the right of the state average diamond, the student performed better than the state average. If the student's diamond is to the left of the state diamond, the student performed below the state average.

The dotted lines on the graph show the lowest scores needed to achieve Approaching Target, At Target, and Advanced performance levels. The scale scores representing each of those scores are indicated on the bottom of the graph.

H. Percent of Students Tested

The percent of students tested at the state level provides participation information that should be considered when interpreting aggregated results. Interpretations of, and comparisons of scores between, the student and district and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low.

I. Percent of Students at Each Performance Level

The bars beneath the overall performance graphic show the percentage of students within Colorado who performed at each of the four performance levels and gives a sense of how the student's performance compares to other students' performance in Colorado.

J. Performance Level Descriptor (PLD)

PLDs provide details about the specific grade-level content area concepts and skills typically demonstrated by students within a performance level. The PLD that corresponds to the student's performance level is included on the report. The full list of performance level descriptors for each grade level and content area is included in **Appendix B** of this document.

K. QR Code

Scan the QR code [at the top of the page] to view a video about student performance displayed on the report. Links to sample questions, the Colorado Academic Standards, and other parent resources (including the full version of the PLD text) are also available through the QR code. Alternatively, access the materials by visiting the <u>Colorado Assessments Portal Parents and Guardians</u> page.

Scan the QR code [at the bottom of the page] to access the <u>Colorado Family Portal</u>. The Family Portal is a platform that gives parent(s)/guardian(s) access to their students' performance results, including downloadable PDF Student Performance Report(s) and informational video(s) about their student's performance.

2.8.3 Content Standard Performance

Refer to page 2 of the Student Performance Report.

L. Content Standard Descriptions

Descriptions for Science standards (physical science, life science, and earth and space science) and Science and Engineering Practices.

M. Points Earned

Points earned indicates how many points the student earned for each content standard.

N. Points Possible

Points possible indicates the total number of points possible for each content standard.

O. Graphical Representation of Content Standard Performance by Student and State

The graphical representation of content standard performance shows how the student performed in each standard compared to the state average percent of points earned. The student's performance is represented by a bar graph. The average percent of points earned for each content standard at the state level is identified by a second bar graph. If the student's bar ends to the right of the state average bar, the student's percent of points earned was higher than the state average. If the student's bar ends to the left of the state average bar, the student's percent of points earned was lower than the state average. Interpretations of, and comparisons of scores between, the student and state levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low.

P. Graph Key

Indicates the student's percent of points earned and the state average percent of points earned.

Page 1



Page 2

| Content Standard Performance | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|------|------|-----------------|------|-----|
| Content Standard Performance | (M) | (N) | | | | | |
| Reporting Category Description | Points Earned | Points | 0% | 25% | of Points Earne | 75% | 100 |
| Physical Science | | | 070 | 2370 | 50% | 1570 | 100 |
| Common properties, forms, and changes in matter and energy | 9 | 18 | 50% | | | | |
| | | | 61% | | | | |
| Life Science | | | | ÷ | : | : | |
| Characteristics and structure of living things, the processes of life, and how living things interact with each | 15 | 15 | 100% | | | | |
| other and their environment | | | 73% | | 2 2 | | |
| Earth and Space Science | | | | | ÷ | | |
| Processes and interactions of Earth's systems and the structure and dynamics of Earth and other objects in | 0 | 15 | 0% | | | | |
| space | | | 30% | | i | - | |
| Science and Engineering Practices | | | | : | : | : | |
| Making sense of the natural world through investigation and problem solving | 14 | 30 | 47% | | | | |
| | | | 54% | | | 1 | |

For information on the CoAlt assessment program, visit http://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment.

Page 2 of 2

3.0 Understanding the Colorado School and District Reports

3.1 Purpose and Use of Colorado Assessment Results

The primary purpose of CMAS and CoAlt is to provide high-quality assessments that align to the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS). Assessment results help evaluate educational programs and student progress. These reports:

- Summarize and report on the status and progress of student achievement
- Describe student performance relative to meeting standards
- Gauge school, district, and state year-to-year progress
- Support improvement planning (e.g., prioritize professional learning and resource decisions, advise program alignment with academic standards, reflect on the effectiveness of school initiatives)

Standardized assessments are a valuable tool for evaluating programs. However, any assessment can provide only one part of the picture. CMAS and CoAlt assessment results are not able to identify, let alone measure, every factor that contributes to the success or failure of a program. Assessment results can be most helpful if considered as one component of an evaluation system.

3.2 School and District Reports

In addition to individual Student Performance Reports, schools and districts receive the following reports:

| All content areas | Performance Level Summary Report, Content Standards Rosters (school level only), District Summary of Schools (district level only), Participation Summary Report |
|---------------------------------|---|
| CMAS Science | Item Analysis Report |
| CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA | Evidence Statement Analysis Report |

These reports summarize how students in the school or district performed and are described later in this section. School and district reports are not for public distribution and are only to be viewed by individuals authorized to access student level data.

Note: Sample reports included in this guide are for illustration purposes only. They are provided to show the basic layout and information on the reports. Sample reports do not include actual data from any administration.

3.2.1 Types of Scores on the Colorado School and District Reports

To understand each part of the Colorado assessment school and district reports, it is important to become familiar with the types of assessment scores that are included on the report. At varying levels, student performance is described by scale scores, performance levels, subclaim performance indicators, and percent of points earned. State, district, and school level information is provided in relevant sections of the reports so performance at these levels can be compared. A dash (–) appears on the report when there are too few students in a school or district to maintain student privacy, therefore, results are not reported. Information about appropriate comparisons of scores appears in Section 3.3.

3.2.2 Scale Scores

A scale score is a numerical value that summarizes student performance. When the points a student earns on an assessment are placed on a common scale, the student's score becomes a scale score. Scale scores adjust for slight differences in difficulty on versions of the assessment that can vary slightly from student to student within a year (referred to as forms of the assessment) or between school years (referred to as administrations). Scale scores allow for comparisons of assessment scores, within a particular grade and subject area, across administrations. As an example, a student who receives a score of 700 on one form of the 7th grade mathematics assessment is expected to score a 700 on any form of the assessment. A student who scored 750 on the 4th grade ELA assessment this year demonstrated the same level of mastery of concepts and skills as an 4th grade student who scored 750 on the ELA test in 2017. Scale scores cannot be used to compare student performance across grades (e.g., grade 4 to grade 7) or subject areas (e.g., ELA to mathematics).

Mathematics, ELA, including CSLA, and Science scale scores for the overall test range from 650 to 850. ELA and CSLA reports also provide separate scale scores for reading. Reading scale scores range from 110 to 190.

For CMAS Science, content standards and Science and Engineering Practices (referred to as reporting categories) also provide separate scale scores that range from 400 to 550 for each reporting category.

CoAlt science scale scores are reported for the overall test and range from 150 to 350.

3.2.3 Performance Levels

Scale scores are used to determine a student's performance level for the overall assessment. Performance levels describe the concepts and skills students are expected to demonstrate within a certain range of scores at the overall assessment level by grade and content area. Descriptors for each grade level and content area are included in **Appendix B** of this document.

CMAS Performance Levels

There are five cross-grade and content area performance levels for CMAS mathematics, ELA, and CSLA assessments. There are four cross-grade performance levels for CMAS science assessments.

| CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA | CMAS Science | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Level 5: Exceeded Expectations* | Level 4: Exceeded Expectations* | |
| Level 4: Met Expectations* | Level 3: Met Expectations* | |
| Level 3: Approached Expectations | Level 2: Approached Expectations | |
| Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | Level 1: Partially Met Expectations | |
| Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | | |

*Students in the top two performance levels met or exceeded the expectations of the CAS and are considered on track to being college and career ready in the content areas of language arts, mathematics, or science. Students in the remaining performance levels may need academic support to successfully engage in further studies in the content area.

CoAlt Performance Levels

CoAlt science assessments include four performance levels.

| Science | | |
|--------------------|--|--|
| Advanced* | | |
| At Target* | | |
| Approaching Target | | |
| Emerging | | |

*The top two performance levels indicate that with appropriate supports, the student is prepared for further study in the content area.

3.2.4 Percentile Ranking

The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 75th percentile performed better than 75 percent of students in the state.

3.2.5 Additional Performance Indicators

In addition to scale scores, performance levels, and percentile ranking, school and district reports include other indicators to help educators understand student performance. These performance indicators are described below for each assessment.

Note: Percent earned refers to the number of points earned out of the total number of points possible within a reporting category. The percent earned indicator can only be used to compare performance of the individual student to the average district and average state performance on the specific set of items being considered. Participation rates should be taken into consideration when comparing individual student subclaim performance to state or district average performance. Some groups of items may be more difficult than other sets of items, so unlike the scale score, <u>the percent earned indicator cannot be compared across groups of items or across school years</u>.

CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA

CMAS mathematics, ELA, and CSLA school and district reports include subclaim performance comparing the performance of the student, school, district, and the state. ELA and CSLA reports include a reading scale score. A single cut score at 150 indicates a level of performance comparable to the Met Expectations cut on the overall ELA assessment. This cut is consistent across years and can be used in trend comparisons.

Subclaim performance on the assessments is reported as the percent of points earned for overall writing and for each of the writing, reading, and mathematics subclaims.

CMAS Science

CMAS science reports include a performance indicator for the content standards (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Science) and Science and Engineering Practices (SEP), which indicates whether a student's scale score is Lower than Average, Average, or Higher than Average. These indicators are based on the state mean and one standard deviation below and above that mean. The average scale score of students at the Met Expectations cut score point is indicated in the same graph.

CMAS science reports include percent earned indicators for Grade Level Expectations (GLEs) in elementary school and Prepared Graduate Statements (PGs)* in middle school and high school.

*PGCs and GLEs are described more fully in **Appendix C**.

CoAlt Science

CoAlt science reports include the percent of points earned for the content standards (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Science) and Science and Engineering Practices (SEP).

3.3 Appropriate Score Comparisons and Uses

The types of comparisons that can be made differ by the scores being compared. Some scores (e.g., performance levels and scale scores) allow for cross-year comparisons, while some (e.g., percent of points earned) do not. In addition, the reliability of the comparisons or conclusions made vary depending on the size of the group (i.e., number of points contributing to a particular score or the number of students included in a comparison group) and representativeness of the testers. In general, the larger the group and representativeness of the testers, the more reliable the comparison or conclusions made will be. The smaller the group, the less reliable the comparison or conclusions made will be. High-stakes decisions should not be based on scores of small groups of students or on scores with a low number of points contributing to them. The following table provides some of the comparisons that typically can and cannot be made by particular types of scores.

| | Compare an individual student's performance to a target group's performance (e.g., student to school, district, or state) within the same year | Compare a group's performance to another group's performance (e.g., one school to another school, a district to the state, students of one race/ethnicity group to students in another race/ethnicity group) within the same year | Compare an individual student's performance to a target group's performance (e.g., school, district, or state) across years | Compare a group's performance to the same group's performance across years | Compare to other scores of the same type in a different subject or grade |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Performance Levels | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO (These are content and grade specific.) |
| Scale Scores | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO (These are content and grade specific.) |
| Percent of Points Earned | YES | YES | NO (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO (These are specific to the PG/GLE or subclaim.) |
| Average strengths and weaknesses (subscale reporting categories)* | YES | YES | NO (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO (These are specific to the reporting category) |

Score Comparisons

*Averages provide information about a student's performance in the reporting category compared to all students in the school, district, and state. These are not based on the standards and should not be interpreted in the same way as the overall performance levels.

Some assessment scores can be used to compare the performance of different demographic or program groups. All CMAS scores can be analyzed within the same grade and subject area for any single administration to determine which group had the highest average scale score, the lowest percentage achieving Exceeded Expectations, the highest percentage achieving Approached Expectations, etc.

Other scores can be used to help evaluate the academic performance of demographic or program groups. For example, aggregations of reporting category data can help districts and schools identify areas of potential academic weakness for a group of students. This same methodology can be applied to an entire school or district.

In addition, all assessment scores can be compared to district and statewide performance within the same subject area for any administration.
4.0 Content Standards Reports

4.1 Description of Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA

Comparing student performance on Colorado assessments to a variety of reference points can be valuable. The top rows on the Content Standards Roster Report contain state, district, and school averages. Quickly compare student scores to the averages by reviewing each column on the report.

The back page of the Content Standards Roster Report analyzes student performance on operational items on this spring's assessment. Reports are available by grade and subject at the school level. Score information is only included for students with valid scores (i.e., not invalidated or suppressed and met test attemptedness criteria). This report provides the percent earned by domain and standard for each student. It also provides the same information aggregated at the state, district, and school levels. Sample reports are included in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.

Note: The District Summary of Schools provides aggregated information for each school within a district.

4.1.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Content Standards Roster Report.

A. Assessment Information

The administration season and year, and school and district names and codes.

B. Identification Information

The assessed content area (mathematics, ELA, or CSLA) and grade level.

C. Roster of Students

The list of all the students in the school who took the specified assessment. Students are identified by first name, middle initial, and last name. If the student has a preferred first name that is different than their legal name it is listed in parentheses.

D. Participation Rates

The percent of students tested at the state, district, and school levels provides participation information that should be considered when interpreting aggregated results. Interpretations at the state, district, and school levels should be made with caution or completely avoided when participation is low.

4.1.2 Overall Assessment Scores

E. Overall Scale Score

The student's overall scale score. Students receive a numerical score and based on that score, are placed in one of five performance levels (see **Appendix A** for more information on scale scores and **Appendix B** for more information on performance levels). The rows at the top of the report include state, district, and school averages.

F. Overall SEM Range

The standard error of measurement (SEM) is related to the reliability of the assessment. It can vary across the range of scale scores, especially at the very high and low ends where there typically are

fewer items measuring that level of achievement. The SEM represents the range of overall scores the student would likely earn if the assessment were taken again.

G. Percentile Rank

The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 75th percentile performed better than 75 percent of students in the state.

H. Performance Level

The performance level for each student is listed. Performance levels are determined by the student's overall scale score. Performance level descriptors (PLDs) for each of the five performance levels are included in **Appendix B** of this document:

- Exceeded Expectations
- Met Expectations
- Approached Expectations
- Partially Met Expectations
- Did Not Yet Meet Expectations

Students in the top two performance levels, Exceeded Expectations and Met Expectations, are considered on track to being college and career ready in the assessed content area.

4.1.3 Performance by Reporting Category

I. Reporting Category

For ELA and CSLA, there are two reporting categories, Reading and Writing, separated by a bold, vertical line. This line is not included on mathematics reports.

J. Performance by Reporting Category Scale Score

For ELA and CSLA, student performance for Reading is provided as a scale score on a different scale from the overall scale score. Reading scale scores range from 110 to 190. This score is not included on mathematics reports.

4.1.4 Performance by Subclaim Category

K. Subclaim Category

Within each reporting category for ELA (including CSLA) and mathematics are specific skill sets (subclaims) students demonstrate on the assessment. Each subclaim category includes the header identifying the subclaim; state, district, and school averages; and the percent of points earned by each student for each subclaim.

4.1.5 Content Standards Information

Refer to page 2 of the Content Standards Roster Report.

L. Domain and Standard

All operational items are combined into the domain and standard group to which they apply. Some items represent multiple standards and may therefore be included in multiple groups on this report.

A full list of the assessed standards by grade and content area is found in **Appendix D** and on the <u>CDE Standards and Instruction</u> website.

M. Points Possible and Average Percent of Points Earned

Within all domains and standards, this report provides the total points possible for each group based on the items in that group and the maximum points possible for those items.

For example, a standard might have four items aligned to it. Three of those items might be worth 2 points each and one item worth 4 points, meaning that group would have a maximum points possible of 10 points ((3x2)+4).

The state, district, and school averages provide the average percent of points earned for all students in the state, district, and school with valid scores for each domain and standard group for each form combination.

N. Student Information

Students are listed in alphabetical order by last name, first name. Students only have score information if a valid score is available. Students who were indicated as home schooled, expelled, withdrew before/during testing, medical exemption, or records indicated as duplicate do not appear on this report.

The form taken by each student is listed. Percent earned information is for the student's specific operational form and comparisons cannot be made for students across domains unless both students took the same operational form of the assessment.

O. Student Percent of Points Earned

The percent of the total points possible each listed student earned in each domain and standard group. There is a minimum number of total points possible for reporting. Domains that do not meet the minimum are not reported. For domains with multiple standard groups, this amount is still included in the total.

P. Document Process Number

A number unique to each administration, found in the bottom-right corner of the report, assigned by the testing contractor.

4.2 Sample Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA

Page 1



Page 1 of 2

mmddyyyy-Batch-9999-9999-1234567





Students taking different forms should not be compared to each other for percent of points earned. Note: Students without scores are not included in summary calculations

Page 1 of 2

mmddyyyy-Batch-9999-9999-1234567

| Content Standards Roster | School: | rado Measure school name (9999) district name (9999 | | ic Success | | | Spring 20XX |
|---|-----------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Mathematics | | CONFID | ENTIAL - DO NOT | DISTRIBUTE | | | Grade 7 |
| | | | Major, Additional & | Supporting Content | : | Reasoning 8 | Modeling |
| | | Ratios & Proportional Relationships | The Number System | Expressions & Equations | Statistics & Probability | On Grade Level | Securely Held Knowledge |
| | | | | Points | Possible | 1 1 | |
| | | 11 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| | | | | Percent of P | oints Earned | | |
| State Avera | ~ | 43% | 43% | 43% | 41% | 49% | 53% |
| District Avera School Avera | - | 44% 65% | 46% 63% | 42% 63% | 44% 63% | 44% 71% | 48% 67% |
| Student N 1 ALASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | Form A | 67% | 68% | 75% | 67% | 63% | 45% |
| 2 BLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 53% | 57% | 48% | 56% | 64% | 59% |
| 3 BRLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 68% | 71% | 74% | 67% | 69% | 73% |
| 4 CLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 40% | 46% | 51% | 43% | 63% | 45% |
| 5 DLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 81% | 89% | 93% | 100% | 91% | 100% |
| 6 ELASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 12% | 11% | 19% | 15% | 21% | 12% |
| 7 FLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 22% | 39% | 45% | 39% | 28% | 31% |
| 8 FTLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 GLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 100% | 100% | 96% | 97% | 89% | 100% |
| 10 HLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 5% | 5% | 59% | 9% | 21% | 5% |
| 11 JBLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 32% | 41% | 53% | 35% | 31% | 34% |
| 12 JLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | 32% | 47% | 29% | 42% | 33% | 35% |
| Students taking different forms should not be compared For more information about the Colorado Academic Star | | | | ds. | | P mmddyyyy-Batch | 9999-9999-1234567 |

4.4 Description of Content Standards Roster Report – CMAS Science

The Content Standards Roster is available for each science grade assessed at each school. It lists every student who should have tested in the school. Score information is only included for students with valid scores (i.e., not invalidated or suppressed and met attemptedness criteria). This report provides the overall performance level, reporting category, Prepared Graduate Statements (PG) for grade 8 and grade 11, or Grade Level Expectations (GLE) for grade 5 data for each student. It also provides the same information aggregated at the state, district, and school levels. A sample report is included in Section 4.5.

Note: The District Summary of Schools provides aggregated information for each school within a district.

4.4.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the School Summary of Students.

- A. Test Date The administration season and year.
- **B.** Identification Information The school and district name and code.
- **C. Subject Area** The assessed content area (science).
- D. Grade The grade level of the assessment.

The general information is repeated on page 2 of the report.

4.4.2 Content Standards Summary Table

Refer to page 1 of the School Summary of Students.

E. Key

The ranges of scale scores for each performance level for the overall test. It also explains the symbols used to identify the performance indicators for content standard performance (Higher than Average, Average, Lower than Average).

F. Student Information

Students are identified by last name, first name, and middle initial. Students who were indicated as home schooled, expelled, withdrew before/during testing, medical exemption, or records indicated as duplicate do not appear on this report.

G. Content Standards Performance School Summary

The number and percentage of students in a school showing Higher than Average (filled circle), Average (half-filled circle), and Lower than Average (empty circle) for the reporting categories are provided for each standard.

H. State, District, and School Average

For comparison purposes, the average overall scale score and content standard (reporting category) scale score are shown for the state, district, and school.

I. Overall Performance Level

The overall performance level for each student on the roster.

J. Overall Scale Score

The overall scale score for each student on the roster.

K. SEM Range

The standard error of measurement (SEM) is related to the reliability of the assessment. It can vary across the range of scale scores, especially at the very high and low ends where there typically are fewer items measuring that level of achievement. The SEM represents the range of overall scores the student would likely earn if the assessment were taken again.

L. Percentile Rank

The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 75th percentile performed better than 75 percent of students in the state.

M. Results for Each Content Standard (Reporting Category): Scale Score and Performance Indicator The student's scale score (SS) and performance indicator (PI) of Higher than Average, Average, or Lower than Average for each content standard (reporting category).

N. Document Process Number

A number unique to each administration, found in the bottom-right corner of the report, assigned by the testing contractor.

4.4.3 Prepared Graduates (PGs) and Grade Level Expectations (GLEs) Performance

Refer to page 2 of the Content Standards Roster.

O. Student Information

Students are identified by last name, first name, and middle initial. If the student has a preferred first name that is different than their legal name, it is listed in parentheses. Students who were indicated as home schooled, expelled, withdrew before/during testing, medical exemption, or records indicated as duplicate do not appear on this report.

P. State, District, and School Average

For comparison purposes, the average percent earned is shown for the PGs at the state, district, and school levels for middle school and high school reports. Elementary reports have the average percent earned for the GLEs at the state, district, and school levels.

Q. Prepared Graduates or Grade Level Expectations

PGs and GLEs are important parts of the CAS. PGs represent the concepts and skills students need to master in order to be college and career ready by the time of graduation. The GLEs are grade-specific expectations that indicate that students are making progress toward the PGs.

R. Points Possible

The number of points possible for each PG or GLE reported. Some PGs and GLEs are combined to meet the minimum number of points required for reporting.

S. Performance for Prepared Graduate Statements or Grade Level Expectations

This section of the report describes performance with percent earned for PGs or GLEs. The PGs or GLEs are listed in the same order using the same number references as they appear on page 2 of the Student Performance Report. The order and text for each PG and GLE is included in **Appendix C**.

Note: Information on PGs is not provided in grade 5 and is not provided at the GLE level on the grade 8 and grade 11 science reports.

| Content Standards Roster | School: | SCHOOL NAME (9999) | s of Aca | demic | Succe | SS | | | | | AS | pring 2 | 20XX |
|--|------------|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Science C | | CONFIDE | NTIAL - DC | | STRIBUT | E | | | | | | Gra | ade 8 |
| Purpose: This report shows performance on the relative to the state. State, district, and school ar | | | | ance Indic | ators | | tent Sta | | s Perfo | Earth a | e Schoo nd Space ence | Scien Engin | mary ce and eering stices |
| Exceeded Expectations 797 - 850 Met Expectations 750 - 796 | District P | articipation: 75% articipation: 64% articipation: 79% | | | of students: of students: | 6 | O 4 4 4 29% | 8 | O 3 3 1% 22% | 7 | O 4 3 3% 21% | • • 5 5 33% 33 | O 5 5 |
| Approached Expectations 725 - 749 Partially Met Expectations 650 - 724 | | | Overall | Overall SEM + | Percentile | Co | ntent Stan | dard Scal | e Score (S | (S) and Pe | erformanc | e Indicato | r (PI) |
| Performance Indicator | | (H) | Scale Score | Score | Rank | SS | PI | SS | P | √)s | PI | SS | PI |
| = Higher than State Average = Average Performance Compared to State = Lower than State Average | | State Average Form A: District Average Form A: School Average Form A: | 699 695 695 | | C | 719 703 684 | | 743 727 689 | | 690 726 706 | | 738 723 697 | |
| Student | Form | Performance Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ALASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Partially Met Expectations | 709 | 682-736 | 20 | 697 | 0 | 667 | 0 | 714 | 0 | 664 | 0 |
| 2 BLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | Α | Met Expectations | 778 | 755-791 | 84 | 717 | ٠ | 731 | ٠ | 686 | • | 713 | • |
| 3 CLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | Α | Partially Met Expectations | 719 | 682-736 | 23 | 667 | ٠ | 674 | ٠ | 685 | 0 | 736 | 0 |
| 4 DLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Exceeded Expectations | 793 | 761-818 | 98 | 821 | ٠ | 834 | ٠ | 799 | ٠ | 831 | ٠ |
| 5 ELASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Partially Met Expectations | 667 | 650-697 | 12 | 678 | • | 721 | 0 | 698 | 0 | 668 | 0 |
| 6 FLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Approached Expectations | 749 | 724-774 | 64 | 667 | 0 | 721 | 0 | 689 | 0 | 651 | 0 |
| 7 GLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | - | No Score | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 HLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Met Expectations | 772 | 751-793 | 73 | 701 | 0 | 677 | • | 697 | 0 | 653 | 0 |
| 9 ILASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Partially Met Expectations | 671 | 650-693 | 28 | 689 | 0 | 721 | 0 | 661 | 0 | 686 | θ |
| 10 JLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Met Expectations | 750 | 727-773 | 93 | 821 | ٠ | 778 | ٠ | 743 | θ | 849 | ٠ |
| 11 KLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Exceeded Expectations | 821 | 796-844 | 99 | 844 | ٠ | 783 | ٠ | 750 | θ | 850 | • |
| 12 LLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | - | No Score | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 MLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Approached Expectations | 731 | 689-733 | 50 | 689 | 0 | 733 | 0 | 721 | • | 687 | 0 |
| 14 NLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Exceeded Expectations | 842 | 823-850 | 99 | 844 | ٠ | 850 | ٠ | 889 | • | 798 | • |
| 15 OLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | А | Approached Expectations | 728 | 698-744 | 40 | 673 | θ | 668 | 0 | 739 | 0 | 691 | 0 |
| Note: Students without scores are not included in summary calc | ulations. | | Page 1 | of 2 | | | | | N | lccyy-Z99 | • Standard 99999-999! | Error of Me 9-9999- 99 | |



Note: Students without scores are not included in summary calculations. Students taking different forms should not be compared to each other for percent of points earned

mmddccyy-Z9999999-9999-9999-99999999999

4.6 Description of Content Standards Roster Report – CoAlt Science

The Content Standards Roster Report is available for each science grade assessed at each school. It lists every student who should have tested in the school. Score information is only included for students with valid scores (i.e., not invalidated or suppressed). This report provides overall and standards-level data for each student. A sample report is included in Section 4.7.

Note: The District Summary of Schools provides this information for each school within a district.

4.6.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the School Summary of Students.

A. Test Date

The administration season and year.

B. Identification Information

The school and district name and code.

C. Subject Area The subject area of the report (science).

D. Grade

The grade level of the assessment.

4.6.2 Performance Level and Content Standards Information

Refer to page 1 of the Content Standards Roster.

E. Key

The range of scale scores for each performance level for the overall test.

F. Student Information

Students are identified by last name, first name, and middle initial. Students who were indicated as home schooled, expelled, withdrew before/during testing, medical exemption, or records indicated as duplicate do not appear on this report.

G. Overall Performance Level

The overall performance level for each student on the roster.

H. State, District, and School Average Scale Score

The average scale score for the state, district, and school followed by the scale score for each student.

I. Points Possible

The number of points possible for each content standard.

J. Percent of Points Earned

Describes performance with percent of points earned by content standard for the state, district, and school, followed by the percent of points earned by each student.

K. Document Process Number

A number unique to each administration, found in the bottom-right corner of the report, assigned by the testing contractor.

4.7 Sample Content Standards Roster Report – CoAlt Science

| Content Standards Roster | School SCHOOL NU District: DISTRICT N | · · · (D) | essmen | t | | A | Spring 20XX |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Science | | CONFIDENTIAL | - DO NOT | DISTRIBUTE | | | D Grade 5 |
| Purpose: This report shows performance on the | e overall test and cont | tent standards for ea | ach | | Content Standar | ds Performance | • |
| student in the school. State district, and school | l averages are provide | d for comparison. | | Physical Science | Physical/Life Science | Earth and Space | Science and |
| Performance Level Scale Score | State Participation: 7 | 5% | | | Points P | Science | Engineering Practices |
| Ranges | District Participation: 6 | 4% | | 16 | 9 | 17 | 29 |
| Advanced 273 - 350 At Target 250 - 272 Approaching Target 225 - 249 | School Participation: 7 | 2% H | Overall Scale Score | | Percent of P | oints Earned | |
| Emerging 150 - 224 | | State Average: | 245 | 52% | 45% | 37% | 37% |
| | | District Average: | 257 | 51% | 44% | 35% | 35% |
| Student | | School Average: Performance Level | 246 | 59% | 55% | 47% | 47% |
| 1 ALASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | G At Target | 271 | 44% | 38% | 76% | 76% |
| 2 BLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Advanced | 320 | 82% | 76% J | 91% | 91% |
| 3 CLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Advanced | 335 | 85% | 89% | 93% | 93% |
| 4 DLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Advanced | 305 | 87% | 99% | 100% | 100% |
| 5 ELASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | At Target | 252 | 81% | 93% | 67% | 67% |
| 6 FLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Approaching Target | 228 | 62% | 41% | 39% | 39% |
| 7 GLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Emerging | 165 | 29% | 35% | 46% | 46% |
| 8 HLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | At Target | 269 | 67% | 84% | 100% | 100% |
| 9 ILASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Emerging | 169 | 67% | 84% | 100% | 100% |
| 10 JLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Advanced | 289 | 94% | 100% | 88% | 88% |
| 11 KLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Advanced | 283 | 95% | 93% | 100% | 100% |
| 12 LLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | No Score | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 MLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | At Target | 262 | 81% | 79% | 100% | 100% |
| 14 NLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Approaching Target | 237 | 61% | 49% | 53% | 53% |
| 15 OLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | At Target | 263 | 82% | 79% | 85% | 85% |
| 16 PLASTNAME, FIRSTNAME M. | | Emerging | 167 | 33% | 41% | 27% | 27% |

Note: Students without scores are not included in summary calculations.

Page 1

Commddccyy-Z9999999-9999-9999-9999-999999999

5.0 District Summary of Schools Report

5.1 Description of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Mathematics, ELA/CSLA, and Science

Using the District Summary of Schools Report, school data can quickly be compared to the district and state averages by reviewing the average overall scale score column. Refer to Sections 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 for sample District Summary of Schools Reports.

5.1.1 General Information

A. Assessment Information

The administration season and year, district name, and district number.

B. Identification Information

The assessed content area (mathematics, ELA, CSLA, or science) and grade level.

C. Number of Valid Scores

The first two rows contain the number of valid scores included in reporting at the state and district levels. Subsequent rows contain the number of valid scores included in reporting at each school within the district.

5.1.2 Overall Assessment Scores

D. Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

The first column of the report shows the distribution of students achieving each performance level— indicated both graphically and numerically. Each colored section of the graph represents a performance level, beginning with level 1 (Did Not Yet Meet Expectations for math, ELA, and CSLA; Partially Met Expectations for science) on the left through Exceeded Expectations (level 5 for math, ELA, and CSLA; level 4 for science) on the right. The numerical values appearing on the graph indicate the percentage of students in each performance level. Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%. The name of the school is listed in each row above the graph.

E. Description of Performance Level Graphics

This graphic provides a key of the colors used to represent the five performance levels in ELA, CSLA, and math. There are four performance levels in science. Scale score ranges for each performance level are included in this key.

F. Participation Rate

This column provides participation rate information at each school in the district.

G. Overall Mean Scale Score

This column of the report provides the average overall scale score (refer to Section 3.2.2) for all students assessed at the school for the specified assessment on the report. The first two rows contain state and district averages.

5.1.3 Performance by Reporting Category

Note: There are no markers for H or I on the sample mathematics or science District Summary of Schools Reports.

H. Reading Mean Scale Score

For ELA and CSLA, student performance for reading is provided as a scale score (refer to Section 3.2.2) on a different scale from the overall scale score. Reading scale scores range from 110 to 190. The first two rows contain state and district averages. The remaining rows contain the school averages.

I. Reporting Category

For ELA and CSLA, there are two reporting categories, Reading and Writing, separated by a bold, vertical line.

5.1.4 Performance by Subclaim or Reporting Category

J. Subclaim/Reporting Category

Within each reporting category for ELA and CSLA are specific skill sets (subclaims) students demonstrate on the assessment. Subclaims are also provided for mathematics but are not listed under reporting categories as they are for ELA and CSLA. Each subclaim category includes the column header identifying the subclaim, as well as state, district, and school percentages.

Scale Score (SS) and Performance Indicator (PI) results for each Content Standard (Reporting Category), with icons for Higher than Average, Average, and Lower than Average are shown for science as well as state, district, and school percentages.

K. Subclaim Performance Indicators

On mathematics and ELA District Summary of Schools Reports, subclaim performance for the state, district, and schools is reported by the average percent of points earned for each subclaim.

5.1.5 Content Standards Information

Refer to page 2 of the District Summary of Schools Report.

L. Domain and Standard/Prepared Graduate Statements and Grade Level Expectations

For mathematics and ELA, all operational items are combined into the domain and standard group to which they apply. Some items represent multiple standards and may therefore be included in multiple groups on this report.

A full list of the assessed standards by grade and content area is found in **Appendix D** and on the <u>CDE Standards and Instruction</u> website.

For science, operational items are combined into their PGs or GLEs. PGs represent the concepts and skills students need to master in order to be college and career ready by the time of graduation. The GLEs are grade-specific expectations that indicate that students are making progress towards the PGs.

M. Average Points Possible and Percent Earned

This report provides the total points possible for that domain and standard or PG/GLE group based on the items in that group and the maximum points possible for those items.

For example, a standard might have four items aligned to it. Three of those items might be worth 2 points each and one item worth 4 points, meaning that group would have a maximum points possible of 10 points ((3x2)+4).

The average percent of points earned provides the average percent earned for all students in the state, district, and schools with valid scores for each domain and standard group for each form combination.

N. School Information

Schools are listed in alphabetical order.

O. Percent of Points Earned

For each listed school, the average percent of points earned in each domain and standard or PG/GLE group is provided. There is a minimum number of total points possible for reporting. Domains that do not meet the minimum are not reported. For domains with multiple standard groups, this amount is still included in the total.

P. Document Process Number

A number unique to each administration, found in the bottom-right corner of the report, assigned by the testing contractor.

5.2 Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA

| Page | 1 |
|-------|----|
| 1 460 | ÷. |

| District Summary of Schools | ado Me | | s of Aca | demic S | Success | | | | Sprin | g 20XX |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| English Language Arts B | | CONFIE | DENTIAL - [| | STRIBUTE | | | | | Grade 7 |
| Purpose: This report shows the overall English Language Arts and Reading and Writing subclaim and the following page includes the aver | | | and participati rned for each R | | | listrict. This pag ate and district a | | erage percent of for compa | | for each |
| Performance Distribution By % (All Students) | Number of Valid Scores | Participa- tion Rate | Overall Mean Scale Score | Reading Mean Scale Score | Reading Literary | Reading Information | Reading Vocabulary | Writing* Overall | Written Expression | Language and Convention |
| STATE 8 21 26 28 17 | 42,763 | 72.3% | 751 | 128 | 35% | 42% | 43% | 56% | 56% | 29% |
| DISTRICT 10 17 21 37 15 | 5,664 | 81.3% | 738 | 144 | 41% | 37% | 28% | 35% | 35% | 47% |
| ABRAHAM LINCOLN MIDDLE SCHOOL 13 19 28 18 22 | 204 | 88.3% | 742 | 137 | 34% | 51% | 25% | 46% | 46% | 62% |
| ADA LOVELACE MIDDLE SCHOOL 10 13 42 35 | 198 | 72.3% | 730 | 128 | 36% | 48% | 53% | 22% | 22% | 47% |
| 6 29 33 21 11 | 177 | 77.3% | 727 | 144 | 47% | 36% | 53% | 28% | 28% | 22% |
| BOOKER T. WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 2 28 29 17 24 | 204 | 63.3% | 724 | 137 | 53% | 25% | 44% | 34% | 34% | 56% |
| CHARLOTTE HAWKINS BROWN MIDDLE SCHOOL 23 24 17 25 11 | 198 | 76.2% | 762 | 128 | 43% | 41% | 45% | 48% | 48% | 51% |
| ELEANOR ROOSEVELT MIDDLE SCHOOL 14 9 25 37 15 | 177 | 86.6% | 743 | 144 | 34% | 66% | 35% | 49% | 49% | 32% |
| ELMILY HANSON MIDDLE SCHOOL 18 21 29 15 17 | 171 | 86.3% | 783 | 147 | 49% | 53% | 22% | 38% | 38% | 45% |
| Did Not Yet Meet Partially Met Approached Expectations (500-699) (700-724) (7274) | Me Expe (750- | ectations | Exceed Expectatio (785-850) | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Writing Overall is calculated by multiplying Written Expression points b | y three and a | dding Langi | uage and Conve Page 1 | | Note: Students | without scores a | re not included i | | culations. y-Batch-1234-56 | 78-123456 |

| District Summary of Schools | DISTRICT NAM | | Academ | ic Succe | SS | | | Spri | ng 20XX |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | | CONFIDENT | IAL - DO NO | T DISTRIBU | TE | | | | Grade 7 |
| | 5 | Rea | ding | | Vocabulary | Content Are | ea Reading | Prose Co Resp | nstructed onse* |
| | Key Ideas: Literary Text | Key Ideas: Informational Text | Craft & Structure | Integration of Knowledge & | Vocabulary Acquisition & Use | Literacy in History / Social Studies | Literacy in Science & Technical Subjects | Prose Constructed Response 1 | Prose Constructed Response 2 |
| | | | | | oints Possib | [] | | | |
| | 24 | 26 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 19 |
| State Average: | 43% | 43% | 43% | Average Pe | ercent of Poi | 41% | 43% | 49% | 53% |
| District Average: | 43% | 45% | 43% | 43% | 35% | 41% | 43% | 49% | 48% |
| ABRAHAM LINCOLN MIDDLE SCHOOL | 5% | 61% | 81% | 68% | 81% | 53% | 62% | 65% | 57% |
| ADA LOVELACE MIDDLE SCHOOL | 5% | 57% | 28% | 46% | 57% | 66% | 73% | 49% | 48% |
| BENJAMIN FRANKLIN MIDDLE SCHOOL | 18% | 46% | 34% | 72% | 54% | 68% | 39% | 57% | 63% |
| BOOKER T. WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL | 36% | 38% | 51% | 63% | 29% | 54% | 47% | 58% | 67% |
| CHARLOTTE HAWKINS BROWN MIDDLE SCHOOL | 43% | 71% | 72% | 45% | 57% | 35% | 69% | 64% | 68% |
| ELEANOR ROOSEVELT MIDDLE SCHOOL | 17% | 45% | 39% | 78% | 65% | 69% | 31% | 67% | 74% |
| EMILY HANSON MIDDLE SCHOOL | 35% | 67% | 52% | 61% | 73% | 61% | 45% | 55% | 61% |

*Prose Constructed Response points possible include writing and reading points for certain task types.

For more information about the Colorado Academic Standards go to http://www.cde.state.co.us/coreadingwriting/statestandards.

Page 2 of 4



5.3 Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Mathematics

Page 1

| District Summary of Schools | DIORADO N | | | demic Succes | s | | Spring 20X> |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mathematics B | | CONFIE | DENTIAL - DO | NOT DISTRIBUTE | | | Grade |
| Purpose: This report shows the overall Mathematics mean scal the following page includes the average percent of points earned | | | | | | points earned for each Mat | hematics subclaim and |
| Performance Distribution By % (All Students) | Number of Valid Scores | Participa- tion Rate | Overall Mean Scale Score | Major Content | Supporting Content | Reasoning | Modeling |
| STATE 8 21 26 28 17 | 41,624 | 85.3% | 751 | 35% | 42% | 43% | 56% |
| DISTRICT 10 17 21 37 15 | 5,664 | 91.3% | 738 | 41% | 48% | 52% | 39% |
| ABRAHAM LINCOLN MIDDLE SCHOOL 13 19 28 18 22 | 204 | 84.2% | 742 | 47% | 59% | 61% | 39% |
| ADA LOVELACE MIDDLE SCHOOL 10 13 42 35 | 198 | 83.7% | 730 | 51% | 36% | 43% | 57% |
| 6 29 33 21 1 | 1 177 | 76.3% | 727 | 45% | 29% | 51% | 39% |
| 300KER T. WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 2 28 29 17 24 | 204 | 66.7% | 724 | 48% | 49% | 54% | 52% |
| CHARLOTTE HAWKINS BROWN MIDDLE SCHOOL 23 24 17 25 1 | 1 198 | 81.3% | 762 | 37% | 56% | 46% | 52% |
| ELEANOR ROOSEVELT MIDDLE SCHOOL 14 9 25 37 15 | 177 | 84.2% | 743 | 35% | 49% | 50% | 57% |
| ELMILY HANSON MIDDLE SCHOOL 18 21 29 15 17 | 163 | 86.3% | 743 | 45% | 53% | 54% | 49% |
| Did Not Yet Meet Expectations Expectations (700-724) (650-699) | ons | Met Expectations (750-785) | Exceede Expectations (786-850) | | 1 | <u> </u> | 1 |
| ote: Students without scores are not included in summary calcu | lations. | | Page 1 | of 4 | | mmddyyyy-Ba | tch-1234-5678 -1234 5 |

| District Summary of Schools | rado Measure | | ic Success | | | Spring 20XX |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Mathematics | CONFID | ENTIAL - DO NOT | DISTRIBUTE | | | Grade 7 |
| n | Ratios & Proportional | The Number | Expressions & | Statistics & | - | & Modeling Securely Held |
| | Relationships | System | M | Probability | On Grade Level | Knowledge |
| | | | Points P | Possible | | |
| | 11 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| | 100/ | 000/ | | of Points Earned | 100/ | 4400 |
| State Average: | | 38% | 38% | 39% | 49% | 44% |
| District Average: | 37% | 30% | 31% | 33% | 39% | 38% |
| ABRAHAM LINCOLN MIDDLE SCHOOL | 82% | 31% | 61% | 48% | 58% | 61% |
| ADA LOVELACE MIDDLE SCHOOL | 9% | 43% | 45% | 57% | 53% | 63% |
| BENJAMIN FRANKLIN MIDDLE SCHOOL | 10% | 63% | 71% | 64% | 49% | 71% |
| BOOKER T. WASHINGTON MIDDLE SCHOOL | 56% | 51% | 54% | 48% | 61% | 35% |
| CHARLOTTE HAWKINS BROWN MIDDLE SCHOOL | 73% | 64% | 55% | 68% | 55% | 64% |
| ELEANOR RIVERDALE MIDDLE SCHOOL | 57% | 61% | 64% | 61% | 49% | 71% |
| ELEANOR ROOSEVELT MIDDLE SCHOOL | 43% | 57% | 63% | 39% | 51% | 35% |

For more information about the Colorado Academic Standards go to http://www.cde.state.co.us/comath/statestandards.

Page 2 of 4

Pmmddyyyy-Batch-1234-5678-1234567

5.4 Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Science

Page 1

| District Summary of Schools | | | Acad | lem | ic S | Juco | cess | 5 | | | | | | | : | Spri | ing 2 | 20X | Х |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------|
| Science B | | IDENTIA | L - DO | ΝΟΤ | DIST | RIBU | JTE | | | | | | | | | | G | irad | le { |
| Purpose: This report shows performance on the overall test, cont Performance Indicators relative to the state. State and district ave comparison. Performance Indicator | | | or | Phy | J | | nten | 1 | hysio | | | | th an | Distri Id Sp ence | | S | cienc ngine | eerin | g |
| = Higher than State Average = Average Performance Compared to State = Lower than State Average | C | F | G | | ● 308 43% | ●20128% | O 208 29% | | • 409 57% | ⊖ 151 21% | O 158 22% | | ● 358 50% | ●20128% | O 151 21% | | Pract • 201 28% | 258 36% | 0 25 |
| | Number of Valid | Participa- tion | Overall Mean Scale | | | 0 | | | Cont | | andard | | Score | <u> </u> | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Performance Distribution By % STATE | Scores 12,456 | Rate 72.6% | Score 599 | SS 602 | 19% | • 66% | O 16% | SS 585 | 19% | • 63% | O 17% | SS 609 | 18% | • 66% | 0 | SS 620 | • | • 68% | 0 |
| DISTRICT 29 36 26 9 | 717 | 81.2% | 589 | 591 | 44% | 8% | 48% | 589 | 44% | 10% | 46% | 589 | 44% | 8% | 48% | 591 | 44% | 8% | 48% |
| SCHOOL A 32 28 18 22 | 145 | 73.2% | 669 | 665 | <mark>61%</mark> | 0% | 39% | 671 | 61% | 4% | 36% | 668 | 61% | 0% | 39% | 670 | 61% | 0% | 399 |
| SCHOOL B 23 35 28 14 | 161 | 68.2% | 525 | 549 | 0% | 75% | 25% | 500 | 38% | 0% | 63% | 530 | 38% | 0% | 63% | 537 | 61% | 4% | 359 |
| SCHOOL C 35 33 21 11 | 123 | 82.7% | 561 | 525 | 0% | 0% | 100% | 525 | 0% | 0% | 100% | 529 | 0% | 20% | 80% | 532 | 38% | 0% | 63% |
| SCHOOL D 13 29 39 19 | 110 | 45.2% | 525 | 525 | 0% | 0% | 100% | 525 | 0% | 0% | 100% | 529 | 0% | 20% | 80% | 532 | 38% | 0% | 63% |
| SCHOOL E 12 27 36 25 | 178 | 64.2% | 433 | 441 | 20% | 0% | 80% | 438 | 33% | 33% | 34% | 410 | 12% | 38% | 50% | 439 | 20% | 0% | 80% |
| Expectations Expectations Expectations Expectations | xceeded (pectations 89-850) | E | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Note: Students without scores are not included in summary calculations. | | | Page 1 o | of 2 | | | | | | | | n | nmddc | cyy-Z9 | 999999 | 9-9999 | -9999-9 | 9999 | 999 |

Sample of District Summary of Schools Report – CMAS Science

Page 2



Note: Students without scores are not included in summary calculations

Page 2 of 2

mmddccyy-Z9999999-9999-9999-999999999

6.0 Performance Level Summary Report

6.1 Description of Performance Level Summary Report – All Assessments

The Performance Level Summary Report is available for CMAS mathematics, ELA, CSLA, and science for each grade assessed at each school or district. It contains aggregated performance level information across the school, district, and state. It also contains disaggregated performance level data by student demographic and program categories and subgroups for either the school or district. Refer to Sections 6.2 and 6.3 for sample Performance Level Summary Reports.

At the district level, Performance Level Summaries are also provided by grade band for mathematics and ELA (grades 3-5 and 6-8) as well as by content area, which includes all grades aggregated together for a subject (provided for CMAS mathematics, ELA, and CSLA).

6.1.1 General Information

A. Test Date

The administration season and year.

- **B.** Identification Information The names and codes of the school and district.
- C. Content Area/Subject

The content area/subject of the report (mathematics, ELA, CSLA, or science).

D. Grade The grade level of the assessment.

6.1.2 Performance Level Distribution Data

E. Demographic and Program Categories and Subgroups

Demographic and program categories with subgroups are listed on the left side of the table. The "Not Indicated" subgroups contain results of students for whom no demographic or program information was coded.

F. Number of Valid Scores

Reportable or valid scores are records that met attemptedness, are non-voided, and are without suppression codes that excluded them from aggregations (e.g., expelled and home-schooled students or when a misadministration or irregularity occurred during testing). The number of valid scores does not include students with "no score" on the assessment.

G. Overall Mean Scale Score

The average scale score for state, district, school, and each demographic or program subgroup. The average does not include students with "no score" on the assessment.

H. Performance Level Results

The number and percentage of students who achieved Did Not Yet Meet Expectations (mathematics, ELA, and CSLA only), Partially Met Expectations, Approached Expectations, Met

Expectations, and Exceeded Expectations, as well as aggregated (combined) Met and Exceeded Expectations, are displayed for each demographic or program subgroup.

I. Participation

Participation information should be considered when interpreting aggregated results. Reasonable interpretations for individual student subgroups may be made with more confidence with higher individual participation rates. Interpretations for individual student subgroups with lower participation rates should be made with caution or completely avoided.

J. Total Number of Students

The number of students registered to take the assessment.

K. Document Process Number

A number unique to each administration, found in the bottom-right corner of the report, assigned by the testing contractor.

6.2 Sample Performance Level Summary Report – CMAS ELA/CSLA and Mathematics

| School Performar Level Summar | nce ry | School: S | CHOOL NA | ME (9999 |) B | Acad | emic : | Succe | 255 | | | | A Spring 20XX | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|----------|----------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--|-------|------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| English Language Arts | C mber of Valid | G Werall Mean Scale | Did Not Y | et Meet | Partially Expecta | Perf / Met | OT DIS | ce Lev | | | Excee | | Met a | and | Parcopa- tion | Total Number of Enrolled | |
| | Scores | Score | | | | | | | | | | | Excee | ded | Rate | Students | |
| | | | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | % | # | |
| State | 60,907 | 744 | 8,793 | 14.4% | 9,563 | 15.7% | 14,184 | 23.3% | 19,192 | 31.5% | 9,175 | 15.1% | 28,367 | 46.6% | 86.3% | 66,176 | |
| District | 75 | 751 | 5 | 6.7% | 12 | 16.0% | 20 | 26.7% | 23 | 30.7% | 15 | 20.0% | 38 | 50.7% | 82.2% | 75 | |
| School | 25 | 718 | 5 | 20.0% | 8 | 32.0% | 12 | 48.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 96.2% | 25 | |
| Gender | r | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 12 | 728 | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 41.7% | 7 | 58.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 93.3% | 12 | |
| Male | 10 | 708 | 2 | 38.5% | 3 | 23.1% | 5 | 38.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 10 | |
| Nonbinary | 3 | 716 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 33.3% | 2 | 66.7% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 3 | |
| Ethnicity/Race | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 2 | 734 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 2 | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2 | 725 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 67.7% | 2 | |
| Asian | 2 | 716 | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Black or African American | 2 | 731 | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 2 | 735 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 2 | |
| White | 2 | 706 | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 2 | |
| Two or more races | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | |
| Not Indicated | 13 | 712 | 3 | 23.1% | 6 | 46.2% | 4 | 30.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 13 | |
| Gifted and Talented | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1 | 749 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 1 | |
| No | 24 | 716 | 5 | 20.8% | 8 | 33.3% | 11 | 45.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 95.8% | 24 | |
| Migrant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 24 | 717 | 5 | 20.8% | 8 | 33.3% | 11 | 45.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 95.8% | 24 | |
| Yes | 1 | 742 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 1 | |
| Economic Disadvantage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 730 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 100.0% | 1 | |
| Not Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch | 24 | 717 | 5 | 20.8% | 8 | 33.3% | 11 | 45.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 96.0% | 24 | |
| This report is NO | T for public | review. Distri | eview. Distribution within your school/district must be in accordance with state and federal privacy laws and local or Page 1 of 6 | | | | | | | | | | hool board policy. mmddyyyy-Batch-1234-5678-1234567 | | | | |

6.3 Sample Performance Level Summary Report – CMAS Science

| School Performance Level Summary | School: SCHO District: DISTR | OL NAME (99 | ¹⁹⁹⁾ B | | aemic | Succ | cess | | | | | A | Spring |] 2088 |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Science C | F | G | IDENTI/ | AL - DO | NOT DI | | JTE Ice Lev | els | З | | | (| G | rade 5 |
| Purpose: This report describes group achievement in terms of mean scale scores and performance levels. | Number of Valid Scores | Overall Mean Scale Score | | | Approached Expectations | | Met Expectations | | Exceeded Expectations | | Met and Exceeded | | Participa- tion Rate | Number or Enrolled Students |
| | | | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | % | # |
| State E | 21,441 | 709 | 6,163 | 28.7% | 10,469 | 48.8% | 4,160 | 19.4% | 649 | 3.0% | 4,809 | 22.4% | 91.4% | 22,432 |
| District | 46 | 690 | 17 | 37.0% | 18 | 39.1% | , 0 | 0.0% | 11 | 23.9% | 11 | 23.9% | 34.8% | 150 |
| School | 16 | 688 | 7 | 43.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 9 | 56.3% | 9 | 56.3% | 48.3% | 33 |
| Gender | | | | . | | | | | | . 1 | | | | |
| Female | 7 | 673 | 3 | 42.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 57.1% | 4 | 57.1% | 50.0% | 14 |
| Male | 9 | 683 | 4 | 44.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 55.6% | 5 | 55.6% | 48.3% | 19 |
| Nonbinary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 |
| Ethnicity/Race | I | | | | | I | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 700 | 1 | 33.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 66.7% | 2 | 66.7% | 100.0% | 3 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 |
| Asian | 2 | 800 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 100.0% | 2 | 100.0% | 33.3% | 5 |
| Black or African American | 2 | 650 | 2 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 50.0% | 4 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 |
| White | 1 | 850 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 1 |
| Two or more races | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 |
| Not Indicated | 8 | 650 | 4 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 50.0% | 4 | 50.0% | 47.8% | 18 |
| Gifted and Talented | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 2 | 650 | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 4 |
| No | 14 | 693 | 6 | 42.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 8 | 57.1% | 8 | 57.1% | 47.8% | 29 |
| Migrant | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | 16 | 688 | 7 | 43.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 9 | 56.3% | 9 | 56.3% | 48.6% | 31 |
| Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2 |
| Economic Disadvantage | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 1 | 650 | 1 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 50.0% | 2 |
| Not Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch | 15 | 660 | 6 | 40.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 9 | 60.0% | 9 | 60.0% | 48.7% | 31 |
| This report is NOT for public | review. Distributio | n within your s | chool/distri | ct must be Page 1 | | nce with s | state and fee | deral priva | icy laws and | d local set | | | 1234-5678 - 1 | 1234567 |

7.0 Evidence Statement Analysis Report

7.1 Description of Evidence Statement Analysis Report – CMAS Mathematics and ELA/CSLA

An Evidence Statement Analysis Report is available at the school and district levels for each grade level and content area assessment (ELA grades 3 through 8; CSLA grades 3 and 4; mathematics grades 3 through 8). The report includes item level score information at the school, district, and state levels. The second page of the report includes item map information related to the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS). Sample Evidence Statement Analysis Reports are displayed in Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

Information included on the Evidence Statement Analysis Report can be used to identify patterns of evidence statements where a school is performing better or worse than the district or state or where a district is performing better or worse than the state. For example, within a particular evidence statement, a school within a district may be outperforming the district and the state while the school may be performing worse than the district and the state in another evidence statement. In combination with other evidence and data, schools and districts can use the information in this report to identify patterns across evidence statements that may be indicative of potential areas of strength or weakness.

7.1.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Evidence Statement Analysis Report.

- A. Test Date The administration season and year.
- **B.** Identification Information The names and codes of the school and district.
- **C. Content Area/Subject** The content area/subject of the report (mathematics, ELA, or CSLA).
- D. Grade

The grade level of the assessment.

7.1.2 Evidence Statement Analysis Information

Refer to page 1 of the Evidence Statement Analysis. **Note:** For mathematics, writing tasks are not included. For this reason, there are no markers for J and K on the sample mathematics report.

E. Number of Students with Valid Scores

Reportable or valid scores are records that met attemptedness, are non-voided, and are without suppression codes that excluded them from aggregations (e.g., expelled and home-schooled students or when a misadministration or irregularity occurred during testing). The number of valid scores does not include students with "no score" on the assessment.

F. Graph Key

Explanatory text for the symbols and lines in the graph: state and district for the district level report and state, district, and school for the school level report.

G. Average Percent of Points Earned

The average percent of points earned is included to the left of the graphical representation of state, district, and school performance by evidence statement. Evidence statements that were more difficult for students across the state have a lower average percent of points earned.

H. Evidence Statement and Difficulty Order

Items on the mathematics and ELA (including CSLA) assessments are written to evidence statements that are mapped to the CAS. Each operational item on the assessment is combined into an evidence statement group. Items may be aligned to more than one evidence statement. This means that one item could be represented on the report multiple times depending on its alignment.

The evidence statements on the graph are placed in order with most to least difficult appearing from left to right. This difficulty order is determined by student performance on the items at the state level.

I. Graphical Representation of State, District, and School Level Performance by Evidence Statement The graphical representation shows how the state, district, and school performed on each operational evidence statement. The state is represented as a blue line with squares, the district is represented as green circles, and the school is represented by orange triangles on school level reports.

The points on the graph represent at each level (state, district, and school) the average points earned compared to the points possible for the group of valid scores in that category. A school can then compare how their students performed on each evidence statement compared to other students in the district or state.

For ELA and CSLA, this comparison can also be used to evaluate school or district performance on the writing tasks as shown in the charts represented by letters J and K.

J. Writing Tasks

Charted information related to the performance of the writing tasks included on the ELA and CSLA assessments.

K. Prose Constructed Response (PCR)

This section breaks down the performance on the writing tasks by the PCR items included on the ELA and CSLA assessments. The PCRs ask for an extended student response that analyzes literary works in the categories of Literary Analysis and Narrative Writing and informational texts in the category of a Research Simulation Task. Score distributions of the unweighted Written Expression plus the Knowledge of Language and Conventions traits for the state, district, and school (where applicable) are included.

7.1.3 Evidence Statement Map Information

Refer to page 2 of the Evidence Statement Analysis.

L. Evidence Statement

Evidence statements are listed from most to least difficult based on the state level. This ordering corresponds to the graphed data on page 1 of the report.

M. Colorado Academic Standard(s)

The evidence statement-linked CAS is listed in the third column. An evidence statement can be connected to multiple standards. For statements that are considered Modeling or Modeling & Reasoning, SHK (Securely Held Knowledge) or OGL (On Grade Level) verbiage is indicated in place of a CAS. Additionally, some integrated mathematics evidence statements cross multiple domains and are not linked to only a single CAS. Multiple CAS are listed for integrated mathematics evidence statements.

N. Domain

The domain level (e.g., Reading: Informational Text, Reading: Literature, Operations and Algebraic Thinking) is listed in this column.

O. Additional Information

Links to more detailed information on the evidence statements and CAS are provided at the bottom of the report.

- Evidence Statements
- Colorado Academic Standards:
 - o <u>ELA/CSLA</u>
 - o <u>Mathematics</u>





Spring 20XX Colorado Measures of Academic Success

This report shows the operational items for the given grade and subject sorted by difficulty.

English Language Arts

CONFIDENTIAL - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Grade 3

| L Difficulty Order Most to Least | Evidence Statement | Colorado Academic Standard(s) | Domain |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | RL 3.9.2 | 3.2.1.c.ii | Reading: Literature |
| 2 | RI 3.9.1 | 3.2.2.c.iii | Reading: Informational Text |
| 3 | RL 3.9.3 | 3.2.1.c.ii | Reading: Literature |
| 4 | RL 3.1.1 | 3.2.1.a.i | Reading: Literature |
| 5 | RL 3.2.2 | 3.2.1.a.iii | Reading: Literature |
| 6 | RL 3.2.3 | 3.2.1.a.iii | Reading: Literature |
| 7 | RL 3.5.2 | 3.2.1.b.iii | Reading: Literature |
| 8 | RL 3.3.2 | 3.2.1.a.vi | Reading: Literature |
| 9 | RL 3.3.1 | 3.2.1.a.vi | Reading: Literature |
| 10 | L 3.5.1 | 3.2.3.d.i | Language |
| 11 | RI 3.5.1 | 3.2.2.b.ii | Reading: Informational Text |
| 12 | RI 3.3.2 | 3.2.2.a.iv | Reading: Informational Text |
| 13 | RI 3.3.3 | 3.2.2.a.iv | Reading: Informational Text |
| 14 | RI 3.8.1 | 3.2.2.c.ii | Reading: Informational Text |
| 15 | RI 3.1.1 | 3.2.2.a.i | Reading: Informational Text |
| 16 | L 3.6.1 | 3.2.3.e | Language |
| 17 | RI 3.4.1 | 3.2.2.b.i | Reading: Informational Text |
| 18 | RI 3.2.2 | 3.2.2.a.ii | Reading: Informational Text |

Ο

Evidence Statements: http://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment/cmas

Colorado Academic Standards: http://www.cde.state.co.us/coreadingwriting/statestandards

This report is NOT for public review. Distribution within your school/district must be in accordance with state and federal privacy laws and local school board policy. 08292023-Z9999999-9999-9999 - 0000002

CMAS and CoAlt Interpretive Guide | 64





Colorado Measures of Academic Success Spring 20XX

This report shows the operational items for the given grade and subject sorted by difficulty.

Mathematics

CONFIDENTIAL - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Grade 4

| ifficulty Order Most to Least | Evidence Statement | Colorado Academic Standard(s) | N Domain |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 4.D.1 | On Grade Level | Modeling and Reasoning |
| 2 | 4.MD.3 | 4.MD.A.3 | Measurement & Data |
| 3 | 4.NF.1-2 | 4.NF.A.1 | Number & OperationsFractions |
| 4 | 4.OA.3-1 | 4.OA.A.3 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking |
| 5 | 4.C.5-2 | On Grade Level | Modeling and Reasoning |
| 6 | 4.C.3 | On Grade Level | Modeling and Reasoning |
| 7 | 4.MD.1 | 4.MD.A.1 | Measurement & Data |
| 8 | 4.Int.4 | 4.NBT.B.6 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 9 | 4.NF.5 | 4.NF.C.5 | Number & OperationsFractions |
| 10 | 4.D.2 | Securely Held Knowledge | Modeling and Reasoning |
| 11 | 4.NF.3d | 4.NF.B.3.d | Number & OperationsFractions |
| 12 | 4.MD.7 | 4.MD.C.7 | Measurement & Data |
| 13 | 4.NF.A.Int.1 | 4.NF.A.1 4.NF.A.2 | Number & OperationsFractions |
| 14 | 4.G.2 | 4.G.A.2 | Geometry |
| 15 | 4.NBT.5-2 | 4.NBT.B.5 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 16 | 4.C.5-6 | Securely Held Knowledge | Modeling and Reasoning |
| 17 | 4.NBT.2 | 4.NBT.A.2 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 18 | 4.NBT.3 | 4.NBT.A.3 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 19 | 4.OA.1-1 | 4.OA.A.1 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking |
| 20 | 4.NF.4b-1 | 4.NF.B.4.b | Number & OperationsFractions |
| 21 | 4.OA.2 | 4.OA.A.2 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking |
| 22 | 4.NF.Int.2 | 4.NF.C.5 4.NF.C.6 | Number & OperationsFractions |
| 23 | 4.OA.4-1 | 4.OA.B.4 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking |
| 24 | 4.NBT.Int.1 | 4.NBT.A.2 4.NBT.B.4 4.NBT.B.5 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 25 | 4.NBT.6-2 | 4.NBT.B.6 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 26 | 4.MD.5 | 4.MD.C.5 | Measurement & Data |
| 27 | 4.NBT.4-2 | 4.NBT.B.4 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 28 | 4.Int.8 | 4.NBT.B.4 | Number & Operations in Base Ten |
| 29 | 4.NF.3a | 4.NF.B.3.a | Number & OperationsFractions |

0

On Grade Level (OGL) and Securely Held Knowledge (SHK): OGL and SHK test items ask students to integrate their knowledge and Reason or Model with mathematics, called for by the Prepared Graduate statements in the Colorado Academic Standards. OGL are standards taught in the assessed grade. SHK are standards taught in the previous grade. For a detailed list of standards associated with Reasoning and Modeling, refer to the following Evidence Statements link.

Evidence Statements: http://www.cde.state.co.us/assessment/cmas

Colorado Academic Standards: http://www.cde.state.co.us/comath/statestandards

8.0 Item Analysis Report

8.1 Description of Item Analysis Report – CMAS Science

An Item Analysis Report is available at the school and district level for CMAS science for each assessed grade level and content area. The report includes item level score information at the school, district, and state levels. The back of the report includes item map information.

Information included on the Item Analysis Report can be used to identify patterns of items (and aligned CAS) where a school is performing better or worse than the district or state or where a district is performing better or worse than the state. For example, within a particular Grade Level Expectation (GLE), a school within a district may be outperforming the district and the state while the school may be performing worse than the district and the state in another GLE. In combination with other evidence and data, schools and districts can use the information in the Item Analysis Report to identify patterns across standards, GLEs, and PGs that may be indicative of potential areas of strength or weakness. A sample Item Analysis Report is in Section 8.2.

8.1.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Item Analysis Report.

- A. Test Date The administration season and year.
- B. Identification Information
 - The school and district name and code.
- **C. Subject Area** The subject area of the report (either science).
- **D. Grade** The grade level of the assessment.

8.1.2 Item Analysis Information

Refer to page 1 of the Item Analysis Report.

E. Number of Students with Valid Scores

Reportable or valid scores are records that met attemptedness, are non-voided, and are without suppression codes that excluded them from aggregations (e.g., expelled and home-schooled students or when a misadministration or irregularity occurred during testing). The number of valid scores does not include students with "no score" on the assessment.

F. Graph Key

Explanatory text for the symbols and lines in the graph: state and district for the district level report and state, district, and school for the school level report.

G. Average Percent of Points Earned

The average percent of points earned is graphed by state, district, and school to show performance by item in order from most to least difficult. Items that were more difficult for students across the
state have a lower average percent of points earned. For 1-point selected response items, the percent of students who correctly responded is recorded. For 2- and 3-point constructed response items, the average of points earned is divided by 2 or 3, respectively, in creating the percentage.

H. Numbered Items

Items are identified by numbers in blue text at the bottom of the graph and are ordered from most difficult to least difficult based on the state level, such that the most difficult item is labeled as 1.

I. Standard and Grade Level Expectation (GLE)/Prepared Graduate Statement (PG)

On elementary item analysis reports, the corresponding standard and GLE are listed below each item. On the grade 8 and grade 11 item analysis reports, the corresponding standard and PG are listed below each item.

J. Graphical Representation of State, District, and School Level Performance by Item

The graphical representation shows how the state, district, and school performed on each operational item. The state is represented as a blue line with squares, the district is represented as a green line with circles, and the school is represented by an orange line with triangles.

K. Document Process Number

A number unique to each administration, found in the bottom-right corner of the report, assigned by the testing contractor.

8.1.3 Item Map Information

Refer to page 2 of the Item Analysis Report.

L. Item Map Information

Page 2 of the Item Analysis Report includes information for all the operational items included on the assessment. Items are ordered from most to least difficult, as they were on page 1 of the report. For each item, the following information is included:

- Difficulty order from most to least (matches page 1)
- Location on the test (unit number and item number)
- Standard and GLE numbers (for grade 5 only grade 8 and grade 11 have Standard and PG number)
- Standard by name
- Scientific and Engineering Practices (SEP)
- Cross Cutting Concepts (CCC)
- Item type (Selected Response (SR); 2-point Constructed Response (CR-2)





Page 2



Colorado Measures of Academic Success Spring 20XX

This report shows the operational items for the given grade and subject sorted by difficulty.

Science

CONFIDENTIAL - DO NOT DISTRIBUTE

Grade 5

| Difficulty Order Most to Least | Unit-Item Number | Standard.GLE | Standard | SEP* | CCC* | Item Type Selected Response (SR) Constructed Response (CR) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------|------|--|
| 1 | 1-008 | 3.4 | Earth and Space Science | SEP5 | CCC3 | CR-2 |
| 2 | 1-013 | 1.2 | Physical Science | SEP5 | | SR |
| 3 | 1-014 | 1.1 | Physical Science | SEP3 | | CR-2 |
| 4 | 2-015 | 2.2 | Physical/Life Science | SEP2 | CCC4 | SR |
| 5 | 3-015 | 3.2 | Earth and Space Science | SEP4 | CCC1 | SR |
| 6 | 1-012 | 1.2 | Physical Science | SEP3 | CCC2 | SR |
| 7 | 3-014 | 3.2 | Earth and Space Science | | CCC1 | SR |
| 8 | 1-002 | 1.4 | Physical/Life Science | | CCC5 | CR-2 |
| 9 | 2-014 | 2.1 | Physical/Life Science | SEP7 | CCC5 | CR-2 |
| 10 | 1-003 | 1.3 | Physical Science | SEP7 | CCC2 | CR-2 |
| 11 | 2-017 | 3.3 | Earth and Space Science | SEP2 | CCC4 | CR-2 |
| 12 | 3-008 | 1.3 | Physical Science | | CCC2 | SR |
| 13 | 2-012 | 2.2 | Physical/Life Science | SEP2 | CCC4 | SR |
| 14 | 2-013 | 2.1 | Physical/Life Science | SEP7 | CCC5 | SR |
| 15 | 3-013 | 3.2 | Earth and Space Science | SEP4 | CCC1 | CR-2 |
| 16 | 3-006 | 1.2 | Physical Science | | CCC3 | SR |
| 17 | 1-005 | 3.3 | Earth and Space Science | | CCC4 | SR |
| 18 | 3-007 | 1.2 | Physical Science | | CCC2 | SR |
| 19 | 1-004 | 3.5 | Earth and Space Science | SEP8 | CCC3 | SR |
| 20 | 1-001 | 1.1 | Physical Science | SEP2 | CCC3 | SR |
| 21 | 1-011 | 1.1 | Physical Science | SEP3 | | SR |
| 22 | 3-005 | 1.3 | Physical Science | | CCC2 | CR-2 |
| 23 | 1-010 | 1.1 | Physical Science | SEP3 | | CR-2 |
| 24 | 3-010 | 3.5 | Earth and Space Science | SEP8 | | SR |
| 25 | 1-007 | 3.4 | Earth and Space Science | SEP5 | CCC3 | SR |
| 26 | 3-004 | 1.2 | Physical Science | SEP5 | CCC3 | SR |
| 27 | 1-006 | 3.4 | Earth and Space Science | SEP5 | CCC3 | SR |
| 28 | 3-011 | 3.2 | Earth and Space Science | SEP4 | CCC1 | SR |
| 29 | 3-012 | 3.1 | Earth and Space Science | SEP7 | CCC3 | CR-2 |
| 30 | 3-009 | 3.1 | Earth and Space Science | | CCC3 | SR |
| 31 | 1-009 | 1.2 | Physical Science | SEP3 | CCC2 | SR |
| 32 | 2-001 | 2.1 | Physical/Life Science | | CCC5 | SR |
| 33 | 2-010 | 2.1 | Physical/Life Science | SEP7 | CCC5 | CR-2 |
| 34 | 2-016 | 1.3 | Physical Science | | CCC2 | SR |
| 35 | 2-018 | 3.5 | Earth and Space Science | | CCC4 | SR |
| 36 | 3-002 | 3.5 | Earth and Space Science | SEP8 | CCC4 | CR-2 |

continued

*Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs) and Cross Cutting Concepts (CCCs). For the full lists of SEPs/CCCs and how they are applied at grade level see the following resources: https://www.cde.state.co.us/coscience/sep-progressions, https://www.cde.state.co.us/coscience/ccprogressions.

Colorado Academic Standards: https://www.cde.state.co.us/coscience/2020cas-sc-introduction

This report is NOT for public review. Distribution within your school/district must be in accordance with state and federal privacy laws and local school board policy. 11292023-Z9999999-9999-9999 - 0000002

Page 2

9.0 Participation Summary Reports

9.1 Description of Participation Summary Report – All Assessments

A Participation Summary Report is available at the district and school levels for each assessed grade and content area. The report includes overall student group composition and participation rates, which should always be taken into consideration when interpreting assessment results.

Information included on the Participation Summary Report can be used to show how the population of Students with Scores represents the total population of Enrolled Students. Reasonable interpretations for the Overall student group may be made with more confidence with higher participation rates and the more the Enrolled Students distribution mirrors the Students with Scores distribution. Interpretations for the Overall student group should be made with caution or completely avoided with lower participation rates and/or greater differences in participation rates across student groups.

Reasonable interpretations for individual student subgroups may be made with more confidence with higher participation rates. Interpretations for individual student subgroups with lower participation rates should be made with caution or completely avoided. Comparison of subgroup performance can be made with more confidence when the subgroups are of reasonable size and have relatively high and comparable participation rates. Comparisons between subgroups should be made with caution or completely avoided when subgroups have lower participation rates and/or greater differences in participation rates between them.

Districts and schools are encouraged to closely review their local participation data when interpreting and comparing aggregated and group results, as participation rates are critical to interpretation.

9.1.1 General Information

Refer to page 1 of the Participation Summary Report.

- A. Test Date The administration season and year.
- **B.** Identification Information The school and district name and code.
- **C. Subject Area** The subject area of the report (Mathematics, ELA, CSLA, or Science).
- D. Grade

The grade level of the assessment.

9.1.2 Participation Information

Refer to page 1 of the Participation Summary Report.

E. Table 1 Information: Distributions by Student Group

Table 1 of the Participation Summary shows how the population of students with scores represents the total population of enrolled students.

F. Student Group

Demographic and program subgroup categories are listed on the left side of the table. The "Not Indicated" subgroups contain results of students for whom no demographic or program information was coded.

G. Number of Enrolled Students

The number of students in the demographic group enrolled in the organization (e.g., 35 males and 27 females).

H. Percent of Total Enrolled Students

The percent of total students in the demographic group enrolled in the organization (e.g., 56% male and 44% female).

Compare the information included in the *Percent of Total Enrolled Students* column with the information included in the *Percent of Total Students with Scores* column. Closer distributions between enrolled students and students with scores indicate a higher degree of similarity (e.g., representativeness) than distributions with greater differences.

I. Number of Students with Scores

The number of students in the demographic group with valid scores on the assessment. Valid scores are records that met attemptedness, are non-voided, and are without suppression codes that excluded them from aggregations (e.g., expelled and home-schooled students or when a misadministration or irregularity occurred during testing). The number of valid scores does not include students with "no score" on the assessment. Example: 30 of 35 males have valid scores; 24 of 27 females have valid scores.

J. Percent of Total Students with Scores

The percent of students in the demographic group with valid scores on the assessment (for example, the number of female students with scores divided by the total number of students with scores).

Compare the information included in the *Percent of Total Students with Scores* column with the information included in the *Percent of Total Enrolled Students* column. Closer distributions between enrolled students and students with scores indicate a higher degree of similarity (e.g., representativeness) than distributions with greater differences.

9.1.3 Participation Information

Refer to page 2 of the Participation Summary Report.

K. Table 2 Information: Participation Rates by Student Group

Table 2 of the Participation Summary provides participation rates for the overall population of students, as well as across student subgroups.

L. Student Group

Demographic and program subgroup categories are listed on the left side of the table. The "Not

Indicated" subgroups contain results of students for whom no demographic or program information was coded.

M. Total Number of Enrolled Students

The number of enrolled students at the school for that grade.

N. Students without Scores

The percent of students registered to take the assessment who did not receive scores.

O. Students with Scores

The percent of students with valid scores on the assessment. Valid scores are records that met attemptedness, are non-voided, and are without suppression codes that excluded them from aggregations (e.g., expelled and home-schooled students or when a misadministration or irregularity occurred during testing). The number of valid scores does not include students with "no score" on the assessment.

Reasonable interpretations for the overall student group may be made with more confidence when participation rates for the overall student group are higher and there is more similarity between the overall participation rate and the student group participation rates. Interpretations for the overall student group should be made with caution or completely avoided with lower participation rates and/or greater differences in participation rates across student groups.

Reasonable interpretations for individual student subgroups may be made with more confidence with higher individual participation rates. Interpretations for individual student subgroups with lower participation rates should be made with caution or completely avoided.

9.2 Sample Participation Summary Report

| School Participation Summary | Colorado Measu School: SCHOOL NAM District: DISTRICT NAM | | c Success | A Spring 2 | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| thematics C | CONFIDENTIAL - D | O NOT DISTRIBUT | E | DGrad | |
| Purpose: This report provides information on overall student group composition and participation rates, which should be considered when interpreting and determining appropriate uses of spring 20XX results. N-sizes should always be taken into consideration when interpreting assessment results. Table 1 shows how the population of students with scores represents the total population of enrolled students. The number and percent of different groups of students by enrolled students and students with scores is included. Closer distributions indicate a higher degree of similarity between enrolled students and students with scores (e.g., representativeness) than distributions with greater differences. Reasonable interpretations for the overall student group may be made with more confidence the more the enrolled students distribution mirrors the students with scores distribution. Interpretations should be made with caution or completely avoided the less similar the students with scores distribution is from the enrolled students distribution. | | | | | |
| Table 1: Spring 20XX CMAS Distributions by Student Group | | | | | |
| Table 1: S | pring 20AA CINAS L | Jistributions by 3 | tudent Group | | |
| E Table 1: S | G Number of Enrolled Students | Percent of Total Enrolled Students | Number of Students with Scores | J Percent of Total Students with Scores | |
| | G Enrolled | Percent of Total Enrolled | Number of Students with | Total Students | |
| EStudent Group | G Number of Enrolled Students | Percent of Total Enrolled Students | Number of Students with Scores | Total Students with Scores | |
| Female | G Enrolled Students | Percent of Total Enrolled Students | Number of Students with Scores | Total Students with Scores | |
| Female Male | G Enrolled Students 188 165 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% | Number of Students with Scores | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% | |
| Female Male | G Enrolled Students 188 165 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% | Number of Students with Scores | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary | G Number of Enrolled Students 188 165 5 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino | C Number of Enrolled Students 188 188 165 5 178 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American | Number of Enrolled Students 188 165 5 178 33 25 29 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 - 178 - 25 29 22 - | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 - 178 - 25 - 29 - 22 - 28 - | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 - 178 - 25 - 29 - 28 29 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 8% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 - 178 - 25 - 29 - 22 - 28 - | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% | Jumber of Students with Scores3732428116475 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 - 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 9 9 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 8% 8% 3% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 2 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% 3% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 9 114 14 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 8% 3% 4% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated | Number of Enrolled Students F 188 165 5 - 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 9 9 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 8% 8% 3% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 2 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% 3% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Not Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch | Number of Enrolled Students 188 165 5 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 14 339 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 8% 3% 4% 96% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 2 3 66 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% 3% 4% 96% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Not Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch IEP - Yes | Number of Enrolled Students 188 165 5 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 14 339 31 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 6% 8% 3% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 2 3 66 15 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% 3% 4% 96% 22% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Not Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch | Number of Enrolled Students 188 165 5 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 14 339 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 8% 3% 4% 96% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 2 3 66 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% 3% 4% 96% | |
| Female Male Nonbinary Hispanic or Latino American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Two or more races Not Indicated Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible Not Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch IEP - Yes | Number of Enrolled Students 188 165 5 178 33 25 29 22 28 29 9 14 339 31 | Percent of Total Enrolled Students 53% 44% 3% 50% 9% 7% 8% 6% 8% 6% 8% 3% | Number of Students with Scores 37 32 4 28 11 6 4 7 5 6 2 3 66 15 | Total Students with Scores 54% 46% 80% 41% 16% 9% 6% 10% 7% 9% 3% 4% 96% 22% | |

Page 1

This report is NOT for public review. Distribution within your school/district must be in accordance with state and federal privacy laws and local school board policy.

Page 1

mmddyyyy-Batch-1234-5678-1234567

Page 2



Table 2 provides participation rates for the overall population of students, as well as across student subgroups. Reasonable interpretations for the overall student group may be made with more confidence when participation rates for the overall student group are higher and there is more similarity between the overall participation rate and the student group participation rates. Interpretations for the overall student group should be made with caution or completely avoided with lower participation rates and/or greater differences in participation rates across student groups.

Reasonable interpretations for individual student subgroups may be made with more confidence with higher individual participation rates. Interpretations for individual student subgroups with lower participation rates should be made with caution or completely avoided.



Appendix A Scale Score Ranges

CMAS Mathematics Overall Scale Score Ranges

| Grade Level/Content | Does Not Yet Meet | Partially Met Expectations | Approached Expectations | Met Expectations | Exceeded Expectations |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Lever/Content | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 |
| Grade 3 | 650-699 | 700-724 | 725-749 | 750-789 | 790-850 |
| Grade 4 | | | | 750-795 | 796-850 |
| Grade 5 | | | | 750-789 | 790-850 |
| Grade 6 | | | | 750-787 | 788-850 |
| Grade 7 | | | | 750-785 | 786-850 |
| Grade 8 | | | | 750-800 | 801-850 |

CMAS English Language Arts/Literacy Overall Scale Score Ranges

| Grade Level | Does Not Yet Meet | Partially Met Expectations | Approached Expectations | Met Expectations | Exceeded Expectations |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 |
| Grade 3 | | | | 750-809 | 810-850 |
| Grade 4 | | | 725-749 | 750-789 | 790-850 |
| Grade 5 | 650,600 | 700 724 | | 750-798 | 799-850 |
| Grade 6 | 650-699 | 700-724 | | 750-789 | 790-850 |
| Grade 7 | | | | 750-784 | 785-850 |
| Grade 8 | | | | 750-793 | 794-850 |

Colorado Spanish Language Arts Overall Scale Score Ranges

| Grade Level | Does Not Yet Meet Level 1 | Partially Met Expectations Level 2 | Approached Expectations Level 3 | Met Expectations Level 4 | Exceeded Expectations Level 5 |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Grade 3 | | 700 724 | 725 740 | 750-778 | 779-850 |
| Grade 4 | 650-699 | 700-724 | 725-749 | 750-771 | 772-850 |

CMAS Science Overall Scale Score Ranges

| Grade Level | Partially Met Expectations | Approached Expectations | Met Expectations | Exceeded Expectations |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| Grade 5 | 650-724 | 725-749 | 750-788 | 789-850 |
| Grade 8 | 650-724 | 725-749 | 750-796 | 797-850 |
| Grade 11 | 650-724 | 725-749 | 750-786 | 787-850 |

CMAS Science Content Standards Performance Indicator Ranges

Refer to the <u>Performance Level and Policy Claims</u> document for the current administration's Science Content Standards Performance Indicator cut scores.

| Grade Level | Emerging | Approaching Target | At Target | Advanced |
|-------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| Grade 5 | 150-224 | 225-249 | 250-272 | 273-350 |
| Grade 8 | 150-224 | 225-249 | 250-276 | 277-350 |
| Grade 11 | 150-224 | 225-249 | 250-276 | 277-350 |

CoAlt Science Overall Scale Score Ranges

Appendix B Performance Level Descriptors

Grade 5 CMAS Science Performance Level Descriptors

Students who Exceeded Expectations showed an advanced understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' grade 5 science expectations and are ready for the next grade level. Students in the Exceeded Expectations level typically:

- Model that matter (particles too small to be seen) is always conserved, and mixing can result in new substances.
- Evaluate, measure, and observe materials to identify them based on their properties.
- Explain Earth's gravity as the cause of objects being pulled down toward its center.
- Model that all energy in food on Earth was once energy from the Sun.
- Model matter and energy cycles in an ecosystem, and explain plants get materials to grow from air and water.
- Evaluate the impact of star distance from Earth on the apparent brightness of stars.
- Analyze and explain patterns caused by Earth's orbit and rotation and the orbit of the Moon around Earth.
- Model and analyze the interactions between Earth's major systems and their impact on shaping Earth's surface.
- Evaluate the distribution of water among the different reservoirs on Earth using percentages.
- Evaluate solutions that communities use to protect Earth's environment and resources.

Students who Met Expectations showed a strong understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' grade 5 science expectations and are ready for the next grade level. Students in the Met Expectations level typically:

- Describe matter (particles too small to be seen) as always conserved, and mixing can result in new substances.
- Make observations and measurements of properties used to identify materials.
- Describe evidence that demonstrates Earth's gravity as the cause of objects being pulled down toward its center.
- Demonstrate that all energy in food on Earth was once energy from the Sun.
- Explain matter and energy cycles in an ecosystem and explain that plants get materials to grow from air and water.
- Describe that a star's distance from Earth affects its apparent brightness.
- Demonstrate patterns caused by Earth's orbit and rotation and the orbit of the Moon around Earth.
- Model the interactions between Earth's major systems and their impact on shaping Earth's surface.
- Describe the relative proportions of salt water and fresh water in different reservoirs on Earth.
- Communicate ways that communities use scientific ideas to protect Earth's environment and resources.

Students who Approached Expectations showed a moderate understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' grade 5 science expectations and will likely need additional academic support in the next grade level. Students in the Approached Expectations level typically:

- Describe matter (particles too small to be seen) as always conserved, and mixing can result in new substances.
- Observe the properties of an object to identify it.
- Describe evidence that demonstrates Earth's gravity as the cause of objects being pulled toward its center.
- Show the transfer of energy from the Sun to things animals use as food.
- Describe matter and energy cycles in an ecosystem and explain that plants get materials to grow from air and water.
- Relate the distance between a star and Earth to the star's apparent brightness.
- Demonstrate Earth's patterns using shadows, day and night, and the seasonal appearance of some stars.
- Describe Earth's major systems and how they interact.
- Identify the proportions of salt water and fresh water in different reservoirs on Earth.
- Summarize ways that communities protect Earth's environment and resources.

Students who Partially Met Expectations showed a limited understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' grade 5 science expectations and will need additional academic support in the next grade level to successfully engage in further study. Students in this level typically:

- Describe matter as made up of small particles and changes caused by the mixing of substances.
- Identify materials as having different properties.
- Identify gravity as the cause of objects falling to the ground.
- Demonstrate that the Sun and plants contribute to animals' food.
- Describe matter and energy cycles in an ecosystem and explain that plants get materials to grow from air and water.
- Compare the brightness of the Sun and stars as seen from Earth.
- Describe daily changes in day and night and the characteristics of shadows.
- Identify the major interacting systems on Earth and describe an interaction between two of them.

- Identify the different reservoirs of salt water and fresh water on Earth.
- Describe human activities interacting with natural Earth systems and their impact.

Grade 8 CMAS Science Performance Level Descriptors

Students who Exceeded Expectations showed an advanced understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and are ready for the next grade level. Students in the Exceeded Expectations level typically:

- Use complex data sets and models to describe the structure and properties of matter under different conditions.
- Use Newton's Laws to design investigations to show the relationship between mass and force.
- Demonstrate the numerical relationships between variables relating to transfers among different forms of energy.
- Explain the properties and behavior of waves and their interaction with different materials.

• Use multiple methods to demonstrate the function of parts of and explain the effects of different environments on organisms.

- Explain multiple effects of resource availability, patterns within, and consequences of changes to an ecosystem.
- Illustrate how mutations affect an organism, and the genetic impact of asexual versus sexual reproduction.
- Analyze complex patterns in modern and fossil organisms to infer and explain relationships.

• Analyze, model, and compare the properties of solar system objects with a focus on scale, cyclic patterns in the Sun-Earth-Moon system, and the role of gravity in motion of planetary systems and galaxies.

• Explain how geoscience processes cycle matter and energy among Earth's systems to transform Earth's surface features and climate throughout history.

• Use complex data and evidence to illustrate geologic processes and how humans interact with and manage natural resources and hazards.

Students who Met Expectations showed a strong understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and are ready for the next grade level. Students in the Met Expectations level typically:

- Describe the structure and properties of matter under different conditions, including the chemical composition.
- Use Newton's Laws to conduct conventional investigations to show the relationship between mass and force.
- Show the numerical relationships between variables relating to transfers among different forms of energy.
- Explain the properties and behavior of waves and their interaction with different materials.
- Explain the function of parts of and explain the effects of different environments on organisms.
- Explain an effect of resource availability, a predictable pattern, and a consequence of change to an ecosystem.
- Show how mutations affect an organism and the genetic impact of asexual versus sexual reproduction.
- Analyze routine patterns in modern and fossil organisms to infer and explain relationships.
- Describe properties of solar system objects with a focus on scale, routine cyclic patterns in the Sun-Earth-Moon system, and the role of gravity in motion of planetary systems and galaxies.
- Describe how geoscience processes cycle matter and energy among Earth's systems to transform Earth's surface features and climate throughout history.
- Describe geologic processes and how humans interact with and manage natural resources and hazards.

Students who Approached Expectations showed a moderate understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and will likely need additional academic support in the next grade level. Students in the Approached Expectations level typically:

- Describe the structure and properties of matter under different conditions.
- Use Newton's Laws to show the relationship between mass and force.
- Show the numerical relationships between variables relating to transfers between different forms of energy.
- Use models to describe the properties and behavior of waves and their interaction with different materials.
- Illustrate the function of parts of, and explain the effects of different environments on, organisms.
- Identify an effect of resource availability, a predictable pattern, or consequence of change to an ecosystem.
- Describe how structural changes affect an organism and the genetic difference between reproduction types.
- Explain simple patterns among modern and fossil organisms to explain relationships between them.
- Identify and describe properties of solar system objects with a focus on scale, familiar cyclic patterns in the Sun-Earth-Moon system, and the role of gravity in motion of planetary systems and galaxies.
- Illustrate a basic explanation of how geoscience processes cycle matter and energy among Earth's systems to transform Earth's surface features and climate throughout history.

• Give a familiar explanation of geologic processes and how humans interact with and manage natural resources and hazards.

Students who Partially Met Expectations showed a limited understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and will need additional academic support in the next grade level to successfully engage in further study. Students in this level typically:

- Partially label and identify familiar models showing the structure and properties of matter.
- Identify when Newton's Laws can be used to show the relationship between mass and force.
- Identify and observe examples, changes, and transfers of energy while describing the factors related to them.
- Use simple models to describe the properties and behavior of waves and their interaction with different materials.
- Use a model to show the parts of, and explain the effects of different environments on, organisms.
- Identify resources needed by organisms to live.
- Identify a pattern within or an effect of change to an ecosystem.
- Identify structural changes to genes and distinguish between asexual and sexual reproduction.
- Identify familiar patterns in fossils to infer simple relationships among organisms.
- Identify key properties of the major solar system objects with a focus on scale, cyclic patterns in the Sun-Earth-Moon system, and the importance of gravity in motion in planetary systems and galaxies.
- Identify major geoscience processes that cycle matter and energy among Earth's systems to transform Earth's surface features and climate throughout history.
- Communicate a basic explanation of geologic processes and how humans interact with and manage natural resources and hazards.

Grade 11 CMAS Science Performance Level Descriptors

Students who Exceeded Expectations showed an advanced understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and are ready for the next grade level. Students in the Exceeded Expectations level typically:

- Predict outcomes of chemical reactions using patterns and describe energy released during nuclear processes.
- Explain, predict, and evaluate how forces can affect the motion and momentum of objects in a system.
- Evaluate changes, transformations, and conservation of all types of energy in a complex system or device.
- Evaluate wave properties and electromagnetic radiation and the benefit to technological devices that use them.
- Explain how macromolecules are connected and how differentiation of cells leads to multiple levels of organization in complex organisms.
- Model complex interactions involved in ecosystems, including how matter and energy cycle through them.
- Explain the role of DNA and chromosomes in both common and complex scenarios.
- Analyze and explain the variation and impact of expressed traits relative to environmental conditions.
- Create and evaluate complex models and evidence about the size of the universe and changes in stars over their lifetimes.
- Illustrate how the geologic record shows that Earth's internal and surface processes and systems are interconnected.
- Explain, evaluate, and propose solutions to human interactions with Earth.

Students who Met Expectations showed a strong understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and are ready for the next grade level. Students in the Met Expectations level typically:

- Describe patterns in the chemical and nuclear properties of elements and characteristics of reactions.
- Use math to demonstrate how forces can affect the motion and momentum of objects in a system.
- Describe and/or evaluate changes, transformations, and conservation of all types of energy in a simple system.
- Explain wave properties and electromagnetic radiation and the benefit to technological devices that use them.
- Explain connections among macromolecules and the multiple levels of organization in complex organisms.
- Analyze and explain complex interactions involved in ecosystems, including the cycling of matter and energy through them.
- Explain the role of DNA and chromosomes in common scenarios.
- Analyze and explain the variation and impact of expressed traits relative to environmental conditions.
- Model and communicate routine scientific ideas about the size of the universe and changes in stars over their lifetimes.
- Use models and data to illustrate how Earth's internal and surface processes and systems are interconnected.
- Explain and evaluate human interactions with Earth.

Students who Approached Expectations showed a moderate understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and will likely need additional academic support in the next grade level. Students in the Approached Expectations level typically:

- Use models to identify patterns in chemical and nuclear reactions and describe properties using the periodic table.
- Describe or calculate how forces affect the motion and momentum of an object in a system.
- Illustrate and evaluate the energy of objects and the direction of the flow of energy in a system.
- Identify wave properties and electromagnetic radiation in technological devices.
- Communicate simple explanations of how macromolecules are related and how structures in complex organisms follow multiple levels of organization.
- With given models, describe interactions involved in ecosystems, including the cycling of matter and energy through them.
- Describe familiar examples of the role of DNA and chromosomes.
- Relate simple and familiar explanations, evidence, and statistics to the variation and impact of expressed traits relative to environmental conditions.
- Identify and use familiar details, evidence, and models about the size of the universe and changes in stars over their lifetimes.
- Use familiar models to illustrate how Earth's internal and surface processes and systems are interconnected.
- Provide familiar explanations and solutions about the availability, usage, and management of natural resources.

Students who Partially Met Expectations showed a limited understanding of the Colorado Academic Standards' middle school science expectations and will need additional academic support in the next grade level to successfully engage in further study. Students in this level typically:

- Recognize that the periodic table organizes the elements based on patterns, and chemical reactions involve electrons, while nuclear reactions involve changes in the nucleus.
- Apply simple math to describe how forces affect the motion and momentum of objects in a system.
- Identify the type of energy an object has and describe the flow and transformations of energy in a system.
- Describe how a change in one wave property affects other wave properties and identify technological devices that use electromagnetic radiation.
- Describe DNA structure, cell division, systems of structures in complex organisms, and how organisms grow.
- Identify the factors to describe interactions involved in simple ecosystems, including the cycling of matter and energy through them.
- Identify the importance of DNA and chromosomes.
- Describe how advantageous and disadvantageous expressed traits vary within a population.
- Identify the size of the universe as dynamic, and label basic models of stars producing the elements.
- Use simple models and data to illustrate how Earth's internal and surface processes and systems cycle matter and energy, shape Earth's surface, and affect life.
- Identify and summarize common human interactions with Earth regarding the availability, usage, and management of natural resources.

Grade 5 CoAlt Science Performance Level Descriptors

Students demonstrate science concepts and skills aligned to the Grade Level Expectations and Extended Evidence Outcomes contained in the Colorado Academic Standards.

Student showed an initial understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s grade 5 science standards and will likely need extensive academic support to successfully engage in the next grade level. Students in the Emerging level typically:

- Identify that matter is made of particles and that adding or removing matter from a sample changes the mass of the sample.
- Identify matter as solid, liquid, or gas.
- Identify down as the direction gravity causes objects to move.
- Identify that the Sun is the source of energy for plants and identify air and water as what plants need to grow.
- Identify an animal's source of food.
- Identify that the Sun appears brighter than other stars.
- Identify the length of shadows as something that changes at different times of the day and the amount of daylight as something that changes across seasons.
- Identify a living or nonliving thing involved in an interaction between any two of Earth's systems.
- Identify a source of salt water or fresh water.
- Identify a way to protect Earth's resources and environment.

Student showed a limited understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s grade 5 science standards and will likely need moderate academic support to successfully engage in the next grade level. Students in the Approaching Target level typically:

- Identify that matter is made of particles whose behavior has observable effects.
- Identify that heating, cooling, and mixing substances does not change the total mass of the substances.
- Use an example to identify a material based on its properties.
- Identify gravity as the force that causes an object to move down toward Earth.
- Identify that the energy in animals' food was once energy from the Sun.
- Identify what living components of a food chain or web make their own food or must eat food.
- Identify that the Sun is a star that appears brighter than other stars because of their different distances from Earth.
- Identify an interaction between any two of Earth's systems (geosphere, biosphere, hydrosphere, and atmosphere).
- Identify that there is much more salt water than fresh water on Earth.
- Identify a way to protect Earth's resources and environment.

Student showed a foundational understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s grade 5 science standards and is academically prepared to successfully engage in the next grade level with appropriate support. Students in the At Target level typically:

- Classify materials based on similarities and differences in their properties.
- Identify that heating, cooling, and mixing substances does not change the total mass of the substances but can change the properties of the substances.
- Describe that the force of gravity pulls all objects down toward Earth.
- Describe that air and water, but not soil, are sources of matter that plants need to grow.
- Describe the movement of matter between two components of a food chain or web.
- Identify that the Sun is a star that appears brighter than other stars because of different distances of the stars from Earth.
- Interpret daily changes in the amount of daylight across seasons and of the length of shadows at different times of the day.
- Describe an interaction between any two of Earth's systems (geosphere, biosphere, hydrosphere, and atmosphere).
- Describe the relative amounts of salt water and fresh water on Earth.
- compare ways to protect Earth's resources and environment.

Student showed a foundational understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s grade 5 science standards and is academically prepared to successfully engage in the next grade level with appropriate support. Students in the At Target level typically:

- Classify and identify materials based on similarities and differences in their properties.
- Compare the properties of two substances before and after mixing.
- Describe that the force of gravity pulls all objects down toward Earth but that not all objects demonstrate downward movement toward Earth.

- Describe that the energy in animals' food was once energy from the Sun but that the matter in animal's food is not from the Sun.
- Describe that nutrients from soil can help a plant grow, but air and water are the sources of matter that make up the new mass that plants gain as they grow.
- Describe the movement of matter between three or more components of a food chain or web.
- Identify that the Sun is a star that appears brighter than other stars because of their different distances from Earth and that distance is proportional to apparent brightness.
- Graph daily changes in the amount of daylight across seasons and of the length of shadows across time and at different times of the day.
- Explain an interaction between any two of Earth's systems (geosphere, biosphere, hydrosphere, and atmosphere).
- Compare the relative amounts of salt water and fresh water on Earth found in oceans, lakes, rivers, glaciers, groundwater, and polar ice caps.
- Compare ways to protect Earth's resources and environment and describe why one way may be better than another.

Grade 8 CoAlt Science Performance Level Descriptors

Students demonstrate science concepts and skills aligned to the Grade Level Expectations and Extended Evidence Outcomes contained in the Colorado Academic Standards.

Student showed an initial understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s middle school science standards and will likely need extensive academic support to successfully engage in the next grade level. Students in the Emerging level typically:

- Identify that a molecule is made up of atoms and that atoms have mass.
- Identify a property that changes because of a chemical change.
- Identify a force as what makes objects move, change direction, or become damaged.
- Identify a change in temperature as evidence of energy transfer.
- Identify a cell as the smallest living part of a living thing and that organs and organisms are made up of cells.
- Identify that offspring have similar characteristics to their parents.
- Identify that the appearance of Earth's Moon changes, or Earth's seasons change, because of their relative positions in space.
- Identify that heat energy from Earth's interior can change and form rocks.
- Identify a change that makes more water vapor, liquid water, or ice.
- Identify that humans use natural resources, can affect the environment, and need to prepare for natural hazards.
- Identify that all solar system objects are affected by gravity.

Student showed a limited understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s middle school science standards and will likely need moderate academic support to successfully engage in the next grade level. Students in the Approaching Target level typically:

- Identify that the amount of or the mass of atoms does not change in a chemical reaction.
- Identify simple molecules, such as water or oxygen gas.
- Identify a device that releases or absorbs heat energy by chemical processes and a device that either minimizes or maximizes heat energy transfer.
- Identify the relative amounts of kinetic and potential energy in a system.
- Identify that different materials can affect the reflection, absorption, or transmission of a light or sound wave.
- Identify how characteristic animal behaviors and specialized plant structures help the plants and animals survive, and identify examples of competitive, predatory, and mutually beneficial relationships between organisms.
- Identify an example of the cycling of matter and energy among living and nonliving parts of an ecosystem.
- Identify that variations of traits in populations increase some individuals' probability of surviving and reproducing and that natural selection works over many generations.
- Identify two locations of similar or different climates.
- Identify that regional climate is based on the region's landforms and latitude.
- Identify that Earth's resources are limited and unevenly distributed.
- Identify gravity as what keeps Earth and the Moon in their orbits and as what draws and holds together the matter making up Earth and the Moon.

Student showed a foundational understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s middle school science standards and is academically prepared to successfully engage in the next grade level with appropriate support. Students in the At Target level typically:

- Describe the similarities and differences of the properties of a substance before and after a chemical change or a change in state.
- Explain the operation of a device that releases or absorbs thermal energy by chemical processes or a device that minimizes or maximizes thermal energy transfer from one object to another.
- Identify that electric or magnetic fields exist between objects exerting forces on each other even though the objects are not in contact.
- Identify factors that affect the strength of electric or magnetic forces.
- Describe how loudness or brightness is related to the energy in the sound wave.
- Identify that major organs are made up of cells.
- Describe the primary roles of at least three major components of a plant or animal cell.
- Describe how food supports growth and releases energy in an organism.
- Identify that organisms detect, process, and use information via the nervous system.
- Identify similarities and differences among modern organisms and fossilized organisms.
- Identify how the layering of fossils in rock strata reveals their chronological order of appearance.
- Describe the distribution of fossils as evidence of past tectonic plate motions.
- Describe that the motion and interaction of air masses cause changes in weather conditions and to describe how some natural hazards can be predicted, prepared for, and mitigated.
- Describe the cyclic patterns of the Moon's common phases and Earth's seasons.
- Identify at least one similarity and one difference among objects in the solar system.

Student showed a solid understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s middle school science expectations and is well prepared to successfully engage in the next grade level with appropriate support. Students in the Advanced level typically:

- Describe that the number of or the mass of atoms does not change in a chemical reaction, but that the atoms are just rearranged.
- Design a solution to reduce the force of impact in a collision of two objects.
- Demonstrate that when the position of objects interacting at a distance changes, different amounts of potential energy are stored in the system.
- Identify that digitized signals are a reliable way to encode and transmit information.
- Explain how photosynthesis plays a role in the cycling of matter and the flow of energy between plants and animals.
- Explain how food supports growth and releases energy in an organism.
- Explain how the genetic characteristics of a generation produced by asexual or sexual reproduction relate to the previous generation.
- Identify the relationship between genetic variations among individuals and advantages or disadvantages those individuals have for surviving and reproducing.
- Describe how the state of water changes as it moves through the water cycle.
- Describe how a natural resource can be transformed to make a new, synthetic material.
- Identify how a change in environmental conditions, such as resource availability, can affect organisms and populations in an ecosystem.
- Develop a solution to an environmental problem to minimize the impact of the problem.

Grade 11 CoAlt Science Performance Level Descriptors

Students demonstrate science concepts and skills aligned to the Grade Level Expectations and Extended Evidence Outcomes contained in the Colorado Academic Standards.

Student showed an initial understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s high school science standards and will likely need extensive academic support to successfully engage in the next grade level. Students in the Emerging level typically:

- Identify that matter is made of atoms that have mass.
- Identify that energy can be transferred but not created or destroyed, including in chemical reactions.
- Identify that waves are carriers of energy and information.
- Identify DNA as the molecule that carries instructions and cell division as what allows an organism to grow.
- Identify that offspring traits resemble parent traits and that those traits vary within a population.

- Identify that the energy and material resources, as well as the events and hazards in an environment, affect the organisms living there.
- Identify that energy from sunlight, water, and living things influence Earth systems.
- Identify a proposal that will protect a threatened or endangered species.
- Identify examples of conserving, recycling, and reusing limited energy and mineral resources.
- Identify that orbiting objects follow roughly circular orbital paths.

Student showed a limited understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s high school science standards and will likely need moderate academic support to successfully engage in the next grade level. Students in the Approaching Target level typically:

- Identify elements in the periodic table based on properties.
- Describe changes in energy and matter that occur because of physical or chemical changes.
- Describe the Law of Conservation of mass, object motion, temperature changes, or the operation of a device.
- Describe the relationship between the properties of waves, energy, and information.
- Identify that the structure of DNA determines the characteristics of anatomical structures and that genes carry traits from parents to offspring.
- Identify that organisms use energy and matter obtained from the environment for growth.
- Identify how the quantity of resources, events, and hazards in an environment affect the organisms living there and identify that organisms that are better able to survive in the environment are better able to reproduce and increase in number.
- Describe an internal Earth process or external process that influences the characteristics of Earth's atmosphere, surface, or ocean floor, or changes in living organisms.
- Identify relationships between the management of natural resources, the sustainability of human populations, natural hazards, and biodiversity.
- Identify Earth as the object that pulls other objects on it down.
- Identify the universe as a space containing galaxies, which are collections of stars, and that stars produce elements.

Student showed a foundational understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s high school science standards and is academically prepared to successfully engage in the next grade level with appropriate support. Students in the At Target level typically:

- Describe how mass and electrical charge affect force, the relationship between mass, speed, and momentum, and the relationship between forces and electric or magnetic fields.
- Identify energy transformations, such as light energy to heat energy, or energy transfer within a device.
- Calculate the inputs and outputs of energy from different components of a system or device.
- Compare the wave and particle models of electromagnetic radiation.
- Identify the advantages and disadvantages of using and storing digital information.
- Evaluate how a technological device uses wave energy to perform its function.
- Describe the function of an organ system.
- Identify a mechanism a body uses to stay in balance during environmental changes.
- Identify changes in the number of individuals in an animal population when conditions in their environment change.
- Describe the changes in the amount of matter or energy as it travels through an energy pyramid, a food web, or nutrient cycle.
- Describe the distribution of a trait within a population, how organisms with advantageous traits tend to increase in number, and how species with disadvantageous traits can become extinct.
- Describe a change in Earth's climate or a change to Earth's surface, atmosphere, or hydrosphere.
- Identify that the Sun has a life cycle during which its energy output changes and different elements are produced.
- Identify that galaxies move within space.
- Describe relationships between orbiting objects in the solar system.

Student showed a solid understanding of the EEOs of Colorado' s high school science expectations and is well prepared to successfully engage in the next grade level with appropriate support. Students in the Advanced level typically:

- Identify properties of groups and families of elements and the uses of commonly found elements.
- Explain or predict the relationship between changes in experimental conditions, the rate of energy transfer, and the amount of product from a chemical reaction.
- Describe the energy released and the composition of nuclei for nuclear fission or nuclear fusion.
- Evaluate designs that minimize the effect of the force on an object during a collision.
- Describe how a change in an electric current can change a magnetic field.

- Describe the process of photosynthesis transforming light into energy for plants.
- Explain how organisms combine the simple elements that make up sugar molecules with other elements to make up proteins necessary for growth and metabolism.
- Compare and contrast the use of oxygen and stored energy in aerobic and anaerobic environments.
- Describe common ancestry in terms of anatomical structures or genes.
- Describe the composition of Earth's layers and the cycling of matter by the convection of Earth's mantle and explain the ages of crystal rock in terms of plate motion.
- Explain relationships between orbiting objects in the solar system.

| Performance | Lovel of Toyt Comployity | evel of Text Complexity ¹ Range of Accuracy ² | | Quality of Evidence ³ | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Level | Level of Text Complexity ¹ | Range of Accuracy | Grade 3 | Grades 4-8 | | |
| | Very Complex | Mostly Accurate | Explicit | Explicit & | | |
| 5 | Moderately Complex | Mostly Accurate | Explicit | Inferential Explicit | | |
| | Readily Accessible | Accurate | Explicit | & Inferential | | |
| | Very Complex | Generally Accurate | Explicit | Explicit & | | |
| 4 | Moderately Complex | Generally Accurate | Explicit | Inferential Explicit | | |
| | Readily Accessible | Mostly Accurate | Explicit | & Inferential | | |
| | Very Complex | Minimally Accurate | Explicit | Explicit & | | |
| 3 | Moderately Complex | Generally Accurate | Explicit | Inferential Explicit | | |
| | Readily Accessible | Mostly Accurate | Explicit | & Inferential | | |
| | Very Complex | Inaccurate | Explicit | Explicit & | | |
| 2 | Moderately Complex | Minimally Accurate | Explicit | Inferential Explicit | | |
| | Readily Accessible | Partially Accurate | Explicit | & Inferential | | |

1. Text Complexity

The complexity framework reflects the importance of text complexity as it relates to the CCSS, which indicates that 50 percent of an item's complexity is linked to the complexity of the text(s) used as the stimulus for that item. Consequently, to determine students' performance levels, it is critical to identify the pattern of responses when students respond to items linked to passages with distinct text complexities. To this end, a clear and consistent model was developed to define text complexity and has determined to use three text complexity levels: readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

Two components are used for determining text complexity for **all** passages:

- Two quantitative text complexity measures (Reading Maturity Metric and Lexile) will be used to analyze all reading passages to determine **an initial** recommendation for placement of a text into a grade band and subsequently a grade level.
- Text Analysis Worksheets, one for informational text and one for literary text, are then used to determine qualitative measures. Trained evaluators use these worksheets to determine a recommendation for qualitative text complexity within the grade level, with each text defined as readily accessible, moderately complex, or very complex.

For multimedia texts, qualitative judgments from one or both of the "optional" categories in the Complexity Analysis Worksheet will be combined with judgments in the other categories to make a holistic determination of the complexity of the material.

2. Range of Accuracy

There are three types of items on the assessments. For Evidence-Based Selected Response (EBSR) and Technology-Enhanced Constructed Response (TECR) items, the design is such that the items help contribute to an understanding of how accurately students comprehend text (demonstrate mastery of CCSS Reading Standards 2-10). Some of these items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the range of accuracy. For Prose-Constructed Response (PCR) items, draft scoring rubrics were developed (refer to <u>CMAS Test Design: Scoring Rubrics</u>) that include a Reading dimension to measure comprehension. Scores on the PCR items contribute to an evaluation of the degree to which a student can accurately comprehend a text. The Performance Level Descriptors (PLDs) describe five levels

of accuracy at grades 3-8 that are determined using the reading data collected through EBSR, TECR, and PCR items:

Accurate – The student is able to accurately state both the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details. The response is complete, and the student demonstrates full understanding.

Mostly accurate – The student is able to accurately state most of the general ideas expressed in the text(s) and the key and supporting details, but the response is incomplete or contains minor inaccuracies. The student demonstrates understanding.

Generally accurate – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but fails to accurately state the key and supporting details in the text or to connect such details to the overarching meaning of the text(s). The student demonstrates basic understanding.

Partially accurate – The student is able to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is unable to state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student is partially able to connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates partial understanding.

Minimally accurate – The student is unable to accurately state the gist of the text(s) but is able to minimally state some of the key or supporting details with accuracy. The student does not connect the specific details of the text to the overarching meaning(s) of the text. The student demonstrates minimal understanding.

Inaccurate – The student is unable to accurately state either the gist of the text or the key and supporting details evident in the text. The student demonstrates limited understanding.

3. Quality of Evidence

All items are designed to contribute to an understanding of how students "read closely to determine what the text says explicitly and to make logical inferences from it" and "cite specific textual evidence when writing or speaking to support conclusions drawn from the text" (CCSS Anchor Reading Standard 1). Some items offer opportunities for students to receive partial credit based on the quality of evidence provided. Students support their comprehension with explicit and/or inferential evidence:

Explicit evidence – Students show how the explicit words and phrases (details) from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

Inferential evidence – Students show how inferences drawn from the text support statements made about the meaning of the text.

Grade 3 ELA and CSLA Performance Level Descriptors

Reading

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|--|--|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | assessed standards. | assessed standards. |
| In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to accurate when ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be generate when asking and examples in the text. | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the <u>ability</u> to be <u>minimally accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing <u>minimal</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With <u>moderately complex text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be the parameter when | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the inability to ask or answer questions, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be minimally accurate when end (or answer) |
| to be <u>mostly accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, | be <u>generally accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing <u>general</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>mostly accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text | to be <u>generally accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing <u>basic</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>mostly accurate</u> when asking and/or answering | when asking and/or answering questions, showing <u>minimal</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>partially accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing <u>partial</u> |
| showing <u>full</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. | when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. | questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. | understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. |

| Writing - | Written | Expression |
|-----------|---------|------------|
|-----------|---------|------------|

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | assessed standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students address the | In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the | In writing, students address the |
| prompts and provide effective | and provide development of ideas, | prompts and provide <u>basic</u> | prompts and provide minimal |
| development of ideas, including when | including when drawing evidence from | development of ideas, including when | development of ideas, including |
| drawing evidence from multiple | multiple sources, while in the majority of | drawing evidence from multiple | when drawing evidence from |
| sources, in the majority of instances | instances demonstrating purposeful and | sources, while in the majority of | multiple sources, while in the |

| demonstrating <u>purposeful</u> and <u>controlled</u> organization. | <u>mostly controlled</u> organization. The student: | instances demonstrating organization that sometimes is controlled. | majority of instances demonstrating organization that often is not controlled. |
|--|--|---|--|
| The student: Provides effective development of the topic and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task and purpose. Demonstrates purposeful organization that includes an introduction and/or conclusion. Effectively uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity. | descriptive words, and/or temporal | The student: Develops the topic and/or narrative elements using some reasoning, details, text- based evidence, and/or description. Demonstrates some organization. Includes some linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed. | The student: Minimal development of the topic and/or narrative elements and is, therefore, inappropriate to the task and purpose. Demonstrates minimal organization. Includes minimal linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed. |

Writing - Knowledge of Language and Conventions

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|--|---|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 partially |
| exceeds expectations for the | meets expectations for the assessed | approaches expectations for the assessed | meets expectations for the assessed |
| assessed standards. | standards. | standards. | standards. |
| In writing, students demonstrate | In writing, students demonstrate | In writing, students demonstrate basic | In writing, students demonstrate minimal |
| full command of the conventions of | command of the conventions of | command of the conventions of Standard | command of the conventions of Standard |
| Standard English consistent with | Standard English consistent with | English consistent with edited writing. There | English consistent with edited writing. |
| edited writing. There <u>may be some</u> | edited writing. There are <u>errors</u> in | are <u>few patterns of errors in grammar and</u> | There are <u>patterns of errors</u> in grammar |
| errors in grammar and usage, but | grammar and usage that <u>may</u> | usage that <u>impede</u> understanding, | and usage that impede understanding, |
| overall meaning is clear. | occasionally impede understanding. | demonstrating <u>partial</u> control over language. | demonstrating minimal control over |
| | | | language. |

Grade 4 ELA and CSLA Performance Level Descriptors

Reading

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | assessed standards. | assessed standards. |
| In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With moderately complex text, | answering questions, showing <u>general</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text <u>and</u> when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to ask and/or answer questions with minimal accuracy, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the inability to be accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to |
| students demonstrate the ability to be <u>mostly accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>accurate</u> when asking and/or answering questions, showing <u>full</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing general understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | be generally accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when asking and/or answering questions, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | ask and/or answer questions with <u>minimal</u> accuracy, showing <u>minimal</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>partially</u> <u>accurate</u> when asking and/or answerin questions, showing <u>partial</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and example in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. |

Writing - Written Expression

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards. | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing , students address the prompts | In writing , students address the prompts | In writing , students address the prompts | In writing , students address the prompts |
| and provide <u>effective</u> development of | and provide development of ideas, | and provide <u>basic</u> development of ideas, | and provide <u>minimal</u> development of |
| ideas, including when drawing evidence | including when drawing evidence from | including when drawing evidence from | ideas, including when drawing evidence |
| from multiple sources, in the majority of | multiple sources, while in the majority of | multiple sources, while in the majority of | from multiple sources, while in the |
| instances demonstrating <u>purposeful</u> and | instances demonstrating <u>purposeful</u> and | instances demonstrating organization that | majority of instances demonstrating |
| <u>controlled</u> organization. | <u>mostly controlled</u> organization. | <u>sometimes is controlled</u> . | organization that <u>often is not controlled</u> . |
| The student: | The student: | The student: | The student: |
| Provides effective development of the topic and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task and purpose. Demonstrates purposeful organization that includes an introduction and/or conclusion. Correctly uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity. | Develops the topic and/or narrative elements using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. Develops topic and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task and purpose. Demonstrates purposeful organization that is mostly controlled and may include an introduction and/or conclusion. Uses linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words to express ideas with clarity. | Develops topic and/or narrative elements in manner that is general in its appropriateness to the task and purpose. Demonstrates some organization. Includes some linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed. | Provides minimal development of the topic and/or narrative elements and is, therefore, inappropriate to the task and purpose. Demonstrates minimal organization. Includes minimal linking words and phrases, descriptive words, and/or temporal words, limiting the clarity with which ideas are expressed. |

Writing - Knowledge of Language and Conventions

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students demonstrate <u>full</u> | In writing, students demonstrate command | In writing, students demonstrate basic | In writing, students demonstrate |
| command of the conventions of Standard | of the conventions of Standard English | command of the conventions of Standard | minimal command of the conventions of |
| English consistent with edited writing. | consistent with edited writing. There are | English consistent with edited writing. | Standard English consistent with edited |
| There may be some errors in grammar | errors in grammar and usage that may | There are <u>few patterns of errors</u> in | writing. There are <u>patterns of errors</u> in |
| and usage, but overall meaning is clear. | occasionally impede understanding. | grammar and usage that impede | grammar and usage that impede |
| | | understanding, demonstrating partial | understanding, demonstrating minimal |
| | | control over language. | control over language. |

Grade 5 ELA Performance Level Descriptors

Reading

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|--|---|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds expectations for the assessed standards. | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets expectations for the assessed standards. | A student who achieves at Level 3 approaches expectations for the assessed standards. | A student who achieves at Level 2 partially meets expectations for the assessed standards. |
| In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when quoting or referencing, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when quoting or referencing, showing understanding of the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when quoting or referencing, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be accurate when quoting or referencing, showing inferences drawn from the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be accurate when quoting or referencing, showing full understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | referencing, showing <u>general</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With <u>moderately complex text</u>, students demonstrate the ability to be <u>generally accurate</u> when quoting or referencing, showing <u>general</u> understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be minimally accurate when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be generally accurate when quoting or referencing, showing basic understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be mostly accurate when quoting or referencing, showing understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. | In reading, the pattern exhibited by student responses indicates: With very complex text, students demonstrate the inability to be accurate when quoting or referencing, showing limited understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With moderately complex text, students demonstrate the ability to be minimally accurate when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be partially accurate when quoting or referencing, showing minimal understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text. With readily accessible text, students demonstrate the ability to be partially accurate when quoting or referencing showing partial understanding of the text when referring to explicit details and examples in the text and when explaining inferences drawn from the text. |

Writing - Written Expression

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|---|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| expectations for the assessed standards. | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| | | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the | In writing, students address the |
| and provide <u>effective</u> development of | and provide development of ideas, | prompts and provide basic | prompts and provide minimal |
| ideas, including when drawing evidence | including when drawing evidence from | development of ideas, including when | development of ideas, including |
| from multiple sources, in the majority of | multiple sources, while in the majority of | drawing evidence from multiple | when drawing evidence from |
| instances demonstrating purposeful and | instances demonstrating purposeful and | sources, while in the majority of | multiple sources, while in the |
| controlled organization. | mostly controlled organization. | instances demonstrating organization | majority of instances demonstrating |
| | | that sometimes is controlled. | organization that often is not |
| The student: | The student: | | <u>controlled</u> . |
| Provides effective development of the | Develops the topic and/or | The student: | |
| topic and/or narrative elements, using | narrative elements using | Develops the topic and/or | The student: |
| reasoning, details, and/or description. | reasoning, details, and/or | narrative elements minimally | Minimal development of the |
| Develops topic and/or narrative | description. | by using some reasoning, | topic and/or narrative |
| elements in a manner that is | Develops topic and/or narrative | details, and/or description. | elements and is, therefore, |
| appropriate to the task, purpose, | elements in a manner that is | Develops topic and/or narrative | inappropriate to the task and |
| and audience. | mostly appropriate to the task, | elements in manner that is general | purpose. |
| Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and | purpose, and audience. | in its appropriateness to the task, | Demonstrates minimal |
| cohesion and includes an introduction | Demonstrates general | purpose, and audience. | coherence, clarity, and |
| and/or conclusion. | coherence, clarity, and cohesion | Demonstrates some | cohesion. |
| Attends to the norms and | and may or may not include an | coherence, clarity, and | Demonstrates minimal |
| conventions of the discipline. | introduction and/or conclusion. | cohesion, omitting the | awareness of the norms of the |
| Effectively draws evidence from | Demonstrates general awareness of | introduction or conclusion. | discipline. |
| literary or informational texts to | the norms and conventions of the | Demonstrates some awareness of | Draws minimal evidence from |
| support analysis, reflection, and | discipline. | the norms of the discipline. | literary or informational texts to |
| research. | Draws evidence from literary or | Draws partial evidence from | support analysis, reflection, and |
| Effectively uses concrete words | informational texts to support analysis, | literary or informational texts to | research. |
| and phrases, sensory details, | reflection, and research. | support analysis, reflection, and | Includes minimal descriptions, |
| linking and transitional words, | Uses concrete words and phrases, | research. | sensory details, linking and |
| and/or domain-specific | sensory details, linking and | Includes some descriptions, | transitional words, or domain- |
| vocabulary to clarify ideas. | transitional words, and/or domain- | sensory details, linking and | specific vocabulary, limiting |
| | specific vocabulary to clarify ideas. | transitional words, or domain- | the overall clarity with which |
| | | specific vocabulary to clarify ideas. | ideas are expressed. |

Writing – Knowledge of Language and Conventions

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students demonstrate <u>full</u> | In writing, students demonstrate command | In writing, students demonstrate basic | In writing, students demonstrate |
| command of the conventions of Standard | of the conventions of Standard English | command of the conventions of Standard | minimal command of the conventions of |
| English consistent with edited writing. | consistent with edited writing. There are | English consistent with edited writing. | Standard English consistent with edited |
| There may be some errors in grammar | errors in grammar and usage that may | There are <u>few patterns of errors</u> in | writing. There are <u>patterns of errors</u> in |
| and usage, but overall meaning is clear. | occasionally impede understanding. | grammar and usage that impede | grammar and usage that impede |
| | | understanding, demonstrating partial | understanding, demonstrating minimal |
| | | control over language. | control over language. |

Grade 6 ELA Performance Level Descriptors

Reading

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| A student who achieves at Level | A student who achieves at | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| 5 exceeds expectations for the | Level 4 meets expectations | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| assessed standards. | for the assessed standards. | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In reading, the pattern exhibited by | In reading, the pattern exhibited by | In reading, the pattern exhibited by | In reading, the pattern exhibited by |
| student responses indicates: | student responses indicates: | student responses indicates: | student responses indicates: |
| With very complex text, students | With very complex text, students | With very complex text, students | With very complex text, students |
| demonstrate the ability to do mostly | demonstrate the ability to do generally | demonstrate the ability to do minimally | demonstrate the <u>inability</u> to do an |
| accurate analyses of the text, | accurate analyses of the text, showing | <u>accurate</u> analyses of the text, showing | accurate analysis of the text, showing |
| showing understanding of the text | general understanding of the text when | minimal understanding of the text | limited understanding of the text |
| when referring to explicit details and | referring to explicit details and | when referring to explicit details and | when referring to explicit details and |
| examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when |
| supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn |
| from the text | from the text. | from the text. | from the text. |
| With moderately complex text, | With moderately complex text, | With moderately complex text, | With moderately complex text, |
| students demonstrate the ability to | students demonstrate the ability to do | students demonstrate the ability to do | students demonstrate the ability to do |
| do <u>mostly accurate</u> analyses of the | generally accurate analyses of the text, | generally accurate analyses of the text, | minimally accurate analyses of the |
| text, showing understanding of the | showing general understanding of the | showing <u>basic</u> understanding of the text | text, showing minimal understanding |
| text when referring to explicit details | text when referring to explicit details | when referring to explicit details and | of the text when referring to explicit |
| and examples in the text and when | and examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | details and examples in the text and |
| supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | when supporting sound inferences |
| from the text. | from the text. | from the text. | drawn from the text. |
| • With readily accessible text, students | With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students | • With readily accessible text, students | With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students |
| demonstrate the ability to do | demonstrate the ability to do mostly | demonstrate the ability to do mostly | demonstrate the ability to do partially |
| accurate analyses of the text, | accurate analyses of the text, showing | accurate analyses of the text, showing | <u>accurate</u> analyses of the text, showing |
| showing <u>full</u> understanding of the | understanding of the text when | understanding of the text when | <u>partial</u> understanding of the text when |
| text when referring to explicit details | referring to explicit details and | referring to explicit details and examples | referring to explicit details and |
| and examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | in the text and when supporting sound | examples in the text and when |
| supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | inferences drawn from the text and | supporting sound inferences drawn |
| from the text. | from the text. | when supporting sound inferences | from the text. |
| | | drawn from the text. | |

Writing – Written Expression

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 partially |
| expectations for the assessed standards. | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the assessed | meets expectations for the assessed |
| | | standards. | standards. |
| In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the prompts |
| and provide <u>effective</u> development of | and provide development of ideas, | and provide <u>basic</u> development of ideas, | and provide minimal development of |
| ideas, including when drawing evidence | including when drawing evidence from | including when drawing evidence from | ideas, including when drawing evidence |
| from multiple sources, while | multiple sources, while demonstrating | multiple sources, while generally | from multiple sources, while |
| demonstrating effective coherence, clarity, | coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. | demonstrating <u>basic</u> coherence, clarity, | demonstrating minimal coherence, clarity, |
| and/or cohesion. | The student: | and/or cohesion. | and/or cohesion. |
| The student: | Provides development of the claim, | The student: | The student: |
| Provides effective development of the claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, using clear reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience. Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and a logical progression of ideas. Establishes and maintains an effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline. Effectively draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. Includes precise language including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, words to indicate tone, and/or domain-specific vocabulary. | topic, and/or narrative elements, using reasoning, details, text-based evidence, and/or description. Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative elements in a manner that is mostly appropriate to the task, purpose, and audience. Demonstrates general coherence, clarity, and cohesion and includes an introduction, conclusion, and logically grouped ideas. Establishes and maintains a mostly effective style, while attending to the norms and conventions of the discipline. Draws evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research. Includes mostly precise language, including descriptive words and phrases, sensory details, linking and | and/or cohesion, making the writer's progression of ideas somewhat unclear.Employs a style that is generally | topic and/or narrative elements that is |

Writing – Knowledge of Language and Conventions

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students demonstrate <u>full</u> | In writing, students demonstrate command | In writing, students demonstrate basic | In writing, students demonstrate |
| command of the conventions of Standard | of the conventions of Standard English | command of the conventions of Standard | minimal command of the conventions of |
| English consistent with edited writing. | consistent with edited writing. There are | English consistent with edited writing. | Standard English consistent with edited |
| There may be some errors in grammar | errors in grammar and usage that may | There are <u>few patterns of errors</u> in | writing. There are <u>patterns of errors</u> in |
| and usage, but overall meaning is clear. | occasionally impede understanding. | grammar and usage that impede | grammar and usage that impede |
| | | understanding, demonstrating partial | understanding, demonstrating minimal |
| | | control over language. | control over language. |

Grade 7 ELA Performance Level Descriptors

Reading

Writing – Written Expression

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 partially |
| expectations for the assessed standards. | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | meets expectations for the assessed |
| | | assessed standards. | standards. |
| In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the | In writing, students address the prompts |
| and provide <u>effective</u> development of | and provide development of ideas, | prompts and provide <u>basic</u> | and provide minimal development of ideas, |
| ideas, including when drawing evidence | including when drawing evidence from | development of ideas, including when | including when drawing evidence from |
| from multiple sources, while | multiple sources, while demonstrating | drawing evidence from multiple | multiple sources, while demonstrating |
| demonstrating <u>effective</u> coherence, clarity, | coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. | sources, while generally demonstrating | minimal coherence, clarity, and/or |
| and/or cohesion. | | basic coherence, clarity, and/or | cohesion. |
| | The student: | cohesion. | |
| The student: | Provides development of the claim, | | The student: |
| Provides effective development of the | topic, and/or narrative elements, using | The student: | Provides minimal development of the |
| claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, | reasoning, details, text-based evidence, | Provides some development of the | claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, |
| using clear reasoning, details, text- | and/or description. | claim, topic, and/or narrative | using minimal reasoning, details, text- |
| based evidence, and/or description. | Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative | elements, using basic reasoning, | based evidence, and/or description. |
| • Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative | elements in a manner that is mostly | details, text-based evidence, and/or | Minimal development of the claim, |
| elements in a manner that is | appropriate to the task, purpose, and | description. | topic and/or narrative elements that is |
| appropriate to the task, purpose, and | audience. | Develops claim, topic, and/or | , |
| audience. | Demonstrates general coherence, | narrative elements in a manner that | |
| Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and | clarity, and cohesion and includes an | is somewhat appropriate to the task, | - |
| cohesion and includes an introduction, | introduction, conclusion, and logically | purpose, and audience. | clarity, and/or cohesion, making the |
| conclusion, and a logical progression of | grouped ideas. | Demonstrates some coherence, | writer's progression of ideas unclear. |
| ideas. | Establishes and maintains a mostly | clarity, and/or cohesion, making the | Employs a minimally effective style, and |
| Establishes and maintains an effective | effective style, while attending to the | writer's progression of ideas | minimal awareness of the norms of the |
| style, while attending to the norms and | norms and conventions of the | somewhat unclear. | discipline. |
| conventions of the discipline. | discipline. | Employs a style that is generally | Draws minimal evidence from literary |
| • Effectively draws evidence from literary | | effective, with basic awareness of | or informational texts to support |
| or informational texts to support | informational texts to support analysis, | the norms of the discipline. | analysis, reflection, and research. |
| analysis, reflection, and research. | reflection, and research. | Draws some evidence from literary | Includes minimal descriptions, sensory |
| Includes precise language including | Includes mostly precise language, | or informational texts to support | details, linking or transitional words, |
| descriptive words and phrases, sensory | including descriptive words and | analysis, reflection, and research. | words to indicate tone, or domain- |
| details, linking and transitional words, | phrases, sensory details, linking and | • Includes some descriptions, sensory | specific vocabulary. |
| words to indicate tone, and/or domain- | transitional words, words to indicate | details, linking or transitional words, | |
| specific vocabulary. | tone, and/or domain-specific | words to indicate tone, or domain- | |
| | vocabulary. | specific vocabulary. | |

Writing – Knowledge of Language and Conventions

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the assessed | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students demonstrate full | In writing, students demonstrate command | In writing, students demonstrate basic | In writing, students demonstrate |
| command of the conventions of Standard | of the conventions of Standard English | command of the conventions of Standard | minimal command of the conventions of |
| English consistent with edited writing. | consistent with edited writing. There are | English consistent with edited writing. | Standard English consistent with edited |
| There may be some errors in grammar | errors in grammar and usage that may | There are few patterns of errors in | writing. There are <u>patterns of errors</u> in |
| and usage, but overall meaning is clear. | occasionally impede understanding. | grammar and usage that impede | grammar and usage that impede |
| | | understanding, demonstrating partial | understanding, demonstrating minimal |
| | | control over language. | control over language |
Grade 8 ELA Performance Level Descriptors

Reading

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|--|---|--|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | assessed standards. | assessed standards. |
| In reading, the pattern exhibited by | In reading, the pattern exhibited by | In reading, the pattern exhibited by | In reading, the pattern exhibited by |
| student responses indicates: | student responses indicates: | student responses indicates: | student responses indicates: |
| With very complex text, students | With very complex text, students | With very complex text, students | With very complex text, students |
| demonstrate the ability to do mostly | demonstrate the ability to do generally | demonstrate the ability to do minimally | demonstrate the <u>inability</u> to do an |
| accurate analyses of text, showing | accurate analyses of the text, showing | accurate analyses of the text, showing | accurate analysis of the text, showing |
| understanding of the text when | general understanding of the text when | minimal understanding of the text | limited understanding of the text |
| referring to explicit details and | referring to explicit details and | when referring to explicit details and | when referring to explicit details and |
| examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when |
| supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn |
| from the text. | from the text. | from the text. | from the text. |
| With moderately complex text, | With moderately complex text, | With moderately complex text, | With moderately complex text, |
| students demonstrate the ability to do | students demonstrate the ability to do | students demonstrate the ability to do | students demonstrate the ability to de |
| mostly accurate analyses of the text, | generally accurate analyses of the text, | generally accurate analyses of the text, | minimally accurate analyses of the |
| showing understanding of the text | showing general understanding of the | showing <u>basic</u> understanding of the text | text, showing minimal understanding |
| when referring to explicit details and | text when referring to explicit details | when referring to explicit details and | of the text when referring to explicit |
| examples in the text and when | and examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | details and examples in the text and |
| supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | when supporting sound inferences |
| from the text. | from the text. | from the text. | drawn from the text. |
| • With <u>readily accessible text</u> , students | With <u>readily accessible text</u>, students | With readily accessible text, students | • With readily accessible text, students |
| demonstrate the ability to do accurate | demonstrate the ability to do mostly | demonstrate the ability to do mostly | demonstrate the ability to do partially |
| analyses of the text, showing full | accurate analyses of the text, showing | <u>accurate</u> analyses of the text, showing | accurate analyses of the text, showing |
| understanding of the text when | understanding of the text when | understanding of the text when | partial understanding of the text whe |
| referring to explicit details and | referring to explicit details and | referring to explicit details and | referring to explicit details and |
| examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when | examples in the text and when |
| supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn | supporting sound inferences drawn |
| from the text. | from the text. | from the text. | from the text. |

Writing – Written Expression

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|---|---|--|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 exceeds | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| expectations for the assessed standards. | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | partially meets expectations for the |
| | | assessed standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the prompts | In writing, students address the | In writing, students address the |
| and provide effective development of | and provide development of ideas, | prompts and provide <u>basic</u> | prompts and provide minimal |
| ideas, including when drawing evidence | including when drawing evidence from | development of ideas, including when | development of ideas, including when |
| from multiple sources, while | multiple sources, while demonstrating | drawing evidence from multiple | drawing evidence from multiple |
| demonstrating <u>effective</u> coherence, clarity, | coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. | sources, while generally demonstrating | sources, while demonstrating minimal |
| and/or cohesion. | The student: | basic coherence, clarity, and/or | coherence, clarity, and/or cohesion. |
| The student: | Provides development of the claim, | cohesion. | The student: |
| Provides effective development of the | topic, and/or narrative elements, using | The student: | Provides minimal development of |
| claim, topic, and/or narrative elements, | reasoning, details, text-based evidence, | Provides some development of the | the claim, topic, and/or narrative |
| using clear reasoning, details, text-based | and/or description. | claim, topic, and/or narrative | elements, using minimal reasoning, |
| evidence, and/or description. | Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative | elements, using basic reasoning, | details, text-based evidence, and/or |
| Develops claim, topic, and/or narrative | elements in a manner that is mostly | details, text-based evidence, and/or | description. |
| elements in a manner that is appropriate | appropriate to the task, purpose, and | description. | Minimal development of the claim, |
| to the task, purpose, and audience. | audience. | Develops claim, topic, and/or | topic and/or narrative elements that |
| Demonstrates coherence, clarity, and | • Demonstrates general coherence, clarity, | narrative elements in a manner that | is minimally appropriate to the task, |
| cohesion and includes an introduction, | and cohesion and includes an | is somewhat appropriate to the task, | purpose, and audience. |
| conclusion, and a logical progression of | introduction, conclusion, and logically | purpose, and audience. | Demonstrates minimal coherence, |
| ideas. | grouped ideas. | Demonstrates some coherence, | clarity, and/or cohesion, making the |
| • Establishes and maintains an effective | Establishes and maintains a mostly | clarity, and/or cohesion, making the | writer's progression of ideas unclear. |
| style, while attending to the norms and | effective style, while attending to the | writer's progression of ideas | Employs a minimally effective style, |
| conventions of the discipline. | norms and conventions of the discipline. | somewhat unclear. | and minimal awareness of the norms |
| • Effectively draws evidence from literary | • Draws evidence from literary or | • Employs a style that is generally | of the discipline. |
| or informational texts to support | informational texts to support analysis, | effective, with basic awareness of the | Draws minimal evidence from |
| analysis, reflection, and research. | reflection, and research. | norms of the discipline. | literary or informational texts to |
| Includes precise language including | Includes mostly precise language, | • Draws some evidence from literary or | support analysis, reflection, and |
| descriptive words and phrases, sensory | including descriptive words and phrases, | informational texts to support | research. |
| details, linking and transitional words, | sensory details, linking and transitional | analysis, reflection, and research. | Includes minimal descriptions, |
| words to indicate tone, and/or domain- | words, words to indicate tone, and/or | • Includes some descriptions, sensory | sensory details, linking or |
| specific vocabulary. | domain-specific vocabulary. | details, linking or transitional words, | transitional words, words to indicate |
| | | words to indicate tone, or domain- | tone, or domain-specific vocabulary. |
| | | specific vocabulary. | |

Writing – Knowledge of Language and Conventions

| Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 |
|---|--|---|--|
| A student who achieves at Level 5 | A student who achieves at Level 4 meets | A student who achieves at Level 3 | A student who achieves at Level 2 |
| exceeds expectations for the assessed | expectations for the assessed standards. | approaches expectations for the | partially meets expectations for the |
| standards. | | assessed standards. | assessed standards. |
| In writing, students demonstrate full | In writing, students demonstrate | In writing, students demonstrate basic | In writing, students demonstrate |
| command of the conventions of | command of the conventions of Standard | command of the conventions of Standard | minimal command of the conventions |
| Standard English consistent with edited | English consistent with edited writing. | English consistent with edited writing. | of Standard English consistent with |
| writing. There may be some errors in | There are <u>errors in grammar and usage</u> | There are few patterns of errors in | edited writing. There are <u>patterns of</u> |
| grammar and usage, but overall meaning | that may occasionally impede | grammar and usage that impede | errors in grammar and usage that |
| is clear. | understanding. | understanding, demonstrating partial | impede understanding, demonstrating |
| | | control over language. | minimal control over language. |

Grade 3 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors

| | | Grade 3 Math | | anda fan Mathamatical Duastica |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | | 3 with connections to the Standa vel 3: Approaches Expectations | |
| Products and Quotients 3.OA.1 3.OA.2 3.OA.4 3.OA.6 3.OA.7-1 3.OA.7-2 | division problem by relating multiplication and division. Both factors are greater than 5 and | quotients of whole numbers. Determines the unknown whole number in a multiplication or division problem by relating multiplication and division. One factor is greater than or equal | Interprets products and quotients of whole numbers. Determines the unknown whole number in a multiplication or division problem by relating multiplication and division, with both factors less than or equal to 5, or with one factor of 10. | Determines products and quotients of whole numbers within 100. Determines the unknown whole number in a multiplication or division problem by relating multiplication and division, with both factors less than or equal |
| | Accurately multiplies and divides within 100, using strategies relating multiplication and division or properties of | Accurately multiplies and divides within 100, using strategies relating multiplication and division or properties of | Multiplies and divides within 100, using strategies relating multiplication and division or properties of operations. | to 5, or with one factor of 10. |
| Multiplicatio n and Division 3.OA.3-1 3.OA.3-2 3.OA.3-3 3.OA.3-4 | problems involving equal groups, arrays, area, and | division within 100 to solve word problems involving equal groups and arrays. One factor is > or = to 5. | within 100 to solve word problems involving equal | Given a visual aid, uses multiplication and division within 100 to solve word problems involving equal groups. Both factors are < or = to 5, with both factors < or = to 5, or with one factor of 10. |
| | Identifies multiple contexts given a numerical expression involving multiplication and division. | | | |
| Two-Step Problems 3.OA.8 3.Int.1 3.Int.2 | positions. Both values for each operation performed is substantial (towards the upper limits as defined by the standard assessed). | operations in which the unknown is in a variety of positions. One of the values for each operation performed is substantial (towards the upper limits as defined by the standard assessed). | Solves two-step scaffolded word problems using the four operations and in which the sum, difference, product or quotient is always the unknown. One of the values for each operation performed is substantial (towards the upper limits as defined by the standard assessed). | |
| Fraction Equivalence 3.NF.3a-1 3.NF.3a-2 3.NF.3b-1 3.NF-3c 3.NF-3d 3.NF.A.Int.1 | with denominators of 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Expresses whole numbers as | generates equivalent fractions using denominators of 2, 4, and 8. Expresses whole numbers as fractions. | - | Given a visual model recognizes equivalent fractions with denominators of 2, 4 and 8. Expresses the number 1 as a fraction. |

| | The student solves problems in | Grade 3 Math volving Major Content for Grade | : Sub-Claim A 3 with connections to the Standa | ards for Mathematical Practice. |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | |
| | Compares two fractions that have the same numerator or same denominator using symbols to justify conclusions. Plots the location of equivalent fractions on a number line. The student must recognize that two fractions must refer to the same whole in order to compare. | conclusions by using a visual | Compares two fractions that have the same numerator or same denominator using symbols. The student must recognize that two fractions must refer to the same whole in order to compare. | |
| | Given a whole number and two fractions in a real-world situation, plots all three numbers on a number line and determines which fraction is closest to the whole number. Justifies the comparison by plotting points on a number line. | | | |
| Fractions as Numbers 3.NF.1 3.NF.2 3.NF.A.Int.1 | | whole partitioned into <i>b</i> equal | parts-limiting the denominators | whole partitioned into <i>b</i> equal |
| | Represents $1/b$ on a number line diagram by partitioning the number line between 0-1 into b equal parts recognizing that b is the total number of parts. | number line between 0-1 into b equal parts recognizing that b is | line diagram by partitioning the number line between 0-1 into <i>b</i> | Identifies 1/b on a number line diagram when partitioned between 0 and 1 into b equal parts. |
| | Demonstrates understanding of the quantity <i>a/b</i> by marking off <i>a</i> parts of 1/ <i>b</i> from 0 on the number line and states that the endpoint locates the number <i>a/b</i> . | Demonstrates the understanding of the quantity <i>a/b</i> by marking off <i>a</i> parts of 1/ <i>b</i> from 0 on the number line. | Represents fractions in the form <i>a/b</i> using a visual model. | |
| | Applies the concepts of 1/b and a/b in real-world situations. | | | |
| | Describes the number line that best fits the context. | | | |
| Time 3.MD.1-1 3.MD.1-2 | Tells, writes and measures time to the nearest minute. | Tells, writes and measures time to the nearest minute. | Tells, writes and measures time to the nearest minute. | Tells, writes and measures time to the nearest minute. |
| | involving addition and | Solves one-step word problems involving addition or subtraction of time intervals in minutes. | Solves one-step word problems involving addition or subtraction of time intervals in minutes, with scaffolding, such as a number line diagram. | |
| Volumes and | Using grams, kilograms or liters, | | Using grams, kilograms or liters, measures and estimates liquid | Using grams, kilograms or liters measures liquid volumes and |

| | The student solves problems in | Grade 3 Math : Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 3 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | | |
| 3.MD.2-1 3.MD.2-2 3.MD.2-3 3.Int.5 | involving liquid volumes and masses of objects using any of the four basic operations. Number values should be towards the higher end of the acceptable values for each operation. | - | using concrete objects (beakers, measuring cups, scales) to develop estimates. | masses of concrete objects (beakers, measuring cups, scales). | |
| | Uses estimated measurements to compare answers to one- step word problems. Evaluates usefulness and accuracy of estimations. | step word problems. | | | |
| Geometric Measureme nt | Recognizes area as an attribute | - | Recognizes area as an attribute of plane figures. | Recognizes area as an attribute of plane figures. | |
| 3.MD.5 3.MD.6 | using square units. Describes a visual model to show understanding that area that can be found by covering a | understands area is measured using square units. Determines area by covering a plane figure without gaps or overlaps by unit | understands area is measured using square units. Determines area by covering a plane figure | With a visual model, understands area is measured using square units. Determines area by counting unit squares. | |
| | | Represents the area of a plane figure as "n" square units. | | | |

| | Grade 3 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 3 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| Multi-Digit Arithmetic 3.NBT.2 3.NBT.3 | within 1000 using strategies and algorithms based on place value, properties of operations, and/or the relationship between addition and | within 1000 using strategies and algorithms based on place value, properties of operations, and/or the relationship between addition and | based on place value, properties of operations with scaffolding, and/or the relationship between addition | Adds and subtracts within 1000, using strategies and algorithms based on place value, properties of operations with scaffolding, and/or the relationship between addition and subtraction. |
| | numbers by multiples of 10 in the range 10-90 using strategies based on place value | multiply one-digit whole numbers by multiples of 10 in the range 10-90 using strategies based on place value and | Uses repeated addition to multiply one-digit whole numbers by multiples of 10 in the range 10-90 using strategies based on place value and properties of operations. | |

| | | | n: Sub-Claim B | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | The student solves problems | | ing Content for Grade 3 with con cal Practice. | nections to the Standards for |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | |
| Scaled Graphs 3.MD.3-1 3.MD.3-3 3.Int.4 Measureme nt Data | graph and a scaled bar graph to represent a data set. Solves one-and two-step "how many more" and "how many less" problems, requiring a substantial addition , subtraction or multiplication step , using information presented in scaled bar graphs. Generates measurement data | represent a data set. Solves one- and two-step "how many more" and "how many less" problems using information presented in scaled bar graphs. Generates measurement data | more" and "how many less" problems using information presented in scaled bar graphs. Generates measurement data | Expectations Identifies a correctly scaled picture graph and a correctly scaled bar graph to represent a data set. Solves one-step "how many more" and "how many less" problems using information presented in scaled bar graphs. Identifies correct measurement from figures with appropriate |
| 3.MD.4 | Shows the data by making a line plot, where the horizontal scale is marked in appropriate units | plot, where the horizontal scale | Shows the data by making a | scale provided. |
| Understandi ng Shapes 3.G.1 | quadrilaterals and the subcategories of quadrilaterals. Recognizes and sorts examples of quadrilaterals that have shared attributes and shows that the shared attributes can define a larger category. Draws examples and non- examples of quadrilaterals with | Recognizes examples of quadrilaterals that have shared attributes and that the shared attributes can define a larger category. Draws examples of | Identifies examples of quadrilaterals and the subcategories of quadrilaterals. Recognizes examples of quadrilaterals that have shared attributes and that the shared attributes can define a larger category. | Identifies examples of quadrilaterals and the subcategories of quadrilaterals. |
| Perimeter and Area 3.G.2 3.MD.8 3.Int.3 | involving perimeters of polygons, including finding the perimeter given the side lengths, finding an unknown side length, and provides examples of rectangles with the | involving perimeters of polygons, including finding the perimeter given the side lengths, finding an unknown side length, and provides examples of rectangles with the same area and different perimeters. | involving perimeters of polygons, including finding the perimeter given the side lengths, and identifying rectangles with the same area | Solves mathematical problems involving perimeters of polygons, including finding the perimeter given the side lengths. |

| Grade 3 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 3 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| acceptable values for each operation | | | |
| Partitions shapes into parts with equal areas and expresses the area as a unit fraction of the whole. | | | |

| | | Grade 3 Math | n: Sub-Claim C | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | y constructing viable arguments, |
| | | ning of others and/or attending | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | - |
| Properties of | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | - |
| Operations 3.C.1-1 3.C.1-2 3.C.1-3 | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete written response based on explanations/reasoning using: • properties of operations • relationship between addition and subtraction • relationship between multiplication and division • identification of arithmetic patterns Response may include: • a logical/defensible approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) • an efficient and logical progression of steps with | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete written response based on explanations/reasoning using: • properties of operations • relationship between addition and subtraction • relationship between multiplication and division • identification of arithmetic patterns Response may include: • a logical/defensible approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) • a logical progression of steps | the student constructs and communicates a written response based on explanations/reasoning using: properties of operations relationship between addition and subtraction relationship between multiplication and division identification of arithmetic patterns Response may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps minor calculation errors | Expectations In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| | appropriate justification precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols, labels justification of a conclusion determination of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, reasonings, and approaches, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate). Provides a counter-example where applicable. | precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels justification of a conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, reasonings, and approaches, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate). | limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations evaluating the validity of other's responses, approaches and conclusions. | vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations |

| | | student expresses Grade 3 appro | | y constructing viable arguments, |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | | to precision when making mathe | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| and Diagrams 3.C.3-1 3.C.3-2 3.C.6-1 3.C.6-2 | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well- organized and complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number lines (whether provided in the prompt or constructed by the student) and connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) • an efficient and logical progression of steps with appropriate justification • precision of calculation • correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • justification of a conclusion • determination of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable • evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches, and reasoning, and providing a counter- example where applicable | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well- organized and complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number lines (whether provided in the prompt or constructed by the student) and connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) • a logical progression of steps • precision of calculation • correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • justification of a conclusion • evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches, and reasoning. | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagrams – including number lines (provided in the prompt) – connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps minor calculation errors some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations. evaluating the validity of other's responses, approaches and conclusions | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagrams – including number lines (provided in the prompt) – connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: • a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions • an incomplete or illogical progression of steps • an intrusive calculation error • limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations • accepting the validity of other's responses |
| Correct Explanation/ Reasoning | described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete |
| which is Flawed 3.C.4-1 | organized and complete response by: • presenting and defending | organized and complete response by: • presenting and defending | response by: presenting solutions to multi-step problems in the | response by:presenting solutions to scaffolded two-step problems |
| 3.C.4-2 3.C.4-3 3.C.4-4 3.C.4-5 3.C.4-6 3.C.5-1 3.C.5-2 | solutions to multi-step problems in the form of valid chains of reasoning, using symbols such as equal signs appropriately • evaluating explanation/reasoning; if | solutions to multi-step problems in the form of valid chains of reasoning, using symbols such as equal signs appropriately distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from | form of valid chains of reasoning, using symbols such as equal signs appropriately distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed | in the form of valid chains of reasoning, sometimes using symbols such as equal signs appropriately distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed |

| | | Grade 3 Mat | h: Sub-Claim C | |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | priate mathematical reasoning b | |
| | | | to precision when making mathe | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| 3.C.4-7 | there is a flaw in the argument presenting and defending corrected reasoning Response may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) an efficient and logical progression of steps with appropriate justification precision of calculation | that which is flawed identifying and describing the flaw in reasoning or describing errors in solutions to multi-step problems presenting corrected reasoning Response may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) a logical progression of steps precision of calculation | describing errors in solutions to multi-step problems presenting corrected reasoning Response may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps minor calculation errors | identifying an error in reasoning Response may include: a conjecture based on faulty assumptions an incomplete or illogical progression of steps an intrusive calculation error |
| | correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels justification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning, and providing a counter-example where applicable. | correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels justification of a conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning. | some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations evaluating the validity of other's responses, approaches and conclusions. | limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations accepting the validity of other's responses |
| | knowledge and skills articulated the standards for previous gra problems and persevering to so | student solves real-world proble d in the standards for Grade 3 (or des/courses), engaging particula lve them, reasoning abstractly ar | n: Sub-Claim D ems with a degree of difficulty app r for more complex problems, kno rly in the Modeling practice, and nd quantitatively, using appropria and expressing regularity in repe Level 3: Approaches | owledge and skills articulated in where helpful making sense of te tools strategically, looking for ated reasoning. Level 2: Partially Meets |
| | | | Expectations | Expectations |
| Modeling 3.D.1 3.D.2 | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: using stated assumptions or making assumptions and using approximations to simplify a real-world situation | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by using stated assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation | the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: using stated assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation identifying important |
| | analyzing and/or creating constraints, relationships and | mapping relationships between important | illustrating relationships between important | quantities by using provided tools to create models |
| | analyzing and/or creating constraints, relationships and goals | | Inustrating relationships between important quantities by using provided | tools to create models |

| knowledge and skills articulated the standards for previous gra problems and persevering to sol | d in the standards for Grade 3 (or des/courses), engaging particular ve them, reasoning abstractly an | : Sub-Claim D ms with a degree of difficulty app for more complex problems, kno ly in the Modeling practice, and d quantitatively, using appropria and expressing regularity in repea Level 3: Approaches Expectations | owledge and skills articulated in where helpful making sense of te tools strategically, looking for |
|---|---|---|--|
| mapping relationships between important quantities by selecting appropriate tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically between important quantities to draw conclusions justifying and defending models which lead to a conclusion interpreting mathematical results in the context of the situation reflecting on whether the results make sense improving the model if it has not served its purpose writing a concise arithmetic expression or equation to describe a situation | | important quantities to draw conclusions interpreting mathematical results in a simplified context reflecting on whether the results make sense modifying the model if it has not served its purpose | mathematically to draw conclusions writing an arithmetic expression or equation to describe a situation |

Grade 4 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors

| | | | ı : Sub-Claim A | |
|-----------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | 4 with connections to the Stand | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | - |
| | | | | Expectations |
| Fractions | - | Given a visual model and/or | | Given a visual model and/or |
| and | | manipulatives, compares | | manipulatives, compares |
| Decimals | | decimals to hundredths: | | decimals to hundredths; uses |
| 4.NF.1-2 | | Expresses a fraction with | | decimal notations for fractions |
| 4.NF.2-1 | Compares fractions, with like or | | (tenths and hundredths); | (tenths and hundredths); |
| 4.NF.A.Int.1 | | equivalent fraction with | compares fractions, with like or | |
| 4.NF.5 | | denominator 100. | | denominators. |
| 4.NF.6 | | | denominators by comparing to | |
| 4.NF.7 | | fractions with denominators 10 | a benchmark fraction. | |
| 4.NF.Int.1 | | or 100. | | |
| 4.NF.Int.2 | | Compares fractions, with like or | Recognizes that decimals and | |
| | | | fractions must refer to the | |
| | | achoninators, by creating | same whole in order to | |
| | | | compare. | |
| | fractions must refer to the same | | | |
| | | | Shows results using symbols. | |
| | | fraction. | Solves simple word problems | |
| | Shows results using symbols. | | | |
| | | | requiring fraction comparison | |
| | | fractions must refer to the same | with scarloiding. | |
| | conceptual understanding of fractional equivalence and | whole in order to compare. | | |
| | | | | |
| | word problems requiring | Shows results using symbols. | | |
| | | C | | |
| | | Solves simple word problems | | |
| | Converts a simple fraction to a | requiring fraction comparison. | | |
| | denominator of 10 or 100 and | | | |
| | writes as a decimal (e.g., 1/2 = | | | |
| | 5/10 = .5, ¼ = 25/100 = 0.25, | | | |
| | 1/20 = 5/100 = 0.05). | | | |
| | 1/20 - 3/100 - 0.03). | | | |
| | Adds fractions with | | | |
| | denominators of 10 and 100. | | | |
| Building | | Using visual models and/or | Using visual models and/or | Using visual models and/or |
| Building Fractions | | manipulatives, solves | manipulatives, solves | manipulatives, solves |
| 4.NF.3a | problems involving the addition | , | mathematical problems | mathematical problems |
| 4.NF.3b-1 | and subtraction of fractions and | | | involving the addition and |
| 4.NF.30-1 4.NF.3c | | and subtraction of fractions and | - | subtraction of fractions with |
| 4.NF.3d | | | like denominators by joining | like denominators by joining |
| 4.NF.30 4.NF.Int.1 | separating parts referring to the | | | and separating parts referring |
| | | separating parts referring to the | | to the same whole. |
| | | separating parts referring to the same whole. | | |
| | model. | | | |
| | | | Decomposes a fraction into a | |
| | Decomposes a fraction into a | | sum of fractions with the same | |
| | | | denominator in more than one | |
| | | | way and records the | |
| | | | decomposition using an | |
| | | , | equation. | |
| | | decomposition using an | | |
| L | equation. | equation. | | |

| | Grade 4 Math : Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 4 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| Fractions 4.NF.4a 4.NF.4b-1 4.NF.4b-2 4.NF.4c 4.NF.1nt.1 | and real-world problems by recognizing that fraction <i>a/b</i> is a multiple of 1/ <i>b</i> and uses that | Using visual models and/or manipulatives, solves mathematical and real- world problems by recognizing that fraction <i>a/b</i> is a multiple of 1/ <i>b</i> and uses that construct to multiply a fraction by a whole number. Interprets multiplication | multiple of 1/b and uses that construct to multiply a fraction by a whole number. | Using visual models and/or manipulatives, solves mathematical problems by recognizing that fraction <i>a/b</i> is a multiple of 1/ <i>b</i> . Interprets multiplication |
| - | | equations as comparisons or represents statements of multiplicative comparisons as multiplicative equations. | | equations as comparisons or represents statements of multiplicative comparisons as multiplicative equations. |
| | Uses multiplication or division to solve multi-step word | | Uses multiplication or division to solve scaffolded word problems involving multiplicative comparisons. | |
| 4.OA.3-1 4.OA.3-2 4.NBT.5-1 4.NBT.5-2 4.NBT.6-1 4.NBT.6-2 4.Int.2 4.Int.3 4.Int.4 4.Int.5 | operations with whole numbers: in multiplying a three- or four-digit by a one-digit number or two two-digit numbers. Finds whole number quotients and remainders with up to four - digit dividends and one-digit divisors and interprets remainders as appropriate. Chooses from a variety of strategies to solve these problems and selects an appropriate context for the task. | operations with whole numbers: in multiplying a three- digit by a one-digit number or two two-digit numbers Finds whole number quotients and remainders with up to three-digit dividends and one- digit divisors and interprets remainders as appropriate . Chooses from a variety of strategies to solve these problems. | problems using the four operations with whole numbers: in multiplying a three- digit by a one-digit number or two two-digit numbers. Finds whole number quotients and remainders with up to three-digit dividends and one- digit divisors. Chooses from a variety of strategies to solve these problems. Can only solve two- step problems when scaffolding is provided for each step. | digit by a one-digit number or two two-digit numbers. Finds whole number quotients and remainders with up to three-digit dividends and one- digit divisors. |
| 4.NBT.2 4.NBT.3 | place to its right. Reads, writes and compares multi-digit whole numbers using base-10 numerals, number | represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right. Reads, writes and compares four-digit whole numbers using base-10 numerals, number | number, recognizes a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right. Reads, writes and compares | In any three-digit whole number, recognizes a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right. |

| | Grade 4 Math : Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 4 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | 1 | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | 1 |
| | | inequality symbols (>, <, =), and rounds to any place. | form and inequality symbols (>, <, =), and rounds to any place with scaffolding. | |
| Subtraction 4.NBT.4-1 4.NBT.4-2 | other problems by adding or subtracting multi-digit whole numbers using the standard | | Solves one-step word problems and other problems by adding and subtracting multi-digit whole numbers using the standard algorithm with accuracy. | Solves one-step word problems and other problems by adding and subtracting multi-digit whole numbers using the standard algorithm with limited accuracy. |

| | The student solves problems | Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 4 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| and Factors 4.OA.4-1 4.OA.4-2 4.OA.4-3 4.OA.4-4 | its factors, and within the range of 1-100, finds all factor pairs and determines multiples of whole numbers. Determines whether a whole | its factors, and within the range of 1-100 finds factor pairs or determines multiples of whole numbers. | its factors, and within the range of 1-100 finds factor pairs or | of 1-100 identifies factor pairs or multiples of whole numbers. | | |
| nt and Conversion 4.MD.1 4.MD.2-1 4.MD.2-2 4.MD.3 4.Int.6 | problems involving whole numbers which include calculation of area and perimeter – including those in which side lengths are missing – using all four operations. Solves measurement word problems which include calculation of area and perimeter–including those in which side lengths are missing – using addition, subtraction, multiplication of simple | using addition, subtraction, multiplication of simple fractions. | Solves mathematical measurement problems involving whole numbers using all four operations. Solves mathematical measurement problems using addition, subtraction, and multiplication of simple fractions. Records measurement equivalents in a two-column | Solves mathematical measurement problems involving whole numbers using all four operations. Solves mathematical measurement problems using addition and subtraction of simple fractions. | | |
| | Records measurement | Records measurement | units to smaller units. | | | |

| | Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 4 with connections to the Standards for | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Mathematic | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| | equivalents in a two-column table. | equivalents in a two-column table. | | | |
| | real-world problems, and mathematical problems | Uses knowledge of measurement units within one system to solve word problems, real-world problems and mathematical problems involving converting from larger | | | |
| | units to smaller units. | units to smaller units. | | | |
| | Represents measurement quantities using diagrams such as number line diagrams that require students to provide the appropriate measurement scale given the context. | Represents measurement quantities using diagrams such as number line diagrams that feature a measurement scale. | | | |
| Represent and Interpret Data 4.MD.4-1 4.MD.4-2 | Makes a line plot to display a data set of measurements in fractions of a unit with like denominators limited to 2, 4 and 8, (including mixed numbers) and uses addition and subtraction of fractions to solve problems involving information in the line plots and evaluates the solution in relation to the | data set of measurements in fractions of a unit with like denominators of 2 or 4 and uses addition and subtraction of fractions to solve problems involving information in the | fractions of a unit with like denominators of 2 or 4. | Identifies a correct line plot that displays a data set of measurements in fractions of a unit with like denominators of 2 or 4. | |
| Geometric | data. Recognizes how angles are | Understands and applies | Understands and applies | Understands and identifies | |
| | formed and that angle measures are additive. | concepts of angle | concepts of angle | concepts of angle measurement. | |
| 4.MD.6 4.MD.7 | Understands and applies concepts of angle measurement recognizing that angles are measured in reference to a circle. | | | | |
| | Uses a protractor to measure and sketch angles. | | Uses a protractor to measure angles. | | |
| | Solves mathematical and real- | world problems by composing and decomposing angles. | | | |
| | Solves mathematical and real- world angle problems, including problems that require the use of equations with a symbol for the unknown angle measure. | | | | |
| - | Draws and identifies points, | - | Identifies points, lines, line | Identifies points, lines, line | |
| and Shapes | lines, line segments, rays, angles | innes, line segments, rays, angles | segments, rays, angles (right, | segments, rays, angles (right, | |

| | Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 4 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| 4.G.3 | lines, lines of symmetry and right triangles, and use any of these to classify or describe | perpendicular lines, parallel lines, lines of symmetry and right triangles, and use some of these to classify two - | | obtuse and acute), perpendicular lines, parallel lines, lines of symmetry and | |
| and Analyze Patterns 4.OA.5 | pattern that follows a given rule and identifies apparent features | pattern that follows a given rule | Generates a number or shape pattern that follows a given rule. | ldentifies a number or shape pattern that follows a given rule. | |

| Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 4 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete written response based on | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete written response based on explanations/reasoning using the: • properties of operations • relationship between addition and subtraction • relationship between multiplication and division • identification of arithmetic patterns Response may include: • a logical/defensible approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) • a logical progression of steps • precision of calculation • correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • justification of a conclusion • evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable • evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of | the student constructs and communicates a written response based on explanations/reasoning using the: properties of operations relationship between addition and subtraction relationship between multiplication and division identification of arithmetic patterns Response may include: a logical approach based on a | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete written response based on explanations/reasoning using the: • properties of operations • relationship between addition and subtraction • relationship between multiplication and division • identification of arithmetic patterns Response may include: • an approach based on a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions • an incomplete or illogical progression of steps • an intrusive calculation error • limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations |

| | Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 4 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| | evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, reasonings, and approaches, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate). Provides a counter-example where applicable. | other's responses, reasonings, and approaches, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate). | | | |
| Concrete Referents and Diagrams 4.C.4-1 4.C.4-2 4.C.4-3 4.C.4-4 4.C.4-5 4.C.7-1 4.C.7-2 4.C.7-3 4.C.7-4 | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well-organized and complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number lines (whether provided in the prompt or constructed by the student) and connecting the | described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well- organized and complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number lines (whether provided in the prompt or constructed by the student) and connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) • a logical progression of steps • precision of calculation • correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • justification of a conclusion • evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable • evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches, and reasoning. | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number | the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagrams – including number lines (provided in the prompt) – connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions an incomplete or illogical progression of steps an intrusive calculation error limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and | |

| | Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 4 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | opriate mathematical reasoning t to precision when making mathe | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| Correct Explanation/ | B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well-organized and complete response by: presenting and defending solutions to multi-step problems in the form of valid chains of reasoning, using symbols such as equal signs appropriately evaluating explanation/reasoning; if there is a flaw in the argument presenting and defending corrected reasoning Response may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well- | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a complete response by: • presenting solutions to multi- step problems in the form of valid chains of reasoning, using symbols such as equal signs appropriately • distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed • identifying and describing the flaw in reasoning or describing errors in solutions to multi-step problems • presenting corrected reasoning Response may include: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions • a logical , but incomplete, progression of steps • minor calculation errors • some use of grade-level | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response by: presenting solutions to scaffolded two-step problems in the form of valid chains of reasoning, sometimes using symbols such as equal signs appropriately distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from | |

| | Grade 4 Math: Sub-Claim D In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 4 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 4 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | and expressing regularity in repe | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| Modeling 4.D.1 4.D.2 | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: using stated assumptions or making assumptions and using approximations to simplify a real-world situation mapping relationships between important quantities by selecting appropriate tools to create models | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: • using stated assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: using stated assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation identifying important quantities using provided tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically to draw conclusions writing an arithmetic expression or equation to | |

Grade 5 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors

| | Grade 5 Math : Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 5 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| Addition and | Adds or subtracts two decimals | Adds or subtracts two decimals | | Adds or subtracts (without |
| Subtraction | | to hundredths using concrete | regrouping) two decimals to | regrouping) two decimals to |
| Operations | models, drawings or strategies | models, drawings or strategies | hundredths using concrete | hundredths (both decimals |
| | - | based on place value, | models, drawings or strategies | presented with the same |
| | | | based on place value and/or the | |
| | - | the relationship between | relationship between addition | using concrete models, |
| 5.NBT.7-2 | addition and subtraction. | addition and subtraction. | and subtraction. | drawings or strategies based on |
| | | | | place value and/or the |
| | Applies this concept to a real- | | | relationship between addition |
| | world context, and relates the | | | and subtraction. |
| | strategy to a written method | | | |
| - | and explain the reasoning used. | | | Calves ward analytic as involving |
| - | Describes a model to represent word problems involving | addition and subtraction of | | addition and subtraction of |
| - | | fractions and mixed numbers | | fractions using only |
| | | referring to the same whole in | using only denominators of 2, 4, | u |
| Fractions | | _ | 5 or 10 or benchmark fractions | |
| | cases of unlike denominators by | | with unlike denominators, | |
| | | or equations. | referring to the same whole by | |
| | equations. | | using visual fraction models or | |
| | | | equations. | |
| | Assesses and justifies | | | |
| | reasonableness using | | | |
| | benchmark fractions and | | | |
| | number sense of fractions. | | | |
| | | Adds and subtracts two | | Adds or subtracts two fractions |
| | | fractions or mixed numbers with unlike denominators in | or mixed numbers with unlike | with unlike denominators using only fractions with |
| | | such a way as to produce an | denominators using only fractions with denominators of | denominators of 2, 4, 5 or 10 in |
| | | | | such a way as to produce an |
| J.INI .1-1 | | with like denominators. | produce an equivalent sum or | equivalent sum or difference |
| 5.111.1 2 | with like denominators. | | | with like denominators.* |
| 5.NF.1-3 5.NF.1-4 | | | denominators.* | *below grade level. |
| 5.NF.1-4 | | | *below grade level. | below grade level. |
| | Multiplies tenths by tenths or | Multiplies tenths by tenths or | | Multiplies tenths by tenths in |
| - | | | divides in problems involving | problems involving tenths using |
| | , | divides in problems involving | tenths using concrete models or | |
| | tenths and/or hundredths using | | - | and strategies based on place |
| | - | concrete models or drawings | | value, properties of operations |
| | | | | and/or the relationship |
| | | | | between addition and |
| | | and/or the relationship | and subtraction. | subtraction. |
| | | between addition and | | |
| | subtraction. | subtraction. | | |
| | Dorforms exact and | | | |
| | Performs exact and approximate multiplications | | | |
| | and divisions by mentally | | | |
| | applying place value strategies | Relates the strategy to a | | |
| | | written method. | | |
| L | | | I | 1] |

| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | ards for Mathematical Practice. Level 2: Partially Meets |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | Expectations | Expectations |
| | Relates the strategy to a written method. | | | |
| Multiply with Whole Numbers 5.NBT.5 5.Int.1 5.Int.2 | word problems involving multiplication and multiplication | multiplication of a three-digit | | Solves one-step word problems involving multiplication. |
| | Accurately multiplies multi-digit whole numbers using the standard algorithm and assesses reasonableness of the product. | whole numbers using the standard algorithm. | numbers using the standard algorithm with limited accuracy. | |
| Quotients and Dividends 5.NBT.6 | four-digit dividends and two- digit divisors using strategies based on place value, the properties of operations and/or the relationship between multiplication and division. Illustrates and explains the calculations by using equations, rectangular arrays, and area models. Checks reasonableness of answers by using multiplication | four-digit dividends and one- digit divisors which are multiples of ten using strategies based on place value, the properties of operations and/or the relationship between multiplication and division. | digit divisors which are multiples of ten using strategies based on place value, the | Correctly identifies the quotien of whole numbers up to three- digit dividends and one-digit divisors which are multiples of ten. |
| Multiplying and Dividing with Fractions 5.NF.4a-1 5.NF.4a-2 5.NF.4b-1 5.NF.6-1 5.NF.6-2 5.NF.7a 5.NF.7b 5.NF.7b 5.NF.7c | problem s, by multiplying a mixed number by a fraction, a fraction by a fraction and a whole number by a fraction; | number by a fraction and divides a fraction by a whole number – or whole number by a fraction – using visual fraction models and creating context for the mathematics, including | or whole number by a fraction using visual fraction models. | |

| | Grade 5 Math : Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 5 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Pr | | | ards for Mathematical Practice |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| Fractions 5.NF.3-1 5.NF.3-2 | division of whole numbers leading to answers in the form of fractions or mixed numbers. Interprets the fraction as division of the numerator by the | division of whole numbers leading to answers in the form of fractions or mixed numbers. Interprets the fraction as division of the numerator by | Solves word problems involving division of whole numbers leading to answers in the form of fractions or mixed numbers by using manipulatives or visual | Solves word problems involving division of whole numbers leading to answers in the form of fractions by using |
| | Describes a model to represent the situation. | | | |
| Volume 5.MD.3 5.MD.4 | attribute of solid figures and understands volume is measured using cubic units and can be found by packing a solid figure with unit cubes and counting them. | attribute of solid figures and understands volume is measured using cubic units and can be found by packing a solid figure with unit cubes and counting them. | Recognizes volume as an attribute of solid figures and with a visual model understands that volume is measured using cubic units and can be found by packing a solid figure with unit cubes and counting them. | Recognizes volume as an attribute of solid figures. |
| | Represents the volume of a solid figure as "n" cubic units. Writes an equation that illustrates the unit cube pattern. | | | |
| 5.MD.5b 5.MD.5c | mathematical problems by applying the formulas for volume, relating volume to the operations of multiplication and addition, and recognizing volume is additive by finding the volume of solid figures of two or more non-overlapping parts. | real-world and mathematical problems by applying the formulas for volume, relating volume to the operations of multiplication and addition, and recognizing volume is additive by finding the volume of solid figures of two non- overlapping parts. | formulas for finding volume, solves real-world and mathematical problems by applying the formulas for volume (V = I x w x h and V = B x h). | Given a visual model, solves volume problems by counting unit cubes. |
| and Compare Decimals 5.NBT.3a | numerals, number names, expanded form and symbols (>, | decimals to the hundredths using numerals, number names, expanded form and symbols (>, <, =), and rounds to any place. | decimals to the hundredths using numerals, number names, expanded form and symbols (>, | Identifies the correct comparison of decimals to the hundredths using numerals, number names, expanded form and symbols (>, <, =). |
| Place Value 5.NBT.1 5.NBT.2-2 5.NBT.A.Int.1 | In any multi-digit number, recognizes a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right and 1/10 of what it represents in the place to its left and uses whole number exponents to denote powers of | recognizes a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right or 1/10 of what it represents in the place to its left and uses whole number | recognizes a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right or 1/10 of what it represents in the place to its left by using manipulatives or | In any multi-digit number, recognizes a digit in one place represents 10 times as much as it represents in the place to its right by using manipulatives or visual models. |

| | The student solves problems in | Grade 5 Math : Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 5 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| | compare two powers of 10 expressed exponentially (compare 10 ² to 10 ⁵). | | | | | |
| Multiplicatio n Scaling 5.NF.5a | by comparing the size of the product to the size of one factor on the basis of the size of the second factor without performing the indicated multiplication, focusing on one | by comparing the size of a product to the size of one factor on the basis of the size of the second factor without performing the indicated multiplication where one factor is a fraction less than one. | product to the size of one factor on the basis of the size of the second factor by performing the indicated multiplication where one factor is a fraction less than one using manipulatives or | Identifies multiplication scaling by comparing the size of a product to the size of one factor on the basis of the size of the second factor by performing the indicated multiplication where one factor is a fraction less than one using manipulatives or visual models. | | |
| Write and Interpret Numerical Expressions 5.OA.1 5.OA.2-1 5.OA.2-2 | braces with no greater depth than two, to write and evaluate numerical expressions. Interprets numerical expressions without evaluating | expressions. Interprets simple numerical | • | Uses parentheses to write simple numerical expressions. | | |

| | Grade 5 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 5 with connections to the Standards for | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| | mathematical problems by locating and graphing points in the first quadrant of a coordinate plane and interprets coordinate values of points in | Represents real-world and mathematical problems by locating and graphing points in the first quadrant of a coordinate plane. | Represents real-world and mathematical problems by locating or graphing points in the first quadrant of a coordinate plane. | Represents real-world mathematical problems by locating points in the first quadrant of a coordinate plane. | | |
| 5.OA.3 Two- | | Classifies two-dimensional | Classifies two-dimensional | ldentifies two-dimensional | | |
| l Figures 5.G.3 5.G.4 | figures in a hierarchy based on properties. Understands that attributes belonging to a category of two- dimensional figures also belong to all subcategories of that category. Uses appropriate tools to determine similarities and differences between categories | figures in a hierarchy based on properties. Understands that shared attributes categorize two- dimensional figures. | figures based on properties. Understands that shared attributes categorize two- dimensional figures. | figures based on properties. | | |
| s | within a given measurement system and uses these conversions to solve real-world, | Converts among different-sized standard measurement units within a given measurement system and uses these conversions to solve real- world, single-step problems. | 0 | Identifies the correct conversion among different-sized standard units within a given measurement system. | | |

| | The student solves problems | Grade 5 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 5 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| | Chooses the appropriate measurement unit based on the given context. | | | | | |
| Data Displays 5.MD.2-2 | Uses operations on fractions with denominators of 2, 4, and 8 to solve problems involving information in line plots and interprets the solution in relation to the data. | solve problems involving | with like denominators of 2 and 4 to solve problems involving | Uses operations on fractions with like denominators of 2 to solve problems involving information in line plots. | | |

| | | Grade 5 Math | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | • | appropriate mathematical reaso | • |
| | | _ | - · · | |
| | | | Expectations | Expectations |
| Operations 5.C.1-1 5.C.1-2 5.C.2-1 5.C.2-2 5.C.2-3 5.C.2-4 | arguments, critiquing the Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | reasoning of others and/or atter Level 4: Meets Expectations In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a well-organized and complete written response based on explanations/reasoning using: properties of operations relationship between addition and subtraction relationship between multiplication and division Response may include: a logical/defensible approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) a logical progression of steps precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels justification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is | In connection when making r Level 3: Approaches Expectations In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a complete written response based on explanations/reasoning using: • properties of operations • relationship between addition and subtraction • relationship between multiplication and division Response may include: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions • a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps • minor calculation errors | nathematical statements. Level 2: Partially Meets |
| | Justification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, reasonings, and approaches, | argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, reasonings, and approaches, utilizing mathematical connections (when | other's responses, approaches and conclusions. | |
| | utilizing mathematical | appropriate). | | |

| Grade 5 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 5 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| evel 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| connections (when appropriate). Provides a counter-example where applicable. | | | | |
| connection with the content owledge, skills, and abilities scribed in Sub-claims A and B, e student clearly constructs d communicates a well- ganized and complete sponse based on place value stem including: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) an efficient and logical progression of steps with appropriate justification precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and abels ustification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning, and providing a counter- example where applicable. | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a well- organized and complete response based on place value system including: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions, utilizing mathematical connections (when appropriate) a logical progression of steps precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels justification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning. | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a complete response based on place value system including: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps minor calculation errors some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations evaluating the validity of other's responses, approaches and conclusions. | the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response based on place value system which may include: • an approach based on a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions • an incomplete or illogical progression of steps • an intrusive calculation error • limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations | |
| owledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities | |
| e student clearly constructs d communicates a well- ganized and complete ponse based on operations ng concrete referents such as | and communicates a well- organized a nd complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as | the student constructs and communicates a complete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number | the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response based on operations using concrete referents such as diagrams – including number | |
| es (whether provided in the ompt or constructed by the ident) and connecting the ograms to a written (symbolic) ethod, which may include: a logical approach based on a | lines (whether provided in the prompt or constructed by the student) and connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: • a logical approach based on a | connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | lines (provided in the prompt) – connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) method, which may include: a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions an incomplete or illogical progression of steps | |
| spor ng o ng o ng o ng o ng o ng ng ra etho a log conj | nse based on operations concrete referents such as msincluding number whether provided in the ot or constructed by the nt) and connecting the ms to a written (symbolic) od, which may include: | response based on operations concrete referents such as msincluding number whether provided in the ot or constructed by the nt) and connecting the ms to a written (symbolic) od, which may include: gical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated | response based on operations concrete referents such as using concrete referents such as diagramsincluding number lines (based on operations) whether provided in the prompt or constructed by the to ro constructed by the student) and connecting the student) and connecting the student) and connecting the student) and connecting the diagrams to a written (symbolic) diagrams to a written (symbolic) diagrams to a written (symbolic) ad, which may include: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated | |

| | Grade 5 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 5 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable | | | |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | | | iding to precision when making r | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets |
| | | Level 4. Meets Expectations | Expectations | Expectations |
| | mathematical connections | mathematical connections | • minor calculation errors | limited use of grade-level |
| | (when appropriate) | (when appropriate) | some use of grade-level | vocabulary, symbols and |
| | an efficient and logical | a logical progression of steps | vocabulary, symbols and | labels |
| | progression of steps with | precision of calculation | labels | partial justification of a |
| | appropriate justification | correct use of grade-level | partial justification of a | conclusion based on own |
| | precision of calculation | vocabulary, symbols and | conclusion based on own | calculations |
| | correct use of grade-level | labels | calculations. | accepting the validity of |
| | vocabulary, symbols and | justification of a conclusion | evaluating the validity of | other's responses |
| | labels | evaluation of whether an | other's responses, | |
| | justification of a conclusion | argument or conclusion is | approaches and conclusions. | |
| | evaluation of whether an | generalizable | | |
| | argument or conclusion is | • evaluating, interpreting, and | | |
| | generalizable | critiquing the validity of | | |
| | evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity of | other's responses, approaches, and reasoning. | | |
| | other's responses, | approacties, and reasoning. | | |
| | approaches, and reasoning, | | | |
| | and providing a | | | |
| | counterexample where | | | |
| | applicable | | | |
| Distinguish | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content |
| | | | | knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| - | described in Sub-claims A and B, | | | |
| - | · · | • | the student constructs and | the student constructs and |
| | | and communicates a well- | communicates a complete | communicates an incomplete |
| which is Flawed | organized and complete response by: | organized and complete | response by: | response by: |
| 5.C.7-1 | analyzing and defending | response by:analyzing and defending | analyzing solutions to multi- step problems in the form of | analyzing solutions to scaffolded two-step problems |
| 5.C.7-2 | solutions to multi-step | solutions to multi-step | valid chains of reasoning, | in the form of valid chains of |
| 5.C.7-3 | problems in the form of valid | problems in the form of valid | using symbols such as equal | reasoning, sometimes using |
| 5.C.7-4 | chains of reasoning, using | chains of reasoning, using | signs appropriately | symbols such as equal signs |
| 5.C.8-2 | symbols such as equal signs | symbols such as equal signs | distinguishing correct | appropriately |
| | appropriately | appropriately | explanation/reasoning from | distinguishing correct |
| | evaluating explanation/ | distinguishing correct | that which is flawed | explanation/reasoning from |
| | reasoning if there is a flaw in | explanation/reasoning from | identifying and describing | that which is flawed |
| | the argument | that which is flawed | the flaw in reasoning or | identifying an error in |
| | presenting and defending | identifying and describing the flow in an environment | _ | reasoning |
| | corrected reasoning | flaw in reasoning or | solutions to multi-step | Response may include: |
| | Response may include: • a logical approach based on a | describing errors in solutions to multi-step problems | problemspresenting corrected | a conjecture based on faulty assumptions |
| | conjecture and/or stated | presenting corrected | reasoning | assumptionsan incomplete or illogical |
| | assumptions, utilizing | reasoning | Response may include: | progression of steps |
| | | Response may include: | • a logical approach based on | an intrusive calculation error |
| | (when appropriate) | a logical approach based on a | a conjecture and/or stated | limited use of grade-level |
| | • an efficient and logical | conjecture and/or stated | assumptions | vocabulary, symbols and |
| | progression of steps with | assumptions, utilizing | • a logical, but incomplete, | labels |
| | appropriate justification | mathematical connections | progression of steps | partial justification of a |
| | precision of calculation | (when appropriate) | • minor calculation errors | conclusion based on own |
| | correct use of grade-level | a logical progression of steps | some use of grade-level | calculations |
| | vocabulary, symbols and | precision of calculation | vocabulary, symbols and | accepting the validity of |
| | labels | correct use of grade-level | labels | other's responses |

| Grade 5 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 5 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| justification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning, and providing a counter- example where applicable | vocabulary, symbols and labels justification of a conclusion evaluation of whether an argument or conclusion is generalizable evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning | partial justification of a conclusion based on own calculations evaluating the validity of other's responses, approaches and conclusions. | | |
| | | n: Sub-Claim D | | |
| In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 5 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 5 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for the making use of structure and/or looking for and expressing regularity in repeated reasoning. | | | | |
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets | |

| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets |
|-------|--|---|--|--|
| | • | • | Expectations | Expectations |
| 5.D.2 | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: using stated assumptions or making assumptions and using approximations to simplify a real-world situation mapping relationships | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: using stated assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation illustrating relationships between important quantities by using provided tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically between important quantities to draw conclusions interpreting mathematical results in a simplified context reflecting on whether the results make sense modifying the model if it has not served its purpose writing an arithmetic expression or equation to | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student devises a plan and applies mathematics to solve multi-step, real-world contextual word problems by: • using stated assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation • identifying important quantities • using provided tools to create models • analyzing relationships mathematically to draw conclusions • writing an arithmetic expression or equation to describe a situation |

| Grade 5 Math: Sub-Claim D In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 5 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 5 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for the making use of structure and/or looking for and expressing regularity in repeated reasoning. | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| improving the model if it has not served its purpose writing a concise arithmetic expression or equation to describe a situation | | | |

Grade 6 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors

| | Grade 6 Math: Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 6 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | The student solves problems in Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | 1 | e 6 with connections to the Stand evel 3: Approaches Expectations | | |
| | | | | Expectations | |
| Multiplying and Dividing with Fractions 6.NS.1-2 | Solves word problems involving division of fractions by fractions. | denominators and solves word problems with prompting | Divides fractions with common denominators and solves word problems with prompting embedded within the problem. | Divides fractions with common denominators. | |
| Ratios | Uses ratio and rate reasoning | Uses ratio and rate reasoning to | Uses ratio and rate reasoning | Solves problems including ratio, | |
| 6.RP.1 6.RP.2 6.RP.3a 6.RP.3b 6.RP.3c-1 6.RP.3c-2 6.RP.3d | mathematical problems, including ratio, unit rate, percent and unit conversion problems. | solve real-world and mathematical problems, including ratio, unit rate, percent and unit conversion problems using a limited variety of representations and strategies. | to solve mathematical problems, including ratio, unit rate, percent and unit conversion problems using a limited variety of representations and strategies. | unit rate, percent and unit conversion problems using a limited variety of representations and strategies. | |
| | Finds missing values in tables and plots values on the | Finds missing values in tables and locates and plots values on the coordinate plane. | Finds missing values in tables and locates or plots values on the coordinate plane. | | |
| Rational Numbers 6.NS.5 6.NS.6a 6.NS.6b-1 6.NS.6b-2 6.NS.6c-1 6.NS.6c-2 6.NS.7a 6.NS.7b 6.NS.7b 6.NS.7c-1 6.NS.7c-1 | mathematical or real-world quantities which have opposite values or directions and can be represented on a number line and compared with or without the use of a number line. Understands and interprets | Understands that positive and negative numbers describe mathematical or real-world quantities which have opposite values or directions and can be represented on a number line and compared with or without the use of a number line. Understands the absolute value of a rational number. | Understands that positive and negative numbers describe mathematical or real-world quantities which have opposite values or directions and can be represented on a number line. Determines the absolute value of a rational number. | Understands that positive and negative numbers describe mathematical or real-world quantities which have opposite values or directions and can be represented on a number line. Determines the absolute value of a rational number. | |
| 6.NS.7c-2 6.NS.7d 6.NS.8 | coordinate plane to solve real- world and mathematical problems. Understands (or recognizes) that when two ordered pairs differ only by signs, the locations of the points are | Plots ordered pairs on a coordinate plane to solve real- world and mathematical problems. | Locates or plots ordered pairs on a coordinate plane to solve mathematical problems. | | |
| Expressions and | , | Reads and evaluates numerical and algebraic expressions, | Reads numerical and algebraic expressions including those | | |

| | Grade 6 Math: Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 6 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | | |
| Inequalities 6.EE.1-1 6.EE.2a 6.EE.2b 6.EE.2c-1 6.EE.2c-2 6.EE.4 | and numerical expressions using mathematical terms and | including those that contain whole number exponents. Writes numerical expressions and some algebraic expressions, including those that contain whole number exponents. Identifies parts of algebraic and numerical expressions using mathematical terms. Identifies equivalent expressions using properties of operations. | that contain whole number exponents. Identifies parts of algebraic and numerical expressions using mathematical terms. | Identifies parts of an algebraic or numerical expression using mathematical terms. | |
| Equations and Inequalities 6.EE.5-1 6.EE.5-2 6.EE.6 6.EE.7 6.EE.8 6.EE.9 | Uses variables to represent numbers and writes expressions and single-step equations to solve real-world and mathematical problems and understand their solutions. Expresses a relationship between dependent and independent variables and relates tables and graphs to equations. Writes and graphs inequalities to represent a constraint or condition in a real-world or mathematical problem. | Uses variables to represent | step equations to solve mathematical problems. | Uses variables to represent numbers and writes expressions without exponents, and single- step equations to solve mathematical problems | |
| | Understands that there are an infinite number of solutions for an inequality. | | | | |

| | Grade 6 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 6 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| Multiples 6.NS.4-1 6.NS.4-2 | and least common multiples. Uses the distributive property to express a sum of two whole numbers 1-100 with a common factor as a multiple of a sum of two whole numbers with no | and least common multiples. Uses the distributive property to rewrite a sum of two whole numbers 1-100 with a common | factors and least common multiples. | Identifies greatest common factors or least common multiples. | | |

| | Grade 6 Math: Sub-Claim B | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 6 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets | |
| | | | | Expectations | |
| Geometry | Solves real-world and | Solves real-world and | - | Solves mathematical problems | |
| 6.G.1 | mathematical problems | mathematical problems | involving area of polygons by | involving area of polygons by | |
| 6.G.2-1 | involving area of polygons by | involving area of polygons by | either composing into | composing into rectangles. | |
| 6.G.2-2 | composing into rectangles or decomposing into triangles and | either composing into rectangles or decomposing into | rectangles or decomposing into triangles and other shapes. | | |
| 6.G.3 6.G.4 | other shapes. | triangles and other shapes. | thangles and other shapes. | | |
| | | | Determines measurements of | | |
| | Determines measurements of | Determines measurements of | polygons in the coordinate | | |
| | polygons in the coordinate plane. | polygons in the coordinate plane. | plane. | | |
| | Determines and uses nets of | Determines and uses nets of | Uses nets of three-dimensional | | |
| | • | three-dimensional figures to | figures to find surface area. | | |
| | find surface area. | find surface area. | | | |
| | Determines volume of right | Determines volume of right | Determines volume of right rectangular prisms with | | |
| | rectangular prisms with | rectangular prisms with | fractional edge lengths by | | |
| | fractional edge lengths by | fractional edge lengths by | packing them with unit cubes | | |
| | packing them with unit cubes | packing them with unit cubes | and using formulas. | | |
| | and using formulas. | and using formulas. | | | |
| | Uses volume formulas to find | | | | |
| | unknown measurements. | | | | |
| | Understands the concepts of | | | | |
| | area and volume to solve | | | | |
| | unscaffolded problems. | | | | |
| Statistics | Recognizes a statistical question | - | - | Understands that a set of | |
| and | | | - | collected data has a distribution | |
| Probability | collected data has a distribution | which can be described by its | | which can be described by its center, spread and overall | |
| 6.SP.1 | which can be described by its center, spread and overall | center, spread and overall | | shape. | |
| 6.SP.2 6.SP.3 | shape. | shape. | and overall shape. | snape. | |
| 6.SP.4 | shape. | shape. | | | |
| 6.SP.5 | Understands the purpose of | Understands the purpose of | Understands the purpose of | Understands that the center of | |
| 0.01.0 | center and variability and that it | | center and that it can be | a set of data can be summarized | |
| | can be summarized with a | summarized with a single | summarized with a single | with a single number. | |
| | single number. | number. | number. | | |
| | Displays numerical data in plots | | | | |
| | on a number line, including dot | | | | |
| | plots, histograms and box plots, | | | | |
| | and determines which display | | | | |
| | is the most appropriate. | | | | |
| | Summarizes numerical data | | | | |
| | sets in relation to their context, | | | | |
| | such as by reporting the | | | | |
| | number of observations, | | | | |
| | describing the nature of the | | | | |
| | attributes under investigation | | | | |
| | and using measures of center | l | | l | |

| | Grade 6 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 6 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | evel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| | and variability. | | | | | |
| | Determines which measures of center and variability are the most appropriate for a set of data. | | | | | |
| Operations with Multi- Digit Numbers 6.NS.2 6.NS.3-1 6.NS.3-2 6.NS.3-3 6.NS.3-3 6.NS.3-4 6.Int.1 | | and other problems with some level of accuracy by dividing multi-digit numbers and adding, subtracting, multiplying and | dividing multi-digit numbers and adding, subtracting, multiplying and dividing multi- | Solves one-step problems with limited accuracy by dividing multi-digit numbers and adding, subtracting, multiplying and dividing multi-digit decimals. | | |

| | | Grade 6: Sub-Claim C | | | | |
|------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 6 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable | | | | |
| | arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| Properties | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | | |
| - | | | | knowledge, skills, and abilities | | |
| | described in Sub-claims A and B, | - | described in Sub-claims A and B, | - | | |
| • | | , | , | the student constructs and | | |
| | - | and communicates a complete | communicates a complete | communicates an incomplete | | |
| | - | response based on the | response based on the | response based on the | | |
| | properties of operations and | properties of operations and | properties of operations and | properties of operations and | | |
| | the relationship between | the relationship between | the relationship between | the relationship between | | |
| | | addition and subtraction or | | addition and subtraction or | | |
| | - | between multiplication and | - | between multiplication and | | |
| | _ | division, including: | _ | division, which may include: | | |
| | a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | a faulty approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | | |
| | a logical and complete progression of steps | a logical and complete progression of steps | a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps | an incomplete or illogical progression of steps | | |
| | precision of calculation | precision of calculation | minor calculation errors | major calculation errors | | |
| | correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | | |
| | complete justification of a conclusion | complete justification of a conclusion | partial justification of a conclusion | partial justification of a conclusion | | |
| | generalization of an argument or conclusion | evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of | evaluating the validity of other's approaches and | | | |
| | evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity and efficiency of other's | other's responses, approaches and reasoning. | conclusions. | | | |
| | responses, approaches and reasoning, and providing | | | | | |

| | Grade 6: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 6 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | _ | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | | |
| | counter-examples where applicable. | | | | |
| and Diagrams 6.C.3 6.C.4 6.C.5 | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on concrete referents provided in the prompt or constructed by the student such as: diagrams that are connected to a written (symbolic) method, number line diagrams or coordinate plane diagrams, including: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions • a logical and complete progression of steps • precision of calculation • correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols, labels • complete justification of a conclusion • evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity and efficiency of other's responses, approaches and reasoning, and provides a counter-example where applicable. | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on concrete referents provided in the prompt or constructed by the student such as: diagrams that are connected to a written (symbolic) method, number line diagrams or coordinate plane diagrams, including: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions a logical and complete progression of steps precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels complete justification of a conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a complete response based on concrete referents provided in the prompt or in simple cases, constructed by the student such as: diagrams that are connected to a written (symbolic) method, number line diagrams or coordinate plane diagrams, including: a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps minor calculation errors some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion evaluating the validity of other's approaches and conclusions. | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response based on concrete referents provided in the prompt such as: diagrams, number line diagrams or coordinate plane diagrams, which may include: • a faulty approach based on a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions • an incomplete or illogical progression of steps • major calculation errors • limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels • partial justification of a conclusion | |
| Correct Explanation/ Reasoning from that which is | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response to a given equation, | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response to a given equation, | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates a complete response to a given equation, | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete response to a given equation, | |
| 6.C.6 6.C.7 6.C.8.1 6.C.8.2 | or conjecture, including: • a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | or conjecture, including: | or conjecture, including: | multi-step problem, proposition or conjecture, including: an approach based on a conjecture and/or stated or faulty assumptions | |
| 6.C.9 | a logical and complete progression of steps precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | a logical and complete progression of steps precision of calculation correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps minor calculation errors some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | an incomplete or illogical progression of steps major calculation errors limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | |

| arguments, critiquing the | Grade 6: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 6 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | vel 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | | |
| complete justification of a conclusion generalization of an argument or conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity and efficiency of other's responses, approaches and reasoning, and providing a counter-example where applicable. identifying and describing errors in solutions and presents correct solutions. distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed. If there is a flaw, presents correct reasoning. | complete justification of a conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches and reasoning. identifying and describing error in solutions and presents correct solutions. | partial justification of a conclusion evaluating the validity of other's approaches and conclusion. identifying and describing errors in solutions. | partial justification of a conclusion | | | |

| | Grade 6: Sub-Claim D | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 6 by applying | | | | | |
| | knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 6 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in | | | | | |
| | the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of | | | | | |
| | | | and quantitatively, using approp | | | |
| | use of structure and/or looking for and expressing regularity in repeated reasoning. | | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets | | |
| | | | Expectations | Expectations | | |
| Modeling | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | | |
| 6.D.1 | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | | |
| 6.D.2 | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | | |
| 6.D.3 | the student d evises a plan to | the student devises a plan to | the student devises a plan to | the student devises a plan to | | |
| | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | | |
| | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | | |
| | life, society and the workplace | life, society and the workplace | life, society and the workplace | life, society and the workplace | | |
| | by: | by: | by: | by: | | |
| | using stated assumptions and | using stated assumptions and | using stated assumptions and | using stated assumptions | | |
| | making assumptions and | making assumptions and | approximations to simplify a | and approximations to | | |
| | approximations to simplify a | approximations to simplify a | real-world situation | simplify a real-world | | |
| | real-world situation | real-world situation | illustrating relationships | situation | | |
| | mapping relationships | mapping relationships | between important quantities | identifying important | | |
| | between important | between important quantities | by using provided tools to | quantities by using provided | | |
| | quantities by selecting | by selecting appropriate | create models | tools to create models | | |
| | appropriate tools to create | tools to create models | analyzing relationships | analyzing relationships | | |
| | models | analyzing relationships | mathematically between | mathematically to draw | | |
| | analyzing relationships | mathematically between | important quantities to draw | conclusions | | |
| | mathematically between | important quantities to draw | conclusions | writing an incomplete | | |
| | important quantities to draw | conclusions | writing an incomplete | algebraic expression or | | |
| | conclusions | writing a complete, clear, and | algebraic expression or | equation to describe a | | |
| | • writing a complete, clear and | correct algebraic expression | equation to describe a | situation | | |
| | correct algebraic expression | | situation | | | |

| Grade 6: Sub-Claim DIn connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 6 by apply knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 6 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, maki use of structure and/or looking for and expressing regularity in repeated reasoning.Level 5: Exceeds ExpectationsLevel 4: Meets ExpectationsLevel 3: ApproachesLevel 2: Partially Meets | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Level 5. Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| describe how one quantity of interest depends on another using reasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity reflecting on whether the results make sense improving the model if it has | or equation to describe a situation • applying proportional reasoning • writing/using functions to describe how one quantity of interest depends on another • using reasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity • reflecting on whether the results make sense • improving the model if it has not served its purpose • interpreting mathematical results in the context of the situation | applying proportional reasoning | applying proportional reasoning using functions to describe how one quantity of interest depends on another | |

Grade 7 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors

| | The student solves problems in | Grade 7 Math | | ards for Mathematical Practice. | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| - | including multi-step | relationships to solve real-world and mathematical problems, | | Identifies proportional relationships to solve mathematical problems, including ratio/percent problems. | |
| 7.RP.2c 7.RP.2d 7.RP.3-1 7.RP.3-2 | quantities associated with ratios of fractions. Decides whether two quantities are in a proportional relationship and identifies the constant of proportionality (unit rate) in tables, equations, diagrams, verbal descriptions | of fractions. Decides whether two quantities are in a proportional relationship and identifies the constant of proportionality (unit rate) in tables, equations, diagrams, verbal descriptions | ratios of fractions. Decides whether two quantities are in a proportional relationship and identifies the constant of proportionality (unit rate) in tables, equations, diagrams, verbal descriptions | Identifies whether two quantities are in a proportional relationship. | |
| | Interprets a point (x, y) on the graph of a proportional relationship in terms of the situation, with special attention to the points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, r)$ where r is the unit rate. Represents proportional relationships by equations and uses them to solve mathematical and real-world problems, including multi-step ratio and percent problems. | Interprets a point (x, y) on the graph of a proportional relationship in terms of the situation, with special | and graphs. Uses equations representing a proportional relationship to solve mathematical and real- world problems, including ratio and percent problems. | | |
| | appropriate to use unit rates and understands its limitations. Performs operations on positive | | | | |
| with Fractions 7.NS.1a 7.NS.1b-1 | 0 | - | and negative rational numbers in mathematical and real-world problems. | - | |
| 7.NS.1b-2 7.NS.1c-1 7.NS.1d 7.NS.2a-1 7.NS.2a-2 | subtraction on a horizontal or vertical number line and recognizes situations in which opposite quantities combine to | subtraction on a horizontal or vertical number line and recognizes situations in which opposite quantities combine to | Represents addition and subtraction on a horizontal or vertical number line and recognizes situations in which opposite quantities combine to make zero. | subtraction on a horizontal or vertical number line. | |
| 7.NS.2c 7.NS.3 7.EE.3 | Determines reasonableness of a solution and interprets solutions in real-world contexts. | Determines reasonableness of a solution. | | | |
| | Grade 7 Math: Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 7 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| | Using the properties of operations, justifies the steps taken to solve multi-step mathematical and real-world problems involving rational numbers. | | | |
| Equations and | Applies properties of operations as strategies to add, subtract, factor and expand linear expressions. Solves multi-step linear equations with rational coefficients. In mathematical or real-world contexts, uses variables to represent quantities, construct and solve equations and inequalities, and graph and interpret solution sets. Rewrites an expression in different forms. Describes the relationship between equivalent quantities that are expressed algebraically in different forms in a problem context and explains their equivalence in light of the | as strategies to add, subtract, factor and expand linear expressions. Solves two-step linear equations with rational coefficients. In a mathematical or real-world context, uses variables to represent quantities, construct and solve equations and inequalities, and graph solution sets. | as strategies to add, subtract and expand linear expressions. Solves two-step linear equations with rational coefficients. In a mathematical context, | Applies properties of operations as strategies to add and subtract linear expressions. Solves one-step linear equations with rational coefficients. |

| | The student solves problems | Grade 7 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 7 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | | |
| g Geometric Figures | protractor or with technology – | freehand, with a ruler and protractor or with technology – and describes their attributes. | freehand, with a ruler and protractor, or with technology – and describes some of their | Draws geometric figures – freehand, with a ruler and protractor, or with technology – and describes some of their attributes. | | |
| | notices when those conditions determine a unique triangle, >1 | | Constructs triangles with given angle and side conditions. | | | |
| | Describes two-dimensional figures that result from slicing | Describes the two-dimensional figures that result from slicing three-dimensional figures by a plane parallel or perpendicular to a base or face. | | | | |

| | | | : Sub-Claim B | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 7 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| | plane which may or may not be parallel or perpendicular to a base or face. | | | | |
| Drawings and Measureme nt 7.G.1 7.G.4-1 7.G.4-2 | world problems involving circumference, area, surface area and volume of two-and | Solves mathematical and real- world problems involving circumference, area, surface area and volume of two-and three-dimensional objects. | Solves mathematical problems involving circumference, area, surface area and volume of two- and three- dimensional objects. | Solves mathematical problems involving circumference and area of two-dimensional objects. | |
| 7.G.5 7.G.6 | drawings of geometric figures, including reproducing a scale | | Solves problems involving scale drawings of geometric figures. | Solves problems involving scale drawings of geometric figures. | |
| | using equations to solve for | Represents angle relationships using equations to solve for unknown angles. | Uses facts about angle relationships to determine the measure of unknown angles. | | |
| | Produces a logical conclusion about the relationship between circle circumference and area. | | | | |
| Random Sampling and Comparative | sampling to draw inferences about a population. | sampling to draw inferences | Draws inferences about a population from a table or graph of random samples. | Compares two populations based on measures of center and measures of variability. | |
| Inferences 7.SP.1 7.SP.2 7.SP.3 7.SP.4 | | comparative inferences about two populations. | Draws informal comparative inferences about two populations. | | |
| | Generates multiple samples of the same size to gauge the variation in estimates or predictions. | | | | |
| | Analyzes whether a sample is representative of a population. | | | | |
| Chance Processes and Probability Models 7.SP.5 | probability of a chance event is a number between 0 and 1 that expresses the likelihood of the | a number between 0 and 1 that expresses the likelihood of the | probability of a chance event is | | |
| 7.SP.6 7.SP.7a 7.SP.7b 7.SP.8a 7.SP.8b 7.SP.8b 7.SP.8c | determine the probability of simple or compound events using methods such as | sample spaces for simple and | Finds probabilities when given sample spaces for simple events using methods such as organized lists and tables. | | |

| The student solves problems | involving Additional and Supportin Mathematica | - | nections to the Standards f |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Mee Expectations |
| Approximates the probability of a chance event by collecting data. Develops probability models to determine the probabilities of events. | Develops a model to approximate the probability of a chance event and predicts approximate frequencies when given the probability or by observing frequencies in data generated from the process. | | |
| Designs and uses a simulation to generate frequencies for compound events. | | | |
| Designs and uses a simulation to estimate the probability of a compound event. | | | |

| | | Grade 7 Math | n: Sub-Claim C | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|
| | - | | | y constructing viable arguments, |
| | critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets |
| | | | Expectations | Expectations |
| Properties | | | In connection with the content | In connection with the content |
| | | | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| • | described in Sub-claims A and B, | | , | described in Sub-claims A and B, |
| | - | - | | the student constructs and |
| 7.C.1.2 | | - | communicates a complete | communicates an incomplete |
| 7.C.2 | response based on properties of | | response based on the | response based on the |
| | | | properties of operations and | properties of operations and |
| | | | the relationship between | the relationship between |
| | subtraction or multiplication | addition and subtraction or | addition and subtraction or | addition and subtraction or |
| | and division, including: | between multiplication and | between multiplication and | between multiplication and |
| | a logical approach based on a | division, including: | division, including: | division, including: |
| | conjecture and/or stated | a logical approach based on a | • a logical approach based on a | a faulty approach based on a |
| | assumptions | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated |
| | a logical and complete | assumptions | assumptions | assumptions |
| | progression of steps | a logical and complete | a logical, but incomplete, | an incomplete or illogical |
| | precision of calculation | progression of steps | progression of steps | progression of steps |
| | correct use of grade-level | precision of calculation | • minor calculation errors | major calculation errors |
| | vocabulary, symbols, labels | correct use of grade-level | • some use of grade-level | limited use of grade-level |
| | complete justification of a | vocabulary, symbols and | vocabulary, symbols and | vocabulary, symbols and |
| | conclusion | labels | labels | labels |
| | generalization of an | complete justification of a | partial justification of a | partial justification of a |
| | argument or conclusion | conclusion | conclusion | conclusion |
| | evaluating, interpreting, and | evaluating, interpreting and | evaluating the validity of | |
| | critiquing the validity of | critiquing the validity of | other's approaches and | |
| | other's responses, | other's responses , | conclusions | |
| | approaches, conclusions and | approaches, conclusions, and | | |
| | reasoning, and correcting | reasoning. | | |
| | and providing counter- | 5 | | |
| | examples where applicable. | | | |
| L | | | | I |

| | In connection with content the | | 1: Sub-Claim C priate mathematical reasoning b | v constructing viable arguments |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | to precision when making mathe | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets |
| | | · | Expectations | Expectations |
| Concrete | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | | In connection with the content |
| Referents | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| | described in Sub-claims A and B, | | | - |
| • | - | | | the student constructs and |
| 7.C.3 | - | - | communicates an incomplete | communicates an incomplete |
| 7.C.4 | - | response based on concrete | response based on concrete | response based on concrete |
| | referents provided in the | referents provided in the | referents provided in the | referents provided in the |
| | | | prompt or in simple cases, constructed by the student | prompt such as: diagrams, number line diagrams or |
| | _ | are connected to a written | such as: diagrams that are | coordinate plane diagrams, |
| | (symbolic) method, number line | | - | which may include: |
| | | diagrams or coordinate plane | (symbolic) method, number line | |
| | - | | diagrams or coordinate plane | conjecture and/or stated |
| | a logical approach based on a | | | assumptions |
| | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | • a logical approach based on a | |
| | assumptions | assumptions | conjecture and/or stated | progression of steps |
| | a logical and complete | a logical and complete | assumptions | major calculation errors |
| | progression of steps | progression of steps | a logical, but incomplete, | limited use of grade-level |
| | precision of calculation | precision of calculation | progression of steps | vocabulary, symbols and |
| | correct use of grade-level | correct use of grade-level | minor calculation errors | labels |
| | vocabulary, symbols and | vocabulary, symbols and | some use of grade-level | partial justification of a |
| | labelscomplete justification of a | labels | vocabulary, symbols and | conclusion |
| | conclusion | complete justification of a | labels | |
| | generalization of an | conclusion | partial justification of a | |
| | argument or conclusion | evaluating, interpreting and | conclusion | |
| | evaluating, interpreting and | critiquing the validity of other's responses, | evaluation the validity of other's approaches and | |
| | critiquing the validity and | approaches, conclusions and | conclusions. | |
| | efficiency of other's | reasoning. | | |
| | responses, approaches, | | | |
| | conclusions and reasoning, | | | |
| | and providing a | | | |
| | counterexample where | | | |
| | applicable. | | | |
| Ŭ | | | | In connection with the content |
| | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | 0, , | | knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| - | - | | | the student constructs and |
| - | - | - | | communicates an incomplete |
| which is | • | response to a given equation, | response to a given equation, | response to a given equation, |
| Flawed | multi-step problem, proposition | | | |
| 7.C.5 | | | | or conjecture, including: |
| 7.C.6.1 | • a logical approach based on a | a logical approach based on a | | • a faulty approach based on a |
| 7.C.7.1 | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | a logical approach based on a | conjecture and/or stated |
| 7.C.7.2 | assumptions | assumptions | conjecture and/or stated | assumptions |
| 7.C.7.3 | a logical and complete | a logical and complete | assumptions | an illogical and incomplete |
| 7.C.7.4 | progression of steps | progression of steps | a logical, but incomplete, | progression of steps |
| 7.C.8 | precision of calculation | • precision of calculation | progression of steps | major calculation errors |
| | correct use of grade-level | correct use of grade-level | minor calculation errors | limited use of grade-level |
| | vocabulary, symbols, labels | vocabulary, symbols, labels | some use of grade-level | vocabulary, symbols, labels |
| | complete justification of a | complete justification of a | vocabulary, symbols and | partial justification of a |
| | conclusion | conclusion | labels | conclusion |

| Grade 7 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 7 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making mathematical statements. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| generalization of an argument or conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity and efficiency of other's responses, approaches, conclusions and reasoning, and provides a counterexample where applicable. identifying and describing errors in solutions and presents correct solutions distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed. If there is a flaw, presents correct reasoning. | evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches, conclusions and reasoning. identifying and describing errors in solutions and presents correct solutions. | partial justification of a conclusion evaluating the validity of other's approaches and conclusions. identifying and describing errors in solutions. | |

| | Grade 7 Math: Sub-Claim D In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 7 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 7 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for | | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | and expressing regularity in repe Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets | |
| | | • | Expectations | Expectations | |
| Modeling | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | |
| 7.D.1 | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | |
| 7.D.2 | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | |
| 7.D.3 | the student devises a plan to | the student devises a plan to | the student devises a plan to | the student devises a plan to | |
| 7.D.4 | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | |
| | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | |
| | life, society and the workplace | life, society and the workplace | life, society and the workplace | life, society and the workplace | |
| | by: | by: | by: | by: | |
| | using stated assumptions and | using stated assumptions and | using stated assumptions and | using stated assumptions and | |
| | making assumptions and | making assumptions and | approximations to simplify a | approximations to simplify a | |
| | approximations to simplify a | approximations to simplify a | real-world situation | real-world situation | |
| | real-world situation | real-world situation | illustrating relationships | identifying important | |
| | mapping relationships | mapping relationships | between important quantities | quantities using provided tools | |
| | between important quantities | between important quantities | by using provided tools to | to create models | |
| | by selecting appropriate tools to | by selecting appropriate tools | create models | analyzing relationships | |
| | create models | to create models | analyzing relationships | mathematically to draw | |
| | analyzing relationships | analyzing relationships | mathematically between | conclusions | |
| | mathematically between | mathematically between | important quantities to draw | writing an incomplete | |
| | important quantities to draw | important quantities to draw | conclusions | algebraic expression or | |
| | conclusions | conclusions | writing an incomplete | equation to describe a situation | |
| | writing a complete, clear and | writing a complete, clear and | algebraic expression or | applying proportional | |
| | correct algebraic expression or | correct algebraic expression or | equation to describe a situation | reasoning using functions to | |
| | equation to describe a situation | equation to describe a situation | applying proportional | describe how one quantity of | |
| | applying proportional | applying proportional | reasoning | interest depends on another | |
| | reasoning | reasoning | | | |

| Grade 7 Math: Sub-Claim D In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 7 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 7 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for the making use of structure and/or looking for and expressing regularity in repeated reasoning | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| describe how one quantity of interest depends on another • using reasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity • reflecting on whether the results make sense • improving the model if it has not served its purpose • interpreting mathematical results in the context of the | interest depends on another using reasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity reflecting on whether the results make sense improving the model if it has not served its purpose interpreting mathematical | writing/using functions to describe how one quantity of interest depends on another using reasonable estimates of | using unreasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an |

Grade 8 Mathematics Performance Level Descriptors

| | | | n: Sub-Claim A | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | e 8 with connections to the Stands Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| and | equivalent numerical expressions using and applying properties of integer exponents. | properties of integer exponents. | Partially solves equations of the | using properties of integer exponents. |
| | Solves equations of the form $x^2 = p$ and $x^3 = p$, representing solutions using $$ or $\sqrt[3]{}$ symbols. | = p, where p is a perfect square, and solves equations of the form x ³ = p, where p is a perfect cube. | solution of the equation. | |
| 8.EE.3 | | Using scientific notation, estimates very large and very small quantities. | Using scientific notation, estimates very large quantities. | Using scientific notation, estimates very large quantities. |
| 8.EE.4-2 | number is in relation to another. Performs operations with numbers expressed in scientific notation. Interprets scientific notation that has been generated by technology. Chooses appropriate units for measuring very large or very small quantities. | | Performs operations with numbers expressed in scientific notation. | |
| | Interprets scientific notation in context. | | | |
| Relationship | | Graphs linear relationships, in the form <i>y=mx+b</i> , including proportional relationships. | | Graphs linear relationships, in the form <i>y=mx+b</i> . |
| 8.EE.5-1 8.EE.5-2 | slope of the graph of a proportional relationship and | Interprets the unit rate as the slope of the graph of a proportional relationship and applies these concepts to solve real-world problems. | Interprets the unit rate as the slope of the graph of a proportional relationship. | |
| | Compares two different proportional relationships represented in different ways. Interprets <i>y=mx+b</i> as defining a | Compares two different proportional relationships represented in different ways. | Makes some comparisons between two different proportional relationships represented in different ways. | |
| | linear function. Uses similar triangles to show that the slope is the same between any two distinct points on a non-vertical line in the coordinate plane. | | | |

| | The student solves problems in | | n: Sub-Claim A | ards for Mathematical Practice. |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations |
| Equations 8.EE.7b 8.EE.C.Int. 1 | equations in one variable, with | variable, with rational number coefficients, including those that require use of the distributive property and combining like | Solves linear equations in one variable, with rational number | Solves linear equations in one variable, with rational number coefficients. |
| s Linear Equations 8.EE.8a 8.EE.8b-1 8.EE.8b-2 8.EE.8b-3 8.EE.8c | mathematical and real-world problems leading to pairs of | Analyzes and solves mathematical problems leading to pairs of simultaneous linear equations graphically and algebraically. | linear equations graphically and | Solves mathematical problems leading to pairs of simultaneous linear equations graphically, where the graph is provided. |
| Functions 8.F.1-1 8.F.1-2 8.F.2 8.F.3-2 | accuracy. Understands that a function is a rule assigning to each input exactly 1 output, which can be graphed as a set of ordered pairs. Compares properties of two functions represented in different ways. | Understands that a function is a rule that assigns to each input exactly one output and can be graphed as a set of ordered pairs. Compares properties of two functions represented in different ways. | Understands that a function is a rule that assigns to each input exactly one output and can be graphed as a set of ordered pairs. | Understands that a function is a rule that assigns to each input exactly one output. |
| Congruence and Similarity 8.G.1a 8.G.1b 8.G.1c 8.G.2 8.G.3 8.G.4 | Describes the effect of dilations, translations, rotations and reflections on two- dimensional figures with and without coordinates, determines whether two given figures are congruent or similar | reflections on two-dimensional figures with coordinates, and determines whether two given figures are congruent or similar | translations, rotations and reflections on two-dimensional | Describes the effect of translations, rotations or reflections on two-dimensional figures without coordinates and determines whether two given figures are congruent. |
| Pythagorean Theorem 8.G.7-1 8.G.7-2 8.G.8 | Applies the Pythagorean Theorem in real world and mathematical problems in two and three dimensions and to | Applies the Pythagorean Theorem in a simple planar case and to find the distance between two points in a coordinate system. | of the right triangle in a simple | Applies the Pythagorean Theorem in solving for the hypotenuse of a right triangle in a simple planar case without coordinates. |

| | The student solves problems in | Grade 8 Math: Sub-Claim A The student solves problems involving Major Content for Grade 8 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| | Recognizes situations to apply the Pythagorean Theorem in multi-step problems. | | | | |
| | The student solves problems | involving Additional and Suppor | h: Sub-Claim B ting Content for Grade 8 with cor ical Practice. | nnections to the Standards for | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| Rational Numbers 8.NS.1 8.NS.2 | understands that these numbers have decimal expansions and approximates their locations on a number line, and converts between terminating decimals or decimals that repeat eventually | Distinguishes between rational and irrational numbers, understands that these numbers have decimal expansions and approximates their locations on a number line, and converts between terminating decimals or repeating decimals of the form (0.aaa) and fractional | understands that these numbers have decimal expansions and approximates their locations on a number line. | Distinguishes between rational and irrational numbers and approximates their locations on a number line. | |
| | and fractional representations of rational numbers. | representations of rational numbers. | | | |
| Modeling with Functions 8.F.4 | Constructs a function to model a linear relationship between two quantities described with or without a context. | Constructs a function to model a linear relationship between two quantities described with or without a context. | Constructs a function to model a linear relationship between two quantities in a table or a graph. | Identifies a function to model a linear relationship between two quantities in a table or a graph. Determines the rate of change | |
| 8.F.5-1 8.F.5-2 | | Given two (x,y) values in a table of values or a graph, determines the rate of change and initial value of the function. | from a table or graph that | or initial value of the function from a table or graph that contains the initial value. | |
| | Analyzes and describes the functional relationship between two quantities. | Analyzes the graph of a linear function to describe the functional relationship between two quantities. | Analyzes the graph of a linear function to describe the functional relationship between two quantities. | | |
| | Sketches a graph of a function when given a written description. | Sketches the graph of a function when given a written description. | | | |
| Volume 8.G.9 | Identifies the formulas for the volume of cones, cylinders and spheres, and uses them to find the volume or dimensions of solids in mathematical and real- world problems. | Identifies the formulas for the volume of cones, cylinders and spheres, and uses them to find the volume of solids in mathematical and real-world problems. | Identifies the formulas for the volume of cones, cylinders and spheres, and uses them to find the volume of solids in mathematical problems. | Identifies the formulas for the volume of cones, cylinders and spheres. | |
| | Applies these formulas to multiple composite mathematical solids. | | | | |
| Bivariate Data | Analyzes and describes the patterns of association that can | Analyzes and describes the patterns of association that can | Describes the patterns of association that can be seen in | Describes the patterns of association that can be seen in | |

| | Grade 8 Math: Sub-Claim B The student solves problems involving Additional and Supporting Content for Grade 8 with connections to the Standards for Mathematical Practice. | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| 8.SP.1 8.SP.2 8.SP.3 8.SP.4 | be seen in bivariate data by constructing, displaying and interpreting scatter plots and two-way tables. | constructing, displaying and | scatter plots and two-way | bivariate data by interpreting scatter plots and two-way tables. | |
| | Uses the equation of a linear model to solve problems in context. | model to solve problems in | Uses a given equation of a linear model to solve problems in context. | | |
| | Informally fits a straight line to a scatter plot that suggests a linear association and assesses the model fit. | a scatter plot that suggests a | Identifies a line of best fit for a scatter plot that suggests a linear association. | | |
| | Compares linear models used to fit the same set of data to determine which is a better fit. | | | | |

| Grade 8 Math: Sub-Claim C | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 8 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable | | | | |
| | reasoning of others and/or atter | | nathematical statements. | |
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets | |
| | | Expectations | Expectations | |
| In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | |
| knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | |
| described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and | |
| the student clearly constructs | the student clearly constructs | the student constructs and | B, the student constructs and | |
| and communicates a complete | and communicates a complete | communicates a complete | communicates an incomplete | |
| | | | response based on the | |
| • | that a graph of an equation in | that a graph of an equation in | principle that a graph of an | |
| two variables is the set of all its | two variables is the set of all its | two variables is the set of all its | equation in two variables is the | |
| | | | set of all its solutions and a | |
| | | | given equation or system of | |
| including: | including: | including: | equations including: | |
| a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | a faulty approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions | |
| a logical and complete progression of steps | a logical and complete progression of steps | a logical, but incomplete, progression of steps | an illogical or incomplete progression of steps | |
| precision of calculation | precision of calculation | minor calculation errors | major calculation errors | |
| correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | some use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | limited use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels | |
| complete justification of a conclusion | complete justification of a conclusion | partial justification of a conclusion | partial justification of a conclusion | |
| generalization of an | evaluating, interpreting and | evaluating the validity of | | |
| argument or conclusion | critiquing the validity of | other's approaches and | | |
| evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity and | other's responses, approaches, conclusions and | conclusions | | |
| | | | | |
| responses, approaches and | 5 | | | |
| | arguments, critiquing the Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 8 arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or atterLevel 5: Exceeds ExpectationsLevel 4: Meets ExpectationsIn connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:• a logical approach based on a conjecture and/or stated assumptions• a logical and complete progression of steps• precision of calculation • correct use of grade-level vocabulary, symbols and labels• complete justification of a conclusion• complete justification of a argument or conclusion• complete justification of a conclusion• evaluating, interpreting, and critiquing the validity and efficiency of other's• conclusion and approaches, conclusion and reasoning | In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 8 appropriate mathematical reason arguments, critiquing the reasoning of others and/or attending to precision when making in Level 5: Exceeds ExpectationsLevel 5: Exceeds ExpectationsLevel 4: Meets ExpectationsLevel 3: Approaches ExpectationsIn connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete response based on the principle that a graph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a given equation or system of equations including:In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and egraph of an equation in two variables is the set of all its solutions and a giv | |

| | Grade 8 Math: Sub-Claim C In connection with content, the student expresses Grade 8 appropriate mathematical reasoning by constructing viable | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | nding to precision when making n | | |
| | Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches | Level 2: Partially Meets | |
| | | | Expectations | Expectations | |
| | reasoning, conclusions and | | | | |
| | reasoning correcting and | | | | |
| | providing a counterexample | | | | |
| Passaning | where applicable. | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | In connection with the content | |
| Reasoning 8.C.3.1 | knowledge, skills, and abilities | knowledge, skills, and abilities | | knowledge, skills, and abilities | |
| 8.C.3.2 | described in Sub-claims A and B, | | | described in Sub-claims A and | |
| 8.C.3.3 | the student clearly constructs | the student clearly constructs | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | B, the student constructs and | |
| 8.C.4.1 | and communicates a complete | - | communicates a complete | communicates an incomplete | |
| 8.C.6 | response based on a chain of | response based on a chain of | response based on a chain of | response based on a chain of | |
| 0.0.0 | reasoning to justify or refute | reasoning to justify or refute | reasoning to justify or refute | reasoning to justify or refute | |
| | algebraic, function or linear- | algebraic, function or linear- | algebraic, function or linear- | algebraic, function or linear- | |
| | equation propositions or | equation propositions or | . | equation propositions or | |
| | conjectures including: | conjectures including: | | conjectures including: | |
| | a logical approach based on a | | | a faulty approach based on a | |
| | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | a conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | |
| | assumptions | assumptions | assumptions | assumptions | |
| | a logical and complete | a logical and complete | • a logical, but incomplete, | an illogical and incomplete | |
| | progression of steps | progression of steps | progression of steps | progression of steps | |
| | precision of calculation | precision of calculation | • minor calculation errors | major calculation errors | |
| | correct use of grade-level | correct use of grade-level | • some use of grade-level | limited use of grade-level | |
| | vocabulary, symbols and | vocabulary, symbols and | vocabulary, symbols and | vocabulary, symbols and | |
| | labels | labels | labels | labels | |
| | complete justification of a conclusion | complete justification of a conclusion | partial justification of a conclusion | partial justification of a conclusion. | |
| | generalization of an | • evaluating, interpreting and | evaluating the validity of | | |
| | argument or conclusion | critiquing the validity of | other's approaches and | | |
| | evaluating, interpreting and | other's responses, | conclusions | | |
| | critiquing the validity of | approaches, conclusions and | | | |
| | other's responses, | reasoning | | | |
| | approaches, conclusions and | | | | |
| | reasoning, correcting and | | | | |
| | providing a counterexample | | | | |
| | where applicable | | | | |
| Geometric | | | | In connection with the content | |
| Reasoning | | U | | knowledge, skills, and abilities | |
| 8.C.5.1 | | described in Sub-claims A and B, | - | described in Sub-claims A and | |
| 8.C.5.2 8.C.5.3 | B, the student clearly constructs and communicates a complete | - | | B, the student constructs and communicates an incomplete | |
| 0.0.3.3 | | response based on applying | communicates a complete response based on applying | response based on applying | |
| | | | | geometric reasoning in a | |
| | - | - | coordinate setting and/or use | coordinate setting and/or use | |
| | | _ | | coordinates to draw geometric | |
| | _ | - | _ | conclusions including: | |
| | a logical approach based on | a logical approach based on a | | - | |
| | a conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | conjecture and/or stated | |
| | assumptions | assumptions | assumptions | assumptions | |
| | a logical and complete | a logical and complete | • a logical , but incomplete, | an illogical and incomplete | |
| | progression of steps | progression of steps | progression of steps | progression of steps | |
| | precision of calculation | precision of calculation | minor calculation errors | major calculation errors | |
| | correct use of grade-level | correct use of grade-level | some use of grade-level | limited use of grade-level | |
| L | | | | | |

| arguments, critiquing the Level 5: Exceeds Expectations vocabulary, symbols and labels | reasoning of others and/or atter Level 4: Meets Expectations vocabulary, symbols and | appropriate mathematical reason nding to precision when making n Level 3: Approaches Expectations | nathematical statements. Level 2: Partially Meets |
|---|---|--|---|
| vocabulary, symbols and labels | vocabulary, symbols and | | - |
| labels | | Expectations | Expectations |
| complete justification of a conclusion generalization of an argument or conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity and efficiency of other's responses, approaches and reasoning, correcting and providing a counterexample where applicable identifying and describing errors in solutions and presenting correct solutions distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed. If there is a flaw, presents correct reasoning. | labels complete justification of a conclusion evaluating, interpreting and critiquing the validity of other's responses, approaches, conclusions and reasoning identifying and describing errors in solutions and presenting correct solutions | vocabulary, symbols and labels partial justification of a conclusion evaluating the validity of other's approaches and conclusions identifying and describing errors in solutions | vocabulary, symbols and labels • partial justification of a conclusion |
| | | | |
| In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 8 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 8 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for | | | |
| k | presenting correct solutions distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed. If there is a flaw, presents correct reasoning. | presenting correct solutions distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed. If there is a flaw, presents correct reasoning. Grade 8 Math connection with content, the student solves real-world proble mowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 8 (or the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particula oblems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, ar | presenting correct solutions distinguishing correct explanation/reasoning from that which is flawed. If there is a flaw, presents correct reasoning. |

| Exceeds Expectations ction with the content ge, skills, and abilities d in Sub-claims A and B, ent devises a plan to othematics in solving | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, |
|---|---|---|--|
| ge, skills, and abilities d in Sub-claims A and B ent devises a plan to | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | In connection with the content knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| ge, skills, and abilities d in Sub-claims A and B ent devises a plan to | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | knowledge, skills, and abilities described in Sub-claims A and B, | knowledge, skills, and abilities |
| d in Sub-claims A and B ent devises a plan to | described in Sub-claims A and B, | described in Sub-claims A and B, | e |
| ent devises a plan to | | | described in Sub-claims A and B, |
| - | the student devises a plan to | | |
| thematics in solving | | the student devises a plan to | the student devises a plan to |
| | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving | apply mathematics in solving |
| s arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday | problems arising in everyday |
| ety and workplace by: | life, society and workplace by: | life, society and workplace by: | life, society and workplace by: |
| ecting appropriate tools ate models ing relationships matically between cant quantities to draw | making assumptions and approximations to simplify a real-world situation mapping relationships between important quantities by selecting appropriate tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically between important quantities to draw conclusions | approximations to simplify a real-world situation illustrating relationships between important quantities by using provided tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically between important quantities to draw conclusions writing an incomplete | approximations to simplify a real-world situation identifying important quantities using provided tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically to draw conclusions |
| | en important quantities ecting appropriate tools ate models ing relationships matically between cant quantities to draw sions g a complete, clear and | en important quantities between important quantities by selecting appropriate by selecting appropriate tools to create models analyzing relationships matically between ant quantities to draw sions a complete, clear and between important quantities by selecting appropriate tools to create models analyzing relationships mathematically between important quantities to draw conclusions | en important quantities between important quantities between important quantities by selecting appropriate tools to create models analyzing relationships analyzing relationships analyzing relationships analyzing relationships mathematically between important quantities to draw sions |

| Grade 8 Math: Sub-Claim D In connection with content, the student solves real-world problems with a degree of difficulty appropriate to Grade 8 by applying knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for Grade 8 (or for more complex problems, knowledge and skills articulated in the standards for previous grades/courses), engaging particularly in the Modeling practice, and where helpful making sense of problems and persevering to solve them, reasoning abstractly, and quantitatively, using appropriate tools strategically, looking for and making use of structure and/or looking for and expressing regularity in repeated reasoning. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Level 5: Exceeds Expectations | Level 4: Meets Expectations | Level 3: Approaches Expectations | Level 2: Partially Meets Expectations | |
| or equation to describe a situation applying proportional reasoning writing/using functions to describe how one quantity of interest depends on another using reasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity reflecting on whether the results make sense improving the model if it has not served its purpose interpreting mathematical | or equation to describe a situation applying proportional reasoning writing/using functions to describe how one quantity of interest depends on another using reasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity reflecting on whether the results make sense improving the model if it has not served its purpose interpreting mathematical results in the context of the | known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity reflecting on whether the results make sense modifying the model if it has not served its purpose interpreting mathematical | Expectations applying proportional reasoning using functions to describe how one quantity of interest depends on another using unreasonable estimates of known quantities in a chain of reasoning that yields an estimate of an unknown quantity | |
| results in the context of the situation analyzing and/or creating constraints, relationships and goals analyzing, justifying and defending models which lead to a conclusion | situation | results in a simplified context | | |

Appendix C

CMAS Science Prepared Graduate Statements and Grade Level Expectations

Grade 5 Science

Standards, Prepared Graduate Statements, and Grade Level Expectations

| 1 | Physical Science | | |
|-------|---|--|--|
| PG 1 | Structure, properties, and interactions of matter | | |
| GLE 1 | Matter exists as particles too small to be seen; properties can be used to identify materials | | |
| GLE 2 | Chemical reactions and the Law of Conservations of Mass | | |
| GLE 3 | Gravity | | |
| 2 | Physical/Life Science | | |
| PG 1 | Structure, properties, and interactions of matter | | |
| GLE 4 | Energy from food was once energy from sun | | |
| PG 6 | How living systems interact with the environment | | |
| GLE 2 | Plants get most of their material for growth from air and water | | |
| GLE 1 | Matter cycles between air and soil; organisms live and die | | |
| 3 | Earth and Space Science | | |
| PG 9 | The universe and Earth's place in it | | |
| GLE 1 | Earth's major systems interact in multiple ways | | |
| GLE 2 | Interactions between Earth's orbit and the moon's orbit | | |
| PG 10 | How and why Earth is constantly changing | | |
| GLE 3 | Earth's major systems interact in multiple ways | | |
| GLE 4 | Earths major water is in the ocean and much of Earth's freshwater is in glaciers or underground | | |
| GLE 5 | Societal activities have major effects on land, ocean, atmosphere, and even outer space | | |

Grade 8 Science Standards and Prepared Graduate Statements

| 1 | Physical Science |
|-------|--|
| PG 1 | Structure, properties, and interactions of matter |
| PG 2 | Interactions between objects and within systems of objects |
| PG 3 | How energy is transferred and conserved |
| PG 4 | Waves are used to transfer energy and information |
| 2 | Life Science |
| PG 5 | How structures of living things function to support life, growth, behavior, and reproduction |
| PG 6 | How living systems interact with the environment |
| PG 7 | How genetic and environmental factors influence variation of organisms across generations |
| PG 8 | Fossil records, genetic variation, how organisms adapt to different environments, and biodiversity |
| 3 | Earth and Space Science |
| PG 9 | The universe and Earth's place in it |
| PG 10 | How and why Earth is constantly changing |
| PG 11 | How human activities and Earth's surface processes interact |

Grade 11 Science Standards and Prepared Graduate Statements

| 1 | Physical Science |
|-------|--|
| PG 1 | Structure, properties, and interactions of matter |
| PG 2 | Interactions between objects and within systems of objects |
| PG 3 | How energy is transferred and conserved |
| PG 4 | Waves are used to transfer energy and information |
| 2 | Life Science |
| PG 5 | How structures of living things function to support life, growth, behavior, and reproduction |
| PG 6 | How living systems interact with the environment |
| PG 7 | How genetic and environmental factors influence variation of organisms across generations |
| PG 8 | Fossil records, genetic variation, how organisms adapt to different environments, and biodiversity |
| 3 | Earth and Space Science |
| PG 9 | The universe and Earth's place in it |
| PG 10 | How and why Earth is constantly changing |
| PG 11 | How human activities and Earth's surface processes interact |

Appendix D

CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA Assessed Standards

CMAS Grade 3 ELA and CSLA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards

| Colorado | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
| 3.2.1.a.i | Reading: Literature | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 3.2.1.a.iii | 0 | -, | |
| 3.2.1.a.iv | | | |
| 3.2.1.a.v | | | |
| 3.2.1.a.vi | | | |
| 3.2.1.a.vi | | | |
| 3.2.1.b.i | Reading: Literature | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 3.2.1.b.iii | | | |
| 3.2.1.c.i | Reading: Literature | Integration of Knowledge & | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 3.2.1.c.ii | | Ideas | |
| 3.2.2.a.i | Reading: Informational | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 3.2.2.a.ii | Text | | |
| 3.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 3.2.2.a.iv | | | |
| 3.2.2.b.i | Reading: Informational | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 3.2.2.b.ii | Text | | |
| 3.2.2.c.i | Reading: Informational | Integration of Knowledge & | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 3.2.2.c.ii | Text | Ideas | |
| 3.2.2.c.iii | | | |
| 3.2.3.c.i | Language | Conventions of Standard English | Domain 3, Descriptors 1 & 2 |
| 3.2.3.d.i | | Knowledge of Language | Domain 3, Descriptors 1 & 2 |
| 3.2.3.d.iii | | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| 3.2.3.e | | | |

CMAS Grade 4 ELA and CSLA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4.2.1.a.i | Reading: Literature | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 4.2.1.a.ii | | | |
| 4.2.1.a.iii | | | |
| 4.2.1.a.iv | | | |
| 4.2.1.b.i | Reading: Literature | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 4.2.1.b.ii | | | |
| 4.2.1.c.i | Reading: Literature | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 4.2.1.c.ii | | | |
| 4.2.2.a.i | Reading: Informational | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 4.2.2.a.ii | Text | | |
| 4.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 4.2.2.b.i | Reading: Informational | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 4.2.2.b.ii | Text | | |
| 4.2.2.c.i | Reading: Informational | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 4.2.2.c.ii | Text | | |
| 4.2.2.c.iii | | | |
| 4.2.3.c.i | Language | Conventions of Standard English | Domain 3, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 4.2.3.d.i | | Knowledge of Language | Domain 3, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 4.2.3.d.ii | | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| 4.2.3.d.iii | | | |
| 4.2.3.e | | | |

CMAS Grade 5 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5.2.1.b.i | Reading: Literature | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 5.2.1.b.ii | | | |
| 5.2.1.b.iii | | | |
| 5.2.1.c.i | Reading: Literature | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 5.2.1.c.iii | | | |
| 5.2.1.c.iv | | | |
| 5.2.1.d.i | Reading: Literature | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 5.2.1.d.ii | | | |
| 5.2.1.d.iii | | | |
| 5.2.2.a.i | Reading: Informational | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 5.2.2.a.ii | Text | | |
| 5.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 5.2.2.a.iv | | | |
| 5.2.2.b.i | Reading: Informational | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 5.2.2.b.ii | Text | | |
| 5.2.2.b.iii | | | |
| 5.2.2.c.i | Reading: Informational | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 5.2.2.c.ii | Text | | |
| 5.2.2.c.iii | | | |
| 5.2.3.d.i | Language | Conventions of Standard English | Domain 3, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 5.2.3.i.i | | Knowledge of Language | Domain 3, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 5.2.3.i.ii | | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| 5.2.3.j | | | |

CMAS Grade 6 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 6.2.1.a.i | Reading: Literature | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 6.2.1.a.ii | | | |
| 6.2.1.a.iii | | | |
| 6.2.1.b.i | Reading: Literature | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 6.2.1.b.ii | | | |
| 6.2.1.b.iii | | | |
| 6.2.1.c.i | Reading: Literature | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 6.2.1.c.ii | | | |
| 6.2.2.a.i | Reading: Informational | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 6.2.2.a.ii | Text | | |
| 6.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 6.2.2.b.i | Reading: Informational | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 6.2.2.b.ii | Text | | |
| 6.2.2.b.iii | Deading: Informational | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Demain 1. Descriptor 4 |
| 6.2.2.c.i 6.2.2.c.ii | Reading: Informational Text | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 6.2.2.c.iii | Text | | |
| 6.2.3.b.i | Language | Conventions of Standard English | Domain 4, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 6.2.3.b.ii | Language | Knowledge of Language | Domain 4, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 6.2.3.b.iii | | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| 6.2.3.c | | vocubulary requisition and osc | |
| 0.2.010 | Literacy in History/Social | Key Ideas and Details | Domain 3, Descriptor 1 |
| | Studies | Craft and Structure | |
| | | Integration of Knowledge and | |
| | | Ideas | |
| | | Range of Reading and Level of Text | |
| | | Complexity | |
| | Literacy in Science & | Key Ideas and Details | Domain 3, Descriptor 2 |
| | Technical Subjects | Craft and Structure | |
| | | Integration of Knowledge and | |
| | | Ideas | |
| | | Range of Reading and Level of Text | |
| | | Complexity | |

CMAS Grade 7 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 7.2.1.a.i | Reading: Literature | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 7.2.1.a.ii | | | |
| 7.2.1.a.iii | | | |
| 7.2.1.b.i | Reading: Literature | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 7.2.1.b.ii | | | |
| 7.2.1.b.iii | • • • • • • | | |
| 7.2.1.c.ii | Reading: Literature | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 7.2.2.a.i | Reading: | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 7.2.2.a.ii | Informational Text | | |
| 7.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 7.2.2.b.i 7.2.2.b.ii | Reading: Informational Text | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 7.2.2.b.iii | informational lext | | |
| 7.2.2.0.111 7.2.2.c.i | Reading: | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 7.2.2.c.ii | Informational Text | Integration of knowledge & ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 7.2.2.c.iii | | | |
| 7.2.3.a.i | Language | Conventions of Standard English | Domain 4, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 7.2.3.b.i | Language | Knowledge of Language | Domain 4, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 7.2.3.b.ii | | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| 7.2.3.b.iii | | vocabalary requisition and osc | |
| 7.2.3.c | | | |
| | Literacy in | Key Ideas and Details | Domain 3, Descriptor 1 |
| | History/Social Studies | Craft and Structure | |
| | | Integration of Knowledge and Ideas | |
| | | Range of Reading and Level of Text | |
| | | Complexity | |
| | Literacy in Science & | Key Ideas and Details | Domain 3, Descriptor 2 |
| | Technical Subjects | Craft and Structure | |
| | | Integration of Knowledge and Ideas | |
| | | Range of Reading and Level of Text | |
| | | Complexity | |

CMAS Grade 8 ELA Reading, Writing, and Communicating Standards

| Colorado Academic | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Standards | Domain | | Data The code |
| 8.2.2.a.i | Reading: Literature | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 8.2.2.a.ii | | | |
| 8.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 8.2.1.b.i | Reading: Literature | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 8.2.1.b.ii | | | |
| 8.2.1.b.iii | | | |
| 8.2.1.c.ii | Reading: Literature | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 8.2.2.a.i | Reading: Informational | Key Ideas & Details | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 8.2.2.a.ii | Text | | |
| 8.2.2.a.iii | | | |
| 8.2.2.b.i | Reading: Informational | Craft & Structure | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 8.2.2.b.ii | Text | | |
| 8.2.2.b.iii | | | |
| 8.2.2.c.i | Reading: Informational | Integration of Knowledge & Ideas | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 8.2.2.c.ii | Text | | |
| 8.2.2.c.iii | | | |
| 8.2.3.a.i | Language | Conventions of Standard English | Domain 4, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 8.2.3.a.ii | | Knowledge of Language | Domain 4, Descriptors 1 and 2 |
| 8.2.3.b.i | | Vocabulary Acquisition and Use | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| 8.2.3.b.ii | | | |
| 8.2.3.b.iii | | | |
| 8.2.3.c | | | |
| | Literacy in History/Social | Key Ideas and Details | Domain 3, Descriptor 1 |
| | Studies | Craft and Structure | |
| | | Integration of Knowledge and Ideas | |
| | | Range of Reading and Level of Text | |
| | | Complexity | |
| | Literacy in Science & | Key Ideas and Details | Domain 3, Descriptor 2 |
| | Technical Subjects | Craft and Structure | |
| | | Integration of Knowledge and Ideas | |
| | | Range of Reading and Level of Text | |
| | | Complexity | |

CMAS Grade 3 Mathematics Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|--|---|---|--|
| 3.0A.A.1 3.0A.A.2 3.0A.A.3 3.0A.A.4 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking | Represent and solve problems involving multiplication and division. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 3.OA.B.5 3.OA.B.6 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking | Apply properties of multiplication and the relationship between multiplication and division. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 3.0A.C.7 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking | Multiply and divide within 100. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 3.OA.D.8 3.OA.D.9 | Operations & Algebraic Thinking | Solve problems involving the four operations and identify and explain patterns in arithmetic. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 3.NBT.A.1 3.NBT.A.2 3.NBT.A.3 | Number & Operations in Base Ten | Use place value understanding and properties of operations to perform multi-digit arithmetic. ¹ ¹ A range of algorithms may be used. | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 3.NF.A.1 3.NF.A.2.a 3.NF.A.2.b 3.NF.A.3.a 3.NF.A.3.b 3.NF.A.3.c 3.NF.A.3.d | Number & Operations—Fractions ¹ ¹ Grade 3 expectations in this domain are limited to fractions with denominators 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8. | Develop understanding of fractions as numbers. | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 3.MD.A.1 3.MD.A.2 | Measurement & Data | Solve problems involving measurement and estimation of intervals of time, liquid volumes, and masses of objects. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 3.MD.B.3 3.MD.B.4 | Measurement & Data | Represent and interpret data. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 3.MD.C.5 3.MD.C.6 3.MD.C.7.a 3.MD.C.7.b 3.MD.C.7.c 3.MD.C.7.d | Measurement & Data | Use concepts of area and relate area to multiplication and to addition. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 3.MD.D.8 | Measurement & Data | Geometric measurement: recognize perimeter as an attribute of plane figures and distinguish between linear and area measures. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 3.G.A.1 3.G.A.2 | Geometry | Reason with shapes and their attributes. | Included in the overall test scale score |
| SMP 3 SMP 6 SMP 4 | Modeling & Reasoning: On Grade Level | Construct Viable Arguments and Critique the Reasoning of Others Attend to Precision. Model with Mathematics | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| SMP 3 | Modeling & Reasoning: | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 2 |

| SMP 6 | Securely Held | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
|-------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|
| SMP 4 | Knowledge | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | J. | - Model with Mathematics | |

CMAS Grade 4 Mathematics Standards

| Colorado | Domoin | Standard Descriptor | Data File Cada |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
| 4.0A.A.1 | Operations & | Use the four operations with whole | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 4.0A.A.1 4.0A.A.2 | Algebraic Thinking | numbers to solve problems. | |
| 4.0A.A.2 4.0A.A.3 | | | |
| 4.0A.B.4 | Operations & | Gain familiarity with factors and | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 4.07.0.4 | Algebraic Thinking | multiples. | |
| 4.0A.C.5 | Operations & | Generate and analyze patterns. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 4.0/1.0.5 | Algebraic Thinking | Scherute and analyze patterns. | |
| 4.NBT.A.1 | Number & Operations | Generalize place value understanding | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 4.NBT.A.2 | in Base Ten | for multi-digit whole numbers. | |
| 4.NBT.A.3 | | | |
| 4.NBT.B.4 | Number & Operations | Use place value understanding and | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 4.NBT.B.5 | in Base Ten | properties of operations to perform | |
| 4.NBT.B.6 | | multi-digit arithmetic. | |
| 4.NF.A.1 | Number & Operations | Extend understanding of fraction | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 4.NF.A.2 | - Fractions | equivalence and ordering. | |
| 4.NF.B.3.a | Number & Operations | Build fractions from unit fractions. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 4.NF.B.3.b | - Fractions | | |
| 4.NF.B.3.c | | | |
| 4.NF.B.3.d | | | |
| 4.NF.B.4.a | | | |
| 4.NF.B.4.b | | | |
| 4.NF.B.4.c | | | |
| 4.NF.C.5 | Number & Operations | Use decimal notation for fractions and | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 4.NF.C.6 | - Fractions | compare decimal fractions. | |
| 4.NF.C.7 | Management 8 Data | | Demoirs 1. Descriptor 4 |
| 4.MD.A.1 4.MD.A.2 | Measurement & Data | Solve problems involving measurement and conversion of measurements from | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 4.MD.A.2 4.MD.A.3 | | a larger unit to a smaller unit. | |
| 4.MD.A.3 | Measurement & Data | Represent and interpret data. | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 4.MD.C.5.a | Measurement & Data | Geometric measurement: understand | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 4.MD.C.5.b | | concepts of angle and measure angles. | |
| 4.MD.C.6 | | | |
| 4.MD.C.7 | | | |
| 4.G.A.1 | Geometry | Draw and identify lines and angles and | Included in the overall test scale |
| 4.G.A.2 | | classify shapes by properties of their | score |
| 4.G.A.3 | | lines and angles. | |
| | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| SMP 3 | Reasoning: On Grade | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 6 | Level | - Attend to Precision. | |
| SMP 4 | | - Model with Mathematics | |
| SMP 3 | Modeling & | Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 2 |
| SMP 6 | Reasoning: Securely | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 4 | Held Knowledge | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | | - Model with Mathematics | |

CMAS Grade 5 Mathematics Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 5.0A.A.1 | Operations & | Write and interpret numerical | Included in the overall test scale |
| 5.0A.A.2 | Algebraic Thinking | expressions. | score |
| 5.OA.B.3 | Operations & | Analyze patterns and relationships. | Included in the overall test scale |
| | Algebraic Thinking | | score |
| 5.NBT.A.1 | Number & Operations | Understand the place value system. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 5.NBT.A.2 | in Base Ten | | |
| 5.NBT.A.3.a | | | |
| 5.NBT.A.3.b | | | |
| 5.NBT.A.4 | | | |
| 5.NBT.B.5 | Number & Operations | Perform operations with multi-digit | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 5.NBT.B.6 | in Base Ten | whole numbers and with decimals to | |
| 5.NBT.B.7 | | hundredths. | |
| 5.NF.A.1 | Number & Operations | Use equivalent fractions as a strategy | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 5.NF.A.2 | - Fractions | to add and subtract fractions. | |
| 5.NF.B.3 | Number & Operations | Apply and extend previous | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 5.NF.B.4.a | - Fractions | understandings of multiplication and | |
| 5.NF.B.4.b | | division. | |
| 5.NF.B.5.a | | | |
| 5.NF.B.5.b | | | |
| 5.NF.B.6 | | | |
| 5.NF.B.7.a | | | |
| 5.NF.B.7.b | | | |
| 5.NF.B.7.c | | | |
| 5.MD.A.1 | Measurement & Data | Convert like measurement units within | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| | | a given measurement system. | |
| 5.MD.B.2 | Measurement & Data | Represent and interpret data. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 5.MD.C.3.a | Measurement & Data | Geometric measurement: understand | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 5.MD.C.3.b | | concepts of volume and relate volume | |
| 5.MD.C.4 | | to multiplication and to addition. | |
| 5.MD.C.5.a | | | |
| 5.MD.C.5.b | | | |
| 5.MD.C.5.c | | | |
| 5.G.A.1 | Geometry | Graph points on the coordinate plane | Included in the overall test scale |
| 5.G.A.2 | | to solve real-world and mathematical | score |
| F. C. D. C | | problems. | Leaded at the three second second second |
| 5.G.B.3 | Geometry | Classify two-dimensional figures into | Included in the overall test scale |
| 5.G.B.4 | NA Juli O | categories based on their properties. | score |
| CMP 2 | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| SMP 3 | Reasoning: On Grade | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 6 | Level | - Attend to Precision. | |
| SMP 4 | Martalia O | - Model with Mathematics | |
| SMP 3 | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 2 |
| SMP 6 | Reasoning: Securely | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 4 | Held Knowledge | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | | - Model with Mathematics | |

CMAS Grade 6 Mathematics Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 6.RP.A.1 | Ratios & Proportional | Understand ratio concepts and use | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 6.RP.A.2 | Relationships | ratio reasoning to solve problems. | |
| 6.RP.A.3.a | • | <u> </u> | |
| 6.RP.A.3.b | | | |
| 6.RP.A.3.c | | | |
| 6.RP.A.3.d | | | |
| 6.NS.A.1 | The Number System | Apply and extend previous understandings of multiplication and division to divide fractions by fractions. | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 6.NS.B.2 | The Number System | Compute fluently with multi-digit | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 6.NS.B.3 | | numbers and find common factors and | |
| 6.NS.B.4 | | multiples. | |
| 6.NS.C.5 | The Number System | Apply and extend previous | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 6.NS.C.6.a | | understandings of numbers to the | |
| 6.NS.C.6.b | | system of rational numbers. | |
| 6.NS.C.6.c | | | |
| 6.NS.C.7.a | | | |
| 6.NS.C.7.b | | | |
| 6.NS.C.7.c | | | |
| 6.NS.C.7.d | | | |
| 6.NS.C.8 | | | |
| 6.EE.A.1 | Expressions & | Apply and extend previous | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 6.EE.A.2.a | Equations | understandings of arithmetic to | |
| 6.EE.A.2.b | | algebraic expressions. | |
| 6.EE.A.2.c | | | |
| 6.EE.A.3 | | | |
| 6.EE.A.4 | | | |
| 6.EE.B.5 | Expressions & | Reason about and solve one-variable | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 6.EE.B.6 | Equations | equations and inequalities. | |
| 6.EE.B.7 | | | |
| 6.EE.B.8 | | | |
| 6.EE.C.9 | Expressions & Equations | Represent and analyze quantitative relationships between dependent and independent variables. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 6.G.A.1 | Geometry | Solve real-world and mathematical | Included in the overall test scale |
| 6.G.A.2 | | problems involving area, surface area, | score |
| 6.G.A.3 | | and volume. | |
| 6.G.A.4 | | | |
| 6.SP.A.1 | Statistics & Probability | Develop understanding of statistical | Included in the overall test scale |
| 6.SP.A.2 | | variability. | score |
| 6.SP.A.3 | | | |
| 6.SP.B.4 | Statistics & Probability | Summarize and describe distributions. | Included in the overall test scale |
| 6.SP.B.5.a | | | score |
| 6.SP.B.5.b | | | |
| 6.SP.B.5.c | | | |

| 6.SP.B.5.d | | | |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| SMP 3 | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| SMP 6 | Reasoning: On Grade | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 4 | Level | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | | - Model with Mathematics | |
| SMP 3 | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 2 |
| SMP 6 | Reasoning: Securely | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 4 | Held Knowledge | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | | - Model with Mathematics | |

CMAS Grade 7 Mathematics Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 7.RP.A.1 | Ratios & Proportional | Analyze proportional relationships and | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 7.RP.A.2.a | Relationships | use them to solve real-world and | |
| 7.RP.A.2.b | · | mathematical problems. | |
| 7.RP.A.2.c | | | |
| 7.RP.A.2.d | | | |
| 7.RP.A.3 | | | |
| 7.NS.A.1 | The Number System | Apply and extend previous | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 7.NS.A.2.a | | understandings of operations with | |
| 7.NS.A.2.b | | fractions to add, subtract, multiply, and | |
| 7.NS.A.2.c | | divide rational numbers. | |
| 7.NS.A.2.d | | | |
| 7.NS.A.3 | | | |
| 7.EE.A.1 | Expressions & | Use properties of operations to | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 7.EE.A.2 | Equations | generate equivalent expressions. | |
| 7.EE.B.3 | Expressions & | Solve real-life and mathematical | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 7.EE.B.4.a | Equations | problems using numerical and algebraic | |
| 7.EE.B.4.b | | expressions and equations. | |
| 7.G.A.1 | Geometry | Draw construct and describe | Included in the overall test scale |
| 7.G.A.2 | | geometrical figures and describe the | score |
| 7.G.A.3 | | relationships between them. | |
| 7.G.B.4 | Geometry | Solve real-life and mathematical | Included in the overall test scale |
| 7.G.B.5 | | problems involving angle measure, area, | score |
| 7.G.B.6 | | surface area, and volume. | |
| 7.G.B.7.a | | | |
| 7.G.B.7.b | | | |
| 7.G.B.8.a | | | |
| 7.G.B.8.b | | | |
| 7.G.B.8.c | | | |
| 7.SP.A.1 | Statistics & | Use random sampling to draw | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 7.SP.A.2 | Probability | inferences about a population. | |
| 7.SP.B.3 | Statistics & | Draw informal comparative inferences | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 7.SP.B.4 | Probability | about two populations. | |
| 7.SP.C.5 | Statistics & | Investigate chance processes and | Domain 1, Descriptor 4 |
| 7.SP.C.6 | Probability | develop, use, and evaluate probability | |
| 7.SP.C.7.a | | models. | |
| 7.SP.C.7.b | | | |
| 7.SP.C.8.a | | | |
| 7.SP.C.8.b | | | |
| 7.SP.C.8.c | | | |
| SMP 3 | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| SMP 6 | Reasoning: On Grade | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 4 | Level | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | | - Model with Mathematics | |

| SMP 3 | Modeling & | - Construct Viable Arguments and | Domain 2, Descriptor 2 |
|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| SMP 6 | Reasoning: Securely | Critique the Reasoning of Others | |
| SMP 4 | Held Knowledge | - Attend to Precision. | |
| | | - Model with Mathematics | |

CMAS Grade 8 Mathematics Standards

| Colorado Academic Standards | Domain | Standard Descriptor | Data File Code |
|--|---|--|--|
| 8.NS.A.1 8.NS.A.2 | The Number System | Know that there are numbers that are not rational and approximate them by rational numbers. | Included in the overall test scale score |
| 8.EE.A.1 8.EE.A.2 8.EE.A.3 8.EE.A.4 | Expressions & Equations | Expressions and equations work with radicals and integer exponents. | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 8.EE.B.5 8.EE.B.6 | Expressions & Equations | Understand the connections between proportional relationships, lines, and linear equations. | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 8.EE.C.7.a 8.EE.C.7.b 8.EE.C.8.a 8.EE.C.8.b 8.EE.C.8.c | Expressions & Equations | Analyze and solve linear equations and pairs of simultaneous linear equations. | Domain 1, Descriptor 2 |
| 8.F.A.1 8.F.A.2 8.F.A.3 | Functions | Define, evaluate, and compare functions. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 8.F.B.4 8.F.B.5 | Functions | Use functions to model relationships between quantities. | Domain 1, Descriptor 3 |
| 8.G.A.1.a 8.G.A.1.b 8.G.A.1.c 8.G.A.2 8.G.A.3 8.G.A.3 8.G.A.4 8.G.A.5 | Geometry | Understand congruence and similarity using physical models, transparencies, or geometry software. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 8.G.B.6 8.G.B.7 8.G.B.8 | Geometry | Understand and apply the Pythagorean Theorem. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 8.G.C.9 | Geometry | Solve real-world and mathematical problems involving volume of cylinders, cones, and spheres. | Domain 1, Descriptor 1 |
| 8.SP.A.1 8.SP.A.2 8.SP.A.3 8.SP.A.4 | Statistics & Probability | Investigate patterns of association in bivariate data. | Included in the overall test scale score |
| SMP 3 SMP 6 SMP 4 | Modeling & Reasoning: On Grade Level | Construct Viable Arguments and Critique the Reasoning of Others Attend to Precision. Model with Mathematics | Domain 2, Descriptor 1 |
| SMP 3 SMP 6 SMP 4 | Modeling & Reasoning: Securely Held Knowledge | Construct Viable Arguments and Critique the Reasoning of Others Attend to Precision. Model with Mathematics | Domain 2, Descriptor 2 |